

WEM Procedure: Dispatch Algorithm Formulation

Prepared by: AEMO

Document ref:

Version: 33.10

Effective date: 1 April 2024

Status: DraftFinal-DRAFT

Approved for distribution and use by:

Approved by: Martin Maticka-DRAFTDraft – not approved for distribution or use

Title: Group Manager – WA Operations

Date: 26 March 2024

aemo.com.au

New South Wales | Queensland | South Australia | Victoria | Australian Capital Territory | Tasmania | Western Australia

Australian Energy Market Operator Ltd ABN 94 072 010 327

Version Release History

Version	Effective Date	Summary of Changes
0.1		First draft prepared for consultation.
0.2		Draft incorporating participant feedback, and consequential changes of tranche 2 of the market power mitigation rules.
1.0	1 October 2023	Initial approved version
2.0	12 October 2023	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inserted a new paragraph 2.5.3 to include calculations for new “<i>EnablementMinimumPreProcessing</i>” and “<i>EnablementMaximumPreProcessing</i>” values to be used in the Essential System Service pre-processing step under re-numbered paragraph 2.5.4. Included a new explanatory note E[OO] to indicate the purpose of this change. Updated the “Contingency” variable in paragraph 2.3.5 to remove the zero lower bound, due to issues with certain Network Risks. Other minor typographical and formatting fixes.
3.0	1 April 2024	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Addition of CVQ to the constraint in paragraph 2.4.17. Changes to paragraph 3.2 to account for forward projection of Fast Start Inflexibility Profiles to forecast Dispatch Intervals. Change of <i>FacilityAvailStorageMWh</i> parameter name to <i>FacilityDischargeMWh</i> in paragraph 2.2.24 and 2.4.26. Change of constraint name in paragraph 2.4.26 to Facility Discharge Constraint. Addition of <i>FacilityAvailChargeMWh</i> in paragraph 2.2.42, and usage in new Facility Charge Constraint in paragraph 2.4.44. Minor changes to the Tie-Breaking Constraints to improve performance. Other minor typographical and formatting fixes.
<u>3.1</u>		<u>WEM Procedure amended in accordance with AEPC 2024 13.</u>

© 2024 Australian Energy Market Operator Limited. The material in this publication may be used in accordance with the [copyright permissions on AEMO's website](#).

Contents

1. Introduction	6
1.1. Purpose and scope	6
1.2. Definitions	7
1.3. Interpretation	9
1.4. Related documents	10
2. Optimisation Problem Formulation	11
Preamble:	11
2.1. Sets	11
2.2. Parameters	13
2.3. Variables	23
2.4. Constraints	25
2.5. Essential System Service Pre-Processing Conditions	42
2.6. Pre-processing of Fast Start Facility Parameters	43
2.7. Objective Function	44
3. Dispatch Algorithm Solution Process	45
Preamble:	45
3.1. Primary Dispatch Interval	45
3.2. All other Dispatch Intervals in the Dispatch Schedule	48
3.3. Pre-Dispatch Intervals in the Pre-Dispatch Schedule and Week-Ahead Schedule	52
3.4. Calculation of Market Clearing Prices	53
Appendix A. Relevant clauses of the WEM Rules	55
Appendix B. Constraint Violation Quantities and Constraint Violation Penalty Values	56565657
B.1 Constraint Violation Quantity Variables and Associated Constraint Violation Penalty Values	56565657
Appendix C. Over-Constrained Dispatch Run Process	58585859
C.1 Method	58585859
Appendix D. Intervention Pricing Run Process	59595960
D.1 Assumptions	59595960
D.2 Method	59595960
Appendix E. Fast Start Modes	60606061
Appendix F. Price-Setter Functionality	61616162
Appendix G. Determining Facility Tiebreak Numbers and Priority Order	63636364
G.1 Determining Facility Tiebreak Number	63636364
G.2 Determining Priority Order	63636364
1. Introduction	5
1.1. Purpose and scope	5
1.2. Definitions	6
1.3. Interpretation	7
1.4. Related documents	8

2. Optimisation Problem Formulation	9
Preamble:	9
2.1. Sets	9
2.2. Parameters	11
2.3. Variables	21
2.4. Constraints	23
2.5. Essential System Service Pre-Processing Conditions	39
2.6. Pre-processing of Fast Start Facility Parameters	41
2.7. Objective Function	42
3. Dispatch Algorithm Solution Process	43
Preamble:	43
3.1. Primary Dispatch Interval	43
3.2. All other Dispatch Intervals in the Dispatch Schedule	46
3.3. Pre-Dispatch Intervals in the Pre-Dispatch Schedule and Week-Ahead Schedule	49
3.4. Calculation of Market Clearing Prices	50
Appendix A. Relevant clauses of the WEM Rules	52
Appendix B. Constraint Violation Quantities and Constraint Violation Penalty Values	54
B.1 Constraint Violation Quantity Variables and Associated Constraint Violation Penalty Values	54
Appendix C. Over-Constrained Dispatch Run Process	56
C.1 Method	56
Appendix D. Intervention Pricing Run Process	57
Assumptions	57
D.2 Method	57
Appendix E. Fast Start Modes	58
Appendix F. Price-Setter Functionality	59
Appendix G. Determining Facility Tiebreak Numbers and Priority Order	61
G.1 Determining Facility Tiebreak Number	61
G.2 Determining Priority Order	61

