

## MEETING NOTES – ERCF

**MEETING:** Electricity Retail Consultative Forum  
**DATE:** Thursday, 21 October 2021  
**TIME:** 8:30am-11:00pm AEST  
**LOCATION:** Teleconference  
**MEETING #:** 10-21  
**CONTACT** [ercf@aemo.com.au](mailto:ercf@aemo.com.au)

### ATTENDEES:

Name	Company
Gareth Morrah	AEMO
Jackie Krizmanic	AEMO
Jordan Daly	AEMO
Kate Gordon	AEMO
Lenard Bull	AEMO
Meghan Bibby	AEMO
Nandu Datar	AEMO
Adrian Honey	TasNetworks
Andrew Jumeau	EVO Energy
Caitlin Parker	Origin Energy
Chantal Wright	Jemena
Christophe Bechia	Red/Lumo Energy
Collette Reedy	ActewAGL
Daniel Hoolihan	Energy Queensland
David Ripper	AEMO
David Woods	SA Power Networks
Deepesh Goswami	Secure Meters
Dino Ou	Endeavour Energy
Doug Ross	Ross Technology
Ellie Carey	Unknown
Graeme Ferguson	Essential Energy
Greg Szot	Citipower Powercor
Helen Vassos	Plus ES
Jane Hutson	Energex
Jeff Roberts	Evoenergy
Jo Sullivan	EnergyAustralia
Justin Bethlehem	Ausnet Services
Kamal Kisto	Origin Energy
Karina Size	Pooled Energy
Kiran Balaraman	Energy Intel
Laura Pierano	United Energy
Leon Vilfand	Jemena
Mark Riley	AGL
Mark Leschke	Yurika
Matthew Tanzer	Energy Queensland

Name	Company
Rebecca Neatnica	Ausnet Services
Richard Metherell	Unknown
Robert Lo Giudice	Intellihub
Sue Richardson	Agility CIS
Tom Cole	Yurika
Wayne Farrell	Energy Queensland
Wayne Turner	Ausgrid
Zahara Magriplis	Energy Queensland

## **PRELIMINARY MATTERS**

### **1. WELCOME AND APOLOGIES – Jordan Daly, AEMO**

Jordan Daly (AEMO) welcomed all participants to the meeting and spoke to the items as per the slides.

### **2. ACTION ITEMS FROM PREVIOUS MEETING – Jordan Daly, AEMO**

Jordan Daly (AEMO) noted the closed and progressed items, as detailed in the meeting pack and agenda. There was one carry over action from the previous meeting.

Mark Reilly (AGL) noted another action for AEMO to investigate if a read only version of the ROCL will be made available.

## **MATTERS FOR NOTING**

### **3. ICF Register**

AEMO noted that the majority of the items in the register are now ready to proceed to industry consultation. Individual ICF and CIPs were discussed in more detail later in the meeting as per the agenda.

## **COMMON ITEMS**

### **4. 2021 Consultation Updates – B2M 2021, DER – Jordan Daly, AEMO**

Jordan Daly gave a summary of the October B2M consultation and provided further information on the next B2M consultation.

## **MSATS ONLY ITEMS**

### **5. NMI Status (ICF\_052) – Helen Vassos, PLUS ES**

Jordan Daly spoke to the survey outcome summary.

Helen Vassos (PLUS ES) spoke to Origin's suggestion to change CATS 2.5 from five to two days as well as to clarify PLUS ES's proposal. Wayne Turner (Ausgrid) spoke to Ausgrid's perspective. Helen noted PLUS ES is looking to clarify the objectives. David Woods (SAPN) noted that the process requires clarity to understand the end-to-end solution. Jeff Roberts (Evoenergy) noted that the Notified party is a workaround. Adrian Honey (TasNetworks) queried why there are so many issues. Jackie Krizmanic noted AEMO can only see that the status was updated, the date it was updated, and the effective date. This is what AEMO's queries are based on.

## **6. Clarifying when an embedded network code must be issued (ICF\_055) – Dino Ou, Endeavour**

Jordan Daly (AEMO) spoke to ICF requirements and the clauses to be included.

Dino Ou (Endeavour) gave an overview of issue, that the wording of the procedure can have two interpretations.

Mark Riley (AGL) questioned what the impact would be to Embedded Networks and if this issue has been raised with Embedded Network Managers (ENM). Specifically, if there is an impact to them. Jackie Krizmanic noted and confirmed current process.

Mark Riley (AGL) noted it should be confirmed with Embedded Network Manager the impacts on them before any changes are made.

Jackie Krizmanic (AEMO) noted this is an issue for brownfield sites, not greenfield sites.

Justin Betlehem (Ausnet Services) noted in the chat “an alteration to a connection agreement is required for a brownfield, and existing sites needs to be abolished for that DNSP need authorisation”

Caitlin Parker (Origin) noted they would like to clarify with their ENM.

