

### WDR Q&A session

Monday 29 March 2021

WebEx only

\*Please disconnect from your workplace VPN for WebEx call\*

PLEASE NOTE THIS MEETING WILL BE RECORDED FOR THE PURPOSE OF PREPARING MINUTES

We acknowledge the Traditional Owners of country throughout Australia and recognise their continuing connection to land, waters and culture.

We pay our respects to their Elders past, present and emerging.



#### Online forum housekeeping











- 1. Please mute your microphone, this helps with audio quality as background noises distract from the information being shared.
- 2. Video is optional, but having it turned off helps with webinar performance and minimises distractions.
- 3. We ask that you utilise the Chat function for any questions or comments you may have if you are unable to use audio.
- 4. If you have dialled in via phone, could you please email your name and organisation to <u>WDR@aemo.com.au</u> for our records.
- 5. Be respectful of all participants and the process.



AEMO Competition Law Meeting Protocol



AEMO is committed to complying with all applicable laws, including the Competition and Consumer Act 2010 (CCA). In any dealings with AEMO regarding proposed reforms or other initiatives, all participants agree to adhere to the CCA at all times and to comply with this Protocol. Participants must arrange for their representatives to be briefed on competition law risks and obligations.

Participants in AEMO discussions must:

- 1. Ensure that discussions are limited to the matters contemplated by the agenda for the discussion
- 2. Make independent and unilateral decisions about their commercial positions and approach in relation to the matters under discussion with AEMO
- 3. Immediately and clearly raise an objection with AEMO or the Chair of the meeting if a matter is discussed that the participant is concerned may give rise to competition law risks or a breach of this Protocol

Participants in AEMO meetings **must not** discuss or agree on the following topics:

- 1. Which customers they will supply or market to
- 2. The price or other terms at which Participants will supply
- 3. Bids or tenders, including the nature of a bid that a Participant intends to make or whether the Participant will participate in the bid
- 4. Which suppliers Participants will acquire from (or the price or other terms on which they acquire goods or services)
- 5. Refusing to supply a person or company access to any products, services or inputs they require

Under no circumstances must Participants share Competitively Sensitive Information. Competitively Sensitive Information means confidential information relating to a Participant which if disclosed to a competitor could affect its current or future commercial strategies, such as pricing information, customer terms and conditions, supply terms and conditions, sales, marketing or procurement strategies, product development, margins, costs, capacity or production planning.

### Agenda

| NO | TIME (AEDT)     | AGENDA ITEM                                      | RESPONSIBLE                 |
|----|-----------------|--|-----------------------------|
| 1  | 2:00pm – 2:05pm | Welcome  | Ruth Guest (Chair)          |
| 2  | 2:05pm – 2:10pm | Recap – scope, principles, consultation summary  | Greg Ruthven                |
| 3  | 2:10pm – 2:20pm | WDRU aggregation – assessment of system security | Greg Ruthven                |
| 4  | 2:20pm – 2:30pm | Telemetry requirements                           | Greg Ruthven                |
| 5  | 2:30pm – 2:35pm | Other changes since Draft Report                 | Greg Ruthven                |
| 6  | 2:35pm – 2:55pm | General questions                                | Ruth Guest and Greg Ruthven |
| 7  | 2:55pm – 3:00pm | Next steps and meeting close                     | Ruth Guest                  |



# Recap – scope, principles, consultation summary

Greg Ruthven



#### Scope of WDR guidelines – clause 3.10.1(a)

#### Description Requirements for classification & aggregation of WDRUs (1) Telemetry and communications equipment requirements (2)*Methodology for NER 3.10.1(c) regional thresholds for increased visibility* (3) (4)Process for developing baseline methodologies, including new proposals Process to apply baseline methodology and baseline settings to a WDRU (5) Process to change the maximum responsive component (MRC) of a WDRU (6)Arrangements for providing details applicable to a WDRU (baseline methodology/settings, (7)maximum responsive component) Any other WDR-related information determined by AEMO This includes: - Assessment of power system security impacts of aggregation (8)Regional dispatch thresholds for non-telemetered WDR Assessment of MRC Provision of dispatch data

# Principles for developing and amending WDR guidelines – clause 3.10.1(b)

AEMO must have regard to:

|                       | Principle  |
|-----------------------|--|
| Mandatory Principle 1 | The need not to distort the operation of the market  |
| Mandatory Principle 2 | The need to maximise the effectiveness of WDR at the least cost to end use consumers of electricity  |
| Additional Principles | <ul><li>Any other matter determined by AEMO acting reasonably and which must be specified in the WDR guidelines:</li><li>1. The need to ensure adequate power system operation, and the maintenance of power system security and reliability of supply</li></ul> |



# WDR guidelines consultation process

|                           | Stage  | Indicative dates |
|---------------------------|--|------------------|
|                           | TWG #1                                       | 11 Aug 20        |
|                           | TWG #2                                       | 12 Oct 20        |
|                           | Issues paper published                       | 22 Oct 20        |
| 1st Round                 | Q&A session                                  | 23 Nov 20        |
| Consultation              | Submissions due on Issues Paper (7 received) | 27 Nov 20        |
|                           | DNSP Workshop                                | 11 Dec 20        |
|                           | Draft Report/Draft Guidelines published      | 21 Jan 21        |
| 2nd Round<br>Consultation | Q&A session                                  | 8 Feb 21         |
|                           | Submissions due on Draft Report (7 received) | 19 Feb 21        |
|                           | Final Report/Final Guidelines published      | 25 Mar 21        |