Tables

Table 1 Definitions.....	7
Table 2 Related documents	10
Table 3 Market Service Set notation	11
Table 4 Relevant clauses of the WEM Rules	55
Table 5 Schedule of Constraint Violation Quantities and associated Constraint Violation Penalties ...	56
Table 6 Fast Start Modes	60
Table 7 Basic variables with the price-setting output.....	62
Table 1 Definitions.....	76
Table 2 Related documents	108

Table 3 — Market Service Set notation119

Table 4 — Relevant clauses of the WEM Rules5552

Table 6 — Schedule of Constraint Violation Quantities and associated Constraint Violation Penalties5654

Table 7 — Fast Start Modes6058

Table 8 — Basic variables with the price-setting output.....6260

Figures

Figure E[1]..... A visual representation of a Dispatch Inflexibility Profile.....48484845

Figure E[2]..... Trapezia adjustments for RoCoF Control Service.....50505047

IMPORTANT NOTICE - EXPLANATORY NOTES

Disclaimer

Explanatory notes included in this document as shaded in-line text are provided for explanatory purposes only to assist with comprehension and readability. The information contained in these explanatory notes does not constitute legal or business advice and should not be relied on as a substitute for obtaining detailed advice about the *Electricity Industry Act 2004 (WA)*, the WEM Rules, or any other applicable laws, procedures or policies. AEMO has made reasonable efforts to ensure the quality of the information, but cannot guarantee its accuracy or completeness.

Accordingly, to the maximum extent permitted by law, AEMO and its officers, employees and consultants involved in the preparation of this document:

- make no representation or warranty, express or implied, as to the currency, accuracy, reliability or completeness of the explanatory information in this document; and
- are not liable (whether by reason of negligence or otherwise) for any statements or representations in this document, or any omissions from it, or for any use or reliance on the information in it.

1. Introduction

1.1. Purpose and scope

- 1.1.1. This WEM Procedure: Dispatch Algorithm Formulation (Procedure) is made in accordance with AEMO's functions under clause 2.1A.2(h) of the Wholesale Electricity Market Rules (WEM Rules).
- 1.1.2. The *Electricity Industry Act 2004* (WA), the WEM Regulations and the WEM Rules prevail over this Procedure to the extent of any inconsistency.
- 1.1.3. In this Procedure, where obligations are conferred on a Rule Participant, that Rule Participant must comply with the relevant obligations in accordance with clause 2.9.7A, 2.9.7D or 2.9.8 of the WEM Rules, as applicable.

AEPC_2024_13 Explanatory Note:

Paragraphs 1.1.4(e) has been amended and paragraph 1.1.4(f) deleted to ensure consistency with the amendments to clause 7.6.27 under the FCESS Cost Review Amending Rules.

A new paragraph 1.1.4(f) has been added, following new clause 7.5.18 being added under the FCESS Cost Review Amending Rules.

- 1.1.4. The purpose of this Procedure is to document:
- (a) the Dispatch Algorithm used by AEMO for the purpose of the Central Dispatch Process and setting Market Clearing Prices and the mathematical formulation of the Dispatch Algorithm, including **[clause 7.2.5(a)]**:
 - (i) the calculation of the required quantity of Contingency Reserve Raise **[clause 7.2.5(a)(iii)]**;
 in a form that:
 - (ii) sets out the form, scope and construction of each type of Constraint Equation **[clause 7.2.5(a)(v)]**;
 - (iii) describes and quantifies the mechanism by which different Constraints are taken into account and prioritised, including in accordance with clauses 3.12.2 and 7.6.25 **[clause 7.2.5(a)(vi)]**; and
 - (iv) AEMO reasonably considers will enable a third party, such as the Market Auditor or the Economic Regulation Authority, to replicate the results of the Dispatch Algorithm by using the same inputs **[clause 7.2.5(a)(vii)]**;
 - (b) the processes to be followed by AEMO and Market Participants in accounting for Inflexible Facilities **[clause 7.2.5(c)]**;
 - (c) the methodology AEMO will use, and any assumptions it may be required to make, to determine the Market Clearing Prices during AEMO Intervention Events under clauses 7.11C.7, 7.11C.8 and 7.11C.10. The methodology must, wherever reasonably practicable **[clause 7.11C.11]**:
 - (i) be consistent with the principles for the determination of Market Clearing Prices set out in section 7.11A **[clause 7.11C.11(a)]**; and

- (ii) enable AEMO to determine and publish such prices in accordance with the applicable timeframes for the publication of the Market Clearing Prices under these WEM Rules **[clause 7.11C.11(b)]**;
- (d) the processes to be followed by AEMO for the relaxation of Constraints under clause 7.2.6 **[clause 7.2.8]**; ~~and~~
- ~~(e) —situations that are deemed to be significant for the purposes of clause 7.6.24(b), the process to be followed by AEMO when issuing Dispatch Instructions that override the output of the Dispatch Algorithm for Dispatch Intervals where the Dispatch Algorithm determines a Degenerate Solution pursuant to clause 7.6.23 **[clause 7.6.27(a)]**;~~
- ~~(e) —Situations that are deemed significant for the purposes of clause 7.6.24(b) **[clause 7.6.27(b)]**; and~~
- (f) the method to be used by AEMO to determine Facility Tiebreak Numbers under clause 7.5.17(a) **[clause 7.5.18]**.