Wayne Farrell (EQL) noted discussions with their ENM, that there is an obligation on the EMN to advise AEMO of their nomination as an ENM within 5 days of being signed as the EMN, as part of the notification the EN code is required. In the past they have been waiting until they have that EN code (which could be months) to notify AEMO, so the 5 day obligation could be an issue.

Action: AEMO to seek feedback from Embedded Network Managers

## **METROLOGY & SERVICE LEVEL PROCEDURE ITEMS**

### **7. Clarification of End Date in Inventory Table (ICF\_056) - Mark Riley, AGL**

Jordan Daly gave an overview of the ICF and asked for comments and feedback.

Mark Riley (AGL) noted that the expectation would be that the start date would be when the asset was connected to the network and the end date in the inventory table is when the asset is removed/abolished/changed. Mark noted that DB's are using the end date for the monthly metering which means the asset is “disappearing” each month and then reappearing for the next month. Should be asset dates not billing dates. A date that ends each month is proving problematic.

David Ripper (AEMO) explained that the inventory file starts when the NMI was created and should end when the NMI is abolished. Change dates should be used to note changes, not end dates.

Jeff Roberts (Evoenergy) noted that they use a Start Date, End date for either the load change, or removal of an asset. He also asked if this is for the published inventory table or the inventory table used for NCONUML, which is not a published table.

David Woods (SAPN) stated that they do not support the proposal. They have been using the table with no issues. If this were to change, it would be a significant change. The inventory file is there to support the data provided, not to describe the item connected.

Mark Riley (AGL) noted that this implies the asset only applies for that month.

Daniel Hoolihan (EQL) noted that consistency is required across the NEM if this is being used across multiple jurisdictions.

Jeff Roberts (Evoenergy) noted they only update if the load or asset changes, a start date is a new asset or load.

Daniel Hoolihan (EQL) noted there is a consistency problem, and consistency needs to be achieved.

Jane Hutson (QL) noted they also use a Start Date, End date for either the load change, or connection/removal of asset.

Action: 1. AEMO to ask the DB's how the information is stored and communicated, and interpretation of how the dates should be used. 2. Mark Riley to redraft ICF to ensure all bases are covered

## **OTHER BUSINESS**

### **8. NREG Definitions – Mark Riley, AGL**

Mark Riley (AGL) spoke to NREG Definitions, large non-registered generating units may be classified as a SMALL

David Ripper (AEMO) confirmed that energy volume value flow regardless of direction. Should be better defining hybrid classifications rather than NREG. AEMO to discuss offline.

### **9. Meter Manufacture & Model Update – Kate Gordon, AEMO**

Kate Gordon (AEMO) gave an update on progress in defining the enumerations for the new Meter Manufacturer and Model fields for MSDR.

David Woods (SAPN) advised the group that SAPN does not collect and record the meter model number, have never used meter model in their internal systems, and, therefore, do not have this data. They would need to provide their internal code as an enumeration or put "unknown" in each instance.

Mark Riley (AGL) noted that it would help with the footprint capability of the meter and what it would need to be replaced with.

Adrian Honey (TasNetworks) noted the amount of work converting the enumerated list is going to be – will need to update own records to match the enumerated lists

Robert Lo Giudice noted that the obligation is on the current MPB therefore MP's will be impacted as well

### **10. General questions, actions & next meeting (25 November 2021)**

Jordan Daly reviewed actions arising from this meeting.

Jane Hutson (EQL) raised an issue of incorrect RP assignment which have occurred since customer switching commencement. This has resulted EQL needing to send multiple emails to FRMP to fix the error.

Jackie Krizmanic (AEMO) noted the DNSP objection was removed as a result of consultation.

Jane Hutson agreed to raise an ICF.

Caitlin Parker (Origin) raised the potential for GPS coordinates to be expanded for use in NCONUML sites to resolve customer enquiries to find an asset. This can occur after a change of customer at a site, also potentially with vacant consumption sites



Mike Riley (AGL) agreed, also noting as to whether GPS coordinates could be used to identify the location of a connection point or device – currently it is for the meter. David Ripper (AEMO) noted that this would be an LNSP responsibility.

Caitlin Parker agreed to take feedback to Origin.

Mark Riley offered assistance.

**Jordan Daly Closed the meeting.**

### **ACTION ITEMS RAISED AT MEETING**

Item	Topic	Action required	Responsible	By
1	Read only version of the ROCL	Make read only version of the ROCL available – download or access read only action	AEMO	
2	NMI Status (ICF_052)	Form a subgroup to progress this ICF	AEMO	
3	ICF_055	Seek feedback from ENM. Send an email	AEMO	
4	ICF_056	Ask the DB's how the information is stored and communicated, and interpretation of how the dates should be used	AEMO	
5	ICF_056	Redraft ICF to ensure all bases are covered	AGL	Mark Riley
6	NREG	Discuss Offline	AEMO	