### WDRU aggregation – assessment of system security

Greg Ruthven



## Aggregation: Basis for system security assessment & DNSP Endorsement

#### NER 3.8.3(b2)(2):

AEMO must ensure that "power system security must not be materially affected by the proposed aggregation" AEMO's power system security responsibilities in NER 4.3.1 cover transmission and distribution systems

AEMO able to assess power system security impacts in transmission system DNSPs best placed to do so for distribution systems

#### NER 4.3.4(a):

NSPs must use reasonable endeavours to "co-operate with and assist AEMO in the proper discharge of the AEMO power system security responsibilities"

## Aggregation: What the system security assessment will/won't achieve

- Central dispatch of WDRUs should reflect network limitations in order to manage risks in an equitable manner across all providers
  - Applies equally to transmission and distribution

### When assessment is required

- AEMO assessment: ∑NMI-Level MRC ≥ 5 MW
- DNSP Endorsement:
  - One or more WDRUs would be added to an aggregation; and
  - ∑NMI-Level MRC ≥ 5 MW at/behind single TNI

### What assessment <u>will</u> achieve

- Identify where the WDRUs in a proposed aggregation must be represented as two or more DUIDs in constraints
- Identify constraints that must be applied

### What assessment <u>won't</u> achieve

- Won't prevent Dx voltage issues –
   WDRUs may act in synchronised manner regardless of aggregation status
- DNSP voltage concerns ameliorated through information sharing

## Aggregation: When should DNSP endorsement occur?



DRSP may apply to AEMO without DNSP Endorsement where it has sought DNSP Endorsement at least 25 business days earlier, but has yet to receive a response

### Conditions for aggregation

- Two system security criteria have been stipulated as conditions for aggregation
  - All WDRUs must be in same load forecasting area (see SO\_OP\_3710)
  - DNSP Endorsement where required
- Setting these criteria supports transparency and predictability, because they can be precisely specified
  - Consistent with principle to maximise WDR effectiveness at least cost



# WDR telemetry requirements

Greg Ruthven



## Telemetry requirements: Assessing the need from first principles

Real-time power system operation requires a combination of real-time measurements and estimates

### AEMO should reasonably require real-time data to be provided where:

a.It is expected to be more accurate or provide greater operational awareness than the available estimate, and this improvement is of critical importance for power system operation; or
b.There is no available estimate.

#### For WDR:

- **Real-time data:** DRSP's estimate of the quantity of curtailment relative to the baseline or pre-event consumption level
- Available estimate: Dispatch instruction

### Telemetry requirements: Summary

- Required for individual NMI or localised concentration ≥ 5 MW
  - May be required below 5 MW in areas where plant must be curtailed for at least 5 hours per year
- Exemption process
- Data provided at DUID level (Guidelines describe data channels)
- Power System Data Communications Standard describes technical requirements (review pending)



### Regional thresholds – Draft Report

- NER 3.10.1(c) allows AEMO to set regional thresholds that cap the amount of WDR *classified* in a region without telemetry
  - Objectives are to limit the risk of demand forecast errors resulting from erroneous real-time estimates of delivered WDR; minimise market distortion
  - Rules require methodology in Guidelines, monthly publication of thresholds and progress to meeting them
- Methodology proposed in Draft Report involved conservative initial thresholds with periodic/ trigger-based revision based on observed WDR dispatch performance
- Stakeholder input:
  - Creates first-mover advantage, barrier to entry
  - Given that concern is dispatch accuracy, could apply thresholds as a dispatch constraint instead of a cap on WDRU classification



### Regional thresholds – Final Report

- AEMO has adopted suggestion for regional thresholds to be applied as dispatch constraints
  - Still supports objectives of regional thresholds
  - Alleviates first-mover advantage
  - Promotes market efficiency by encouraging price-based competition
- Regional thresholds not under NER 3.10.1(c)
  - Methodology retained in Guidelines
  - Requirement added into Guidelines for monthly publication of thresholds and quantity of non-telemetered WDR classified in each region
- Fixed two errors in threshold revision formula



### Other changes since Draft Report

Greg Ruthven



### Other changes since Draft Report

| SUBJECT                                | CHANGES FROM DRAFT REPORT TO FINAL REPORT   |
|--|---|
| Baseline<br>development<br>process     | <ul> <li>Replaced specific application form with obligation for AEMO to publish information requirements</li> <li>Stipulated that applications may be made from 1 April 2022</li> </ul>   |
| Telemetry                              | <ul> <li>Increased minimum update frequency from 30 to 60 seconds</li> </ul>  |
| Data delivery to<br>FRMPS and<br>DNSPS | <ul> <li>Removed some prescription of the mechanisms for delivery of data to<br/>FRMPs and DNSPs         <ul> <li>Aim to preserve flexibility as AEMO makes technology decisions on<br/>WDRM implementation, in consultation with stakeholders</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |
| Other                                  | <ul> <li>Minor amendments and clarification/explanation in response to<br/>submissions</li> </ul>   |



### General questions

Ruth Guest and Greg Ruthven



### Next steps

| Stage   | Timing             |
|---|--------------------|
| AEMO to assist ENA (where requested) in facilitating detailed design of DNSP Endorsement process  | Apr-Jun            |
| <ul> <li>Minor &amp; administrative changes to WDR Guidelines to add<br/>location of related documents as finalised:</li> <li>Baseline eligibility compliance and metrics policy (Final version<br/>expected mid/late May)</li> <li>Baseline methodology register (TBC likely May/June)</li> <li>Post-event dispatch conformance framework (Final version expected<br/>mid/late May)</li> </ul> | TBC, likely Jun 21 |
| Power System Data Communications Standard review  | TBA                |