1.1.5. Appendix A of this Procedure outlines the head of power clauses that this Procedure is made under, as well as other obligations in the WEM Rules covered by this Procedure.

1.2. Definitions

1.2.1. Terms defined in the *Electricity Industry Act 2004* (WA), the WEM Regulations and the WEM Rules have the same meanings in this Procedure unless the context requires otherwise.

AEPC_2024_13 Explanatory Note:

Table 1 has been updated to remove the definition for Price- Tied Tranche, following amendments to the WEM Procedure to add paragraphs 2.1.12 and 2.1.13, which sufficiently define the concept.

1.2.2. The following definitions apply in this Procedure unless the context requires otherwise.

Table 1 Definitions

Term	Definition
Active Fast Start Facility	A Fast Start Facility which contains a valid Dispatch Inflexibility Profile in its effective Real Time Market Submission for the relevant Dispatch Interval.
Constraint Violation Quantity	Variables introduced with a high objective function cost to ensure that a feasible solution can be found to a dispatch run, which are also often known as ‘slack variables’.
Defined Facility Risk Constraint	A Constraint Equation that represents the Credible Contingency Event associated with a single Registered Facility that differs from the standard Constraint Equation in paragraph 2.4.7.
Dynamic Frequency Control Model (DFCM)	The Dynamic Frequency Control Model (DFCM) developed under the WEM Procedure: Essential System Service Quantities for use within the Dispatch Algorithm
Dispatch Run	The first Dispatch Interval in the Dispatch Schedule at a given time. That is, the Dispatch Interval for which Dispatch Instructions are being issued, and prices for Market Services are being determined.
Essential System Service Trapezium	The set of Constraint Equations formed by WEMDE using the Enablement Minimum, Low Breakpoint, High Breakpoint, Enablement Maximum, and maximum available quantity of a given Essential System Service.

Term	Definition
Fast Start Second Pass Run	The iteration of the Dispatch Algorithm where Dispatch Inflexibility Profiles for Fast Start Facilities are respected.
Fast Start Mode	The modes available to a Fast Start Facility as set out in Appendix E , which can be used by the Fast Start Current Mode or Fast Start Target Mode parameters.
Fast Start Current Mode	As defined in paragraph 2.2.29.
Fast Start Current Mode Time	As defined in paragraph 2.2.30.
Fast Start Target Mode	As defined in paragraph 2.2.40.
Fast Start Target Mode Time	As defined in paragraph 2.2.41.
Generic Constraint	A Constraint calculated under paragraph 2.4.27. This includes any Constraint Equations included in the Dispatch Algorithm for the purposes of clauses 7.2.4(e) and 7.2.4(f).
Intervention Pricing Run	The methodology used in Appendix D to determine Market Clearing Prices during AEMO Intervention Events.
Normally On Load	A Registered Facility, for which the forecast quantity of Withdrawal is already accounted for in the Forecast Operational Demand.
Over-Constrained Dispatch Run	The mechanism that AEMO uses to resolve the presence of penalty prices in dispatch solutions, so that the effect of Constraint Violation Quantities is removed, as per Appendix C.
Price_Tied Tranche	For a Dispatch Interval, for a Market Service, where the absolute value of the difference between the Loss-Factor Adjusted Prices of two or more Price-Quantity Pairs is less than 1e-6 and the Price-Quantity Pairs are, therefore, deemed price tied.
\mathbb{R}	The set of real numbers.
RHS	Means the right hand side of a Constraint Equation.
Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition	A system used to describe telemetry and associated real-time control/indication functions.
SCADA-telemetered Downward Ramp Rate Limit	Values provided by a Registered Facility to AEMO via SCADA (or another medium approved by AEMO), indicating the Maximum Downwards Ramp Rate of the Registered Facility in real-time.
SCADA-telemetered High Limit	A value provided by a Registered Facility to AEMO via SCADA (or another medium approved by AEMO), indicating the maximum dispatchable quantity in MW of the Registered Facility in real-time.
SCADA-telemetered Low Limit	A value provided by a Registered Facility to AEMO via SCADA (or another medium approved by AEMO), indicating the minimum dispatchable quantity in MW of the Registered Facility in real-time.
SCADA-telemetered Upward Ramp Rate Limit	Values provided by a Registered Facility to AEMO via SCADA (or another medium approved by AEMO), indicating the Maximum Upwards Ramp Rate of the Registered Facility in real-time.
System Inertia	AEMO's estimate of total Inertia on the SWIS, including contributions from Registered Facilities, Loads, and other unregistered equipment.
WEMDE	Wholesale Electricity Market Dispatch Engine.
\mathbb{Z}	The set of integers.

- 1.2.3. Other terms are defined in this Procedure for the purpose of defining elements of the Dispatch Algorithm and are used throughout the Procedure.

1.3. Interpretation

- 1.3.1. The following principles of interpretation apply in this Procedure unless the context requires otherwise.
- (a) Clauses 1.3 to 1.5 of the WEM Rules apply in this Procedure.
 - (b) References to time are references to Australian Western Standard Time.
 - (c) Terms that are capitalised, but not defined in this Procedure, have the meaning given in the WEM Rules.
 - (d) A reference to the WEM Rules or WEM Procedures includes any associated forms required or contemplated by the WEM Rules or WEM Procedures.
 - (e) Words expressed in the singular include the plural and vice versa.
 - (f) A reference to a paragraph refers to a paragraph of this Procedure.
 - (g) A reference to an appendix refers to an appendix of this Procedure.
 - (h) A reference to a clause refers to a clause or section of the WEM Rules.
 - (i) References to WEM Rules in this Procedure in bold and square brackets **[Clause XXX]** are included for convenience only, and do not form part of this Procedure.
 - (j) Text located in boxes and headed as Explanatory Note X in this Procedure is included by way of explanation only and does not form part of this Procedure. The Procedure prevails to the extent of any inconsistency with the explanatory notes contained within it.
 - (k) The body of this Procedure prevails to the extent of any inconsistency with the figures, diagrams, appendices, schedules, annexures or attachments contained within this document.
 - (l) Text appearing in bold italics at the beginning of sub-paragraphs in paragraphs 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4, and 2.5 of this Procedure is provided to indicate the name of an input of the Dispatch Algorithm formulation to which that paragraph applies.
 - (m) Mathematical notation used to represent sets, parameters, variables, and equations in this Procedure is set out immediately following the paragraph that defines the relevant set, parameter, variables, or equation.
 - (n) In paragraph 2.4, “SKIP CONSTRAINT” indicates that no Constraint Equation is entered into the model when the stated conditions are present.
 - (o) Measurements are specified using the International System of Units with the following symbols:
 - (i) MW: Megawatt
 - (ii) MWs: Megawatt-second
 - (iii) MWh: Megawatt-hour

1.4. Related documents

1.4.1. The documents in Table 2 are associated with this Procedure.

Table 2 Related documents

Reference	Title	Location
WEM Procedure	WEM Procedure: Facility Dispatch Process	AEMO Website WEM Website
WEM Procedure	WEM Procedure: Adjustment of Real-Time Inputs	AEMO Website WEM Website
WEM Procedure	WEM Procedure: Essential System Service Quantities	AEMO Website WEM Website
WEM Procedure	WEM Procedure: Credible Contingency Events	AEMO Website WEM Website
WEM Procedure	WEM Procedure: Participant WEM Submissions	AEMO Website WEM Website

DRAFT

2. Optimisation Problem Formulation

Preamble:

This paragraph 2 outlines the elements that comprise the optimisation problem, which is AEMO’s formulation of the Dispatch Algorithm.

This paragraph is divided into subparagraphs defining the sets (paragraph 2.1), parameters (paragraph 2.2), variables (paragraph 2.3), Constraints (paragraph 2.4), and the objective function (paragraph 2.7) that make up the optimisation problem. This paragraph 2 also defines some particular data pre-processing steps that occur whenever the Dispatch Algorithm is solved (paragraphs 2.4.44 and 2.6).

2.1. Sets

2.1.1. **Dispatch Period Set:** The period for which the Dispatch Algorithm is calculated and dispatch quantities are produced. For Dispatch Intervals in the Dispatch Schedule, this equates to five minute periods, and for Pre-Dispatch Intervals in the Pre-Dispatch Schedule or Week-Ahead Schedule, this equates to 30 minute periods.

$$I = \{i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}, i \geq 0\}$$

2.1.2. **Market Service Set:** Each Market Service, as defined in the WEM Rules, uses the notation below and in Table 3 for the purpose of this Procedure.

$$M = \{energy, contingency_raise, contingency_lower, regulation_raise, regulation_lower, rocof\}$$

Table 3 Market Service Set notation

Symbol	Name	Units
energy	Energy	MW
contingency_raise	Contingency Reserve Raise	MW
contingency_lower	Contingency Reserve Lower.	MW
regulation_raise	Regulation Raise	MW
regulation_lower	Regulation Lower	MW
rocof	Rate of Change of Frequency Control Service	MWs

2.1.3. **Registered Facility Set:** The notation below indicates the set of Registered Facilities participating in the Central Dispatch Process for the relevant Dispatch Interval or Pre-Dispatch Interval.

$$F \text{ where } card(F) > 0$$

2.1.4. **Offer Set:** The Price-Quantity Pairs for each Market Service, up to the maximum allowable number, which, is set to 10 by AEMO in accordance with clause 7.2.5(a)(iv).

$$OS = \{t \mid t \in \mathbb{Z}, t \in [1..10]\}$$

2.1.5. **Generic Constraint Set:** The set of Generic Constraints included in the Dispatch Algorithm.

$$G \text{ where } \text{card}(G) \geq 0$$

2.1.6. **System Inertia Set:** The set of System Inertia levels considered by the Dispatch Algorithm, as per the DFCM determined under the WEM Procedure: Essential System Service Quantities.

$$H = \{s_h \cdot h \mid h \in \mathbb{Z}, s_h \in [a_h..b_h]\}$$

$$\text{where } s_h, a_h, b_h, h \geq 0$$

2.1.7. **Largest Contingency Size Set:** The set of Largest Credible Supply Contingency quantity levels used by the Dispatch Algorithm, as per the DFCM determined under the WEM Procedure: Essential System Service Quantities.

$$L = \{s_l \cdot l \mid l \in \mathbb{Z}, s_l \in [a_l..b_l]\}$$

$$\text{where } s_l, a_l, b_l, l \geq 0$$

2.1.8. **Tau Set:** The set of Facility Speed Factors values used by the Dispatch Algorithm, as per the DFCM determined under the WEM Procedure: Essential System Service Quantities.

$$T = \{s_\tau \mid s_\tau \in \mathbb{R}, s_\tau \in [a_\tau..b_\tau]\}$$

$$\text{where } s_\tau, b_\tau \geq 0$$

$$a_\tau = 0.2$$

2.1.9. **Constraint Violation Penalty Set:** The set of Constraint Violation Penalty values (described in paragraph 2.2.22) used by the Dispatch Algorithm, and as listed in Appendix B, as well as any Constraint Violation Penalties for Generic Constraints.

$$CVP \text{ where } \text{card}(CVP) \geq 0$$

2.1.10. **Defined Contingency Set:** The set of Credible Contingency Events for Injection identified by AEMO in accordance with the WEM Procedure: Credible Contingency Events, in addition to any Credible Contingency Events that could occur due to Injection from an individual Registered Facility.

$$D \text{ where } \text{card}(D) \geq 0$$

2.1.11. **Contingency Set:** The set of all Credible Contingency Events for Injection considered by the Dispatch Algorithm, which is the union of the Registered Facility Set, described in paragraph 2.1.3,, and the Defined Contingency Set described in paragraph 2.1.10.

$$C = F \cup D$$

AEPC_2024_13 Explanatory Note:

Paragraphs 2.1.12 and 2.1.13 have been added to describe the sets that represent situations considered as Price-Tied or Price-Tied Tranches in the Dispatch Algorithm.

This change is made to ensure the tiebreak concept is sufficiently explained and represented for the purposes of explaining the process the Dispatch Algorithm follows when avoiding degenerate solutions in accordance with the requirements of clause 7.5.15 of the FCESS Cost Review Amending Rules.

2.1.12. **Price-Tied Set:** For a Market Service, each occurrence where the absolute value of the difference between the Loss-Factor Adjusted Prices of two or more Price-Quantity Pairs is less than 1e-6.

$$S_m \text{ where } \text{card}(S_m) \geq 0$$

for m in M

2.1.13. **Price-Tied Tranche Set:** For each of the Price-Quantity Pairs in the Price-Tied Set (described in paragraph 2.1.12), the set of all Tranche Quantities (described in paragraph 2.3.1) associated with the relevant Price-Quantity Pairs.

$$K_s \text{ where } \text{card}(K_s) \geq 2$$

for s in S

2.2. Parameters

2.2.1. **Tranche Upper Bound:** The upper bound of a given Tranche Quantity, where:

- (a) this is greater than or equal to zero for Price-Quantity Pairs for Injection;
- (b) this is zero for Price-Quantity Pairs for Withdrawal; and
- (c) this is greater than or equal to zero for Price-Quantity Pairs for Essential System Service provision.

$$\text{TrancheUB}_{f,m,t} \text{ for } f \text{ in } F, m \text{ in } M, t \text{ in } OS$$

$$\text{where } \text{TrancheUB}_{f,m,t} \geq 0$$

2.2.2. **Tranche Lower Bound:** The upper bound of a given Tranche Quantity, where:

- (a) this is zero for Price-Quantity Pairs for Injection;
- (b) this is less than or equal to zero for Price-Quantity Pairs for Withdrawal; and
- (c) this is zero for Price-Quantity Pairs for Essential System Service provision.

TrancheLB_{f,m,t} for f in F, m in M, t in OS

where $TrancheLB_{f,m,t} \leq 0$

2.2.3. **Tranche Price:** The Loss Factor Adjusted Price associated with a given Tranche Quantity, as derived from the relevant Price-Quantity Pair, where:

- (a) AEMO treats this as the price at or above which the Registered Facility is willing to generate energy associated with a Price-Quantity Pair for Injection;
- (b) AEMO treats this as the price at or below which the Registered Facility is willing to consume energy associated with a Price-Quantity Pair for Withdrawal; and
- (c) AEMO treats this as the price at or above which the Registered Facility is willing to provide the quantity of the Essential System Service associated with this Price-Quantity Pair for Essential System Service provision.

TranchePrice_{f,m,t} for f in F, m in M, t in OS

where $TranchePrice_{f,m,t} \in \mathbb{R}$

2.2.4. **Initial MW:** The measured or estimated MW Injection or Withdrawal of each Registered Facility at the start of a Dispatch Interval or Pre-Dispatch Interval.

EnergyInitialMW_f for f in F

where $EnergyInitialMW_f \in \mathbb{R}$

2.2.5. **Ramp Up Rate:** The Maximum Upwards Ramp Rate for a Registered Facility, as a MW per minute value.

RampUpRate_f for f in F

where $RampUpRate_f \in \mathbb{R}, \geq 0$

2.2.6. **Ramp Down Rate:** The Maximum Downwards Ramp Rate for a Registered Facility, as a MW per minute value.

RampDownRate_f for f in F

where $RampDownRate_f \in \mathbb{R}, \geq 0$

- 2.2.7. **Facility Tau Factor:** The Tau Value, as defined in the WEM Procedure: Essential System Services Accreditation, associated with a Registered Facility in respect to its Contingency Reserve Raise provision.

$FacTau_f$ for f in F

where $FacTau_f \in T$

- 2.2.8. **Essential System Service Maximum Provision Percentage:** For an Essential System Service, the percentage of the total Essential System Service Enablement Quantity that any single Registered Facility can provide.

$ESSMaximumProvisionPercentage_m$ for m in M

where $m \neq \text{energy}$

where $ESSMaximumProvisionPercentage_m \in [0, 1.0]$

- 2.2.9. **System Inertia:** AEMO's estimate of the total Inertia in the SWIS, including contributions for Registered Facilities, Loads, and other Network elements.

$SystemInertia$

where $SystemInertia_r \in \mathbb{R}, \geq 0$

- 2.2.10. **Demand:** The Forecast Unscheduled Operational Demand as prepared by AEMO under clause 7.3.1(a) or 7.3.1(b).

$Demand$

where $Demand \in \mathbb{R}, \geq 0$

- 2.2.11. **Normally-On Load Demand:** The quantity of demand associated with Registered Facilities that are treated by AEMO as Normally-On Load. For a Dispatch Interval or Pre-Dispatch Interval, this is calculated as the absolute value of the sum of offered Withdrawal for all Registered Facilities that are Normally-On Load.

$NOLDemand$

where $NOLDemand \in \mathbb{R}, \geq 0$

2.2.12. **Essential System Service Requirement:** The exogenously defined quantity to be procured for the given Essential System Service, as determined under the WEM Procedure: Essential System Service Quantities, excluding Contingency Reserve Raise.

$$ESSRequirement_m$$

for m in \mathbf{M}

where $m \neq \text{energy, contingency_raise}$

where $ESSRequirement_m \in \mathbb{R}, \geq 0$

2.2.13. **Contingency Raise Offset:** The parameter indicating the difference between the size of the Largest Credible Supply Contingency, and the quantity of Contingency Reserve Raise that must be procured by AEMO in order to maintain Power System Security, as determined via the DFCM.

$$ContingencyRaiseOffset_{l,h}$$

for l in \mathbf{L} , h in \mathbf{H}

where $ContingencyRaiseOffset_{l,h} \in \mathbb{R}$

2.2.14. **Contingency Raise Performance Factor:** The parameter between zero and one indicating a Registered Facility's capability to provide Contingency Reserve Raise relative to a Tau Value for the given system conditions, as determined via the DFCM.

$$ContingencyRaisePF_{l,h,f}$$

for l in \mathbf{L} , for h in \mathbf{H} , for f in \mathbf{F}

where $ContingencyRaisePF_{l,h,f} \in [0, 1.0]$

E[A] Note on Facility Performance Factors and Contingency Lower Offsets

The Dispatch Algorithm treats Facility Performance Factors for Regulation Raise, Regulation Lower, Contingency Reserve Lower, and RoCoF Control Service as equal to one for all Registered Facilities in all power system conditions. The Dispatch Algorithm also treats the Contingency Lower Offset as zero. As such, these parameters are not dealt with explicitly in this Procedure, as they have no impact on the Dispatch Algorithm at this time.

2.2.15. **Enablement Minimum Value:** The Enablement Minimum value for a Registered Facility providing an Essential System Service.

$$EnablementMin_{f,m}$$

for f in \mathbf{F} , for m in \mathbf{M}

where $m \neq \text{energy}$

where $EnablementMin_{f,m} \in \mathbb{R}$

2.2.16. **Low Breakpoint Value:** The Low Breakpoint value for a Registered Facility providing an Essential System Service.

$$\begin{aligned}
 &LowBP_{f,m} \\
 &for\ f\ in\ \mathbf{F},\ for\ m\ in\ \mathbf{M} \\
 &where\ m\ \neq\ energy \\
 &where\ LowBP_{f,m} \in \mathbb{R}
 \end{aligned}$$

2.2.17. **High Breakpoint Value:** The High Breakpoint value for a Registered Facility providing an Essential System Service.

$$\begin{aligned}
 &HighBP_{f,m} \\
 &for\ f\ in\ \mathbf{F},\ for\ m\ in\ \mathbf{M} \\
 &where\ m\ \neq\ energy \\
 &where\ HighBP_{f,m} \in \mathbb{R}
 \end{aligned}$$

2.2.18. **Enablement Maximum Value:** The Enablement Maximum value for a Registered Facility providing an Essential System Service.

$$\begin{aligned}
 &EnablementMax_{f,m} \\
 &for\ f\ in\ \mathbf{F},\ for\ m\ in\ \mathbf{M} \\
 &where\ m\ \neq\ energy \\
 &where\ EnablementMax_{f,m} \in \mathbb{R}
 \end{aligned}$$

2.2.19. **Generic Constraint Coefficient:** The coefficient associated with the relevant Tranche Sum variable (as described in paragraph 2.3.2) within a Generic Constraint.

$$\begin{aligned}
 &GenericConstraintCoeff_{g,f,m} \\
 &for\ g\ in\ \mathbf{G},\ for\ f\ in\ \mathbf{F},\ for\ m\ in\ \mathbf{M} \\
 &where\ GenericConstraintCoeff_{g,f,m} \in \mathbb{R}
 \end{aligned}$$

2.2.20. **Generic Constraint RHS:** The value of the right hand side of a Generic Constraint, which resolves to a constant value for a given Dispatch Interval or Pre-Dispatch Interval.

$$\begin{aligned}
 &GenericConstraintRHS_g \\
 &for\ g\ in\ \mathbf{G} \\
 &where\ GenericConstraintRHS_g \in \mathbb{R}
 \end{aligned}$$

2.2.21. **Generic Constraint Type:** The form the Constraint Equation takes, which is one of:

- (a) less than or equal to;
- (b) greater than or equal to; or
- (c) equal to.

GenericConstraintType_g

for g in \mathbf{G}

where $GenericConstraintType_g \in \{LE, GE, EQ\}$

2.2.22. **Constraint Violation Penalty:** The penalties for each Constraint Equation outlined under paragraph 2.4, where these values are specified in Appendix B.

CVP

2.2.23. **Facility Storage Constraint Flag:** A Boolean indicator as to whether a Registered Facility incorporating an Electric Storage Resource has opted into Energy Storage Constraints under clause 7.5.10.

FacilityStorageConstraintFlag_f

for f in \mathbf{F}

where $FacilityStorageConstraintFlag_f \in \{FALSE, TRUE\}$

2.2.24. **Facility Available Discharge MWh:** The charge level of a Registered Facility incorporating an Electric Storage Resource that is available to be dispatched for Injection.

FacilityAvailChargeMWh_f

for f in \mathbf{F}

where $FacilityAvailChargeMWh_f \in \mathbb{R} \geq 0$

2.2.25. **Load Inertia:** AEMO's estimate of the quantity of System Inertia that is contributed by equipment other than Scheduled Facilities, Semi-Scheduled Facilities, and Non-Scheduled Facilities.

LoadInertia

where $LoadInertia \in \mathbb{R}, \geq 0$

2.2.26. **Defined Contingency Coefficient:** The coefficient defining the contribution of energy, Contingency Reserve Raise, or Regulation Raise from a given Registered Facility towards a Credible Contingency Event in the Defined Contingency Set.

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{DefinedContingencyCoefficient}_{d,f} \\ & \text{for } d \text{ in } \mathbf{D}, \text{ for } f \text{ in } \mathbf{F}, \text{ for } m \text{ in } \{\text{energy}, \text{contingency_raise}, \text{regulation_raise}\} \\ & \text{where } \text{DefinedContingencyCoefficient}_{d,f} \in \mathbb{R} \end{aligned}$$

2.2.27. **Defined Contingency Constant:** For each Credible Contingency Event in a Defined Contingency Set, a constant offset that is applied.

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{DefinedContingencyConstant}_d \\ & \text{for } d \text{ in } \mathbf{D} \\ & \text{where } \text{DefinedContingencyCoefficient}_d \in \mathbb{R} \end{aligned}$$

2.2.28. **Interval Length:** The length of the Dispatch Interval or Pre-Dispatch Interval in minutes, where this is equal to:

- (a) five minutes for a Dispatch Interval; or
- (b) 30 minutes for a Pre-Dispatch Interval.

$$\text{IntervalLength}$$

2.2.29. **Fast Start Current Mode:** The current Fast Start Mode for a Fast Start Facility. Unless otherwise specified in this Procedure, this will be the same as the Fast Start Target Mode from the first Dispatch Interval of the Dispatch Schedule immediately prior to the current Dispatch Schedule.

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{FSIPCurrentMode}_f \\ & \text{for } f \text{ in } \mathbf{F} \\ & \text{where } \text{FSIPCurrentMode}_f \in \mathbb{Z}, \in [0..5] \end{aligned}$$

2.2.30. **Fast Start Current Mode Time:** The time in minutes that a Fast Start Facility has been in its current Fast Start Mode at the start of the Dispatch Interval. Unless otherwise specified, this will be the same as the Fast Start Target Mode Time described in paragraph 2.2.41 from the first Dispatch Interval of the Dispatch Schedule immediately prior to the current Dispatch Schedule.

$$FSIPCurrentModeTime_f$$

$$\text{for } f \text{ in } \mathbf{F}$$

$$\text{where } FSIPCurrentModeTime_f \in \mathbb{R}, \geq 0$$

2.2.31. **Dispatch Inflexibility Profile Mode Time:** The Dispatch Inflexibility Profile parameters T1, T2, T3, and T4 as per clause 7.4.44(a), 7.4.44(b), 7.4.44(c), and 7.4.44 (d).

$$FSIPTTime_{f,t}$$

$$\text{for } f \text{ in } \mathbf{F}, \text{ for } t \text{ in } \{t \mid t \in \mathbb{Z}, t \in [1, 4]\}$$

$$\text{where } FSIPTTime_{f,t} \in \mathbb{R}, \geq 0$$

2.2.32. **Dispatch Inflexibility Profile Minimum Load:** The quantity as provided under clause 7.4.44(e), where:

- (a) For Injection, this quantity is positive; and
- (b) For Withdrawal, this quantity is negative.

$$FSIPMinLoading_f$$

$$\text{for } f \text{ in } \mathbf{F}$$

$$\text{where } FSIPMinLoading_f \in \mathbb{R}$$

2.2.33. **Fast Start Threshold:** The value that determines whether a Fast Start Facility is considered committed for a solve of the Dispatch Algorithm.

$$FastStartThreshold$$

$$\text{where } FastStartThreshold \in \mathbb{R}, \geq 0$$

2.2.34. **Inflexibility Flag:** A Boolean indicator as to whether a Registered Facility has informed AEMO via its effective Real-Time Market Submission that it is Inflexible for the relevant Dispatch Interval or Pre-Dispatch Interval.

$$InflexibleFlag_f$$

$$\text{for } f \text{ in } \mathbf{F}$$

$$\text{where } InflexibleFlag_f \in \{FALSE, TRUE\}$$

2.2.35. **ESS Flag:** A Boolean indicator as to whether a Registered Facility is capable of providing a given Essential System Service in a Dispatch Interval or Pre-Dispatch Interval, as per the logic set out in paragraph 2.4.44.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & ESSFlag_{f,m} \\
 & \text{for } f \text{ in } \mathbf{F}, \text{ for } m \text{ in } \mathbf{M} \\
 & \text{where } ESSFlag_{f,m} \in \{FALSE, TRUE\}
 \end{aligned}$$

2.2.36. **Fast Start Flag:** A Boolean indicator as to whether a Registered Facility is participating as a Fast Start Facility in the Dispatch Interval. This is inferred from the Dispatch Inflexibility Mode Time parameters for a Fast Start Facility as follows:

- (a) Where all Dispatch Inflexibility Profile Mode Time parameters are zero, then Fast Start Flag is false;
- (b) Otherwise, Fast Start Flag is true.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & FastStartFlag_f \\
 & \text{for } f \text{ in } \mathbf{F} \\
 & \text{where } FastStartFlag_f \in \{FALSE, TRUE\}
 \end{aligned}$$

2.2.37. **Time After Mode 2:** The time in minutes since a Fast Start Facility entered Fast Start Current Mode 2 (as outlined in Appendix E). This parameter is only used in a Fast Start Second Pass Run. This is a parameter that can be derived for a Fast Start Facility as follows:

- (a) Where the Fast Start Target Mode, is 0, 1, 2, or 5, then this parameter is null, and not used in calculations;
- (b) Where the Fast Start Target Mode is 3, this parameter equals the Fast Start Target Mode Time described in paragraph 2.2.41;
- (c) Where the Fast Start Target Mode is 4, this parameter equals the Fast Start Target Mode Time plus the time T3 contained in the Dispatch Inflexibility Profile for the Fast Start Facility as per clause 7.4.44(c),

$$\begin{aligned}
 & TimeSinceMode2_f \\
 & \text{where } TimeSinceMode2_f \in \mathbb{R}, \geq 0
 \end{aligned}$$

2.2.38. **Unconstrained Injection Forecast Value:** The value representing the Unconstrained Injection Forecast (UIF) of a Semi-Scheduled Facility (SSF) or Non-Scheduled Facility (NSF).

$$UIF_f \text{ for } f \text{ in } \mathbf{F}$$

where $UIF_f \in \mathbb{R}, \geq 0$

2.2.39. **Unconstrained Withdrawal Forecast Value:** The value representing the Unconstrained Withdrawal Forecast (UWF) of a SSF or NSF.

UWF_f for f in \mathbf{F}

where $UWF_f \in \mathbb{R}, \leq 0$

2.2.40. **Fast Start Target Mode:** The Fast Start Mode that a Fast Start Facility will be in at the end of a Dispatch Interval, based on its Dispatch Inflexibility Profile. This can be determined by taking the Fast Start Current Mode Time, adding the length of the Dispatch Interval, and comparing it against the Dispatch Inflexibility Profile for the Fast Start Facility. Two special cases exist:

- (a) If the Fast Start Current Mode is 0, then the Fast Start Target Mode is 0; or
- (b) If the Fast Start Current Mode is 5, then the Fast Start Target Mode is 5.

$FSIPTargetMode_f$

for f in \mathbf{F}

where $FSIPTargetMode_f \in \mathbb{Z}, \in [0..5]$

2.2.41. **Fast Start Target Mode Time:** The time in minutes that a Fast Start Facility will have been in its current Fast Start Target Mode at the end of the Dispatch Interval, based on its Dispatch Inflexibility Profile.

$FSIPTargetModeTime_f$

for f in \mathbf{F}

where $FSIPTargetModeTime_f \in \mathbb{R}, \geq 0$

2.2.42. **Facility Available Charge MWh:** The charge available in MWh of a Registered Facility incorporating an Electric Storage Resource that is available to be dispatched for Withdrawal.

$FacilityAvailChargeMWh_f$

for f in \mathbf{F}

where $FacilityAvailChargeMWh_f \in \mathbb{R} \leq 0$

AEPC_2024_13 Explanatory Note:

Paragraph 2.2.43 has been added to represent the Priority Order for dispatch where the Dispatch Algorithm encounters tied Price-Quantity Pairs for a Market Service in Real-Time Market Submissions.

This change is made to explain the process the Dispatch Algorithm follows when prioritising Registered Facilities in accordance with the requirements of clause 7.5.15 of the FCESS Cost Review Amending Rules.

2.2.43. Priority Order: The order in which Registered Facilities must be dispatched to meet the tiebreaking requirements of clause 7.5.15, where a lower number indicates a higher priority. ~~000~~Appendix G details the methodology for determining Priority Order.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & PO_{f,m,k} \\
 & \text{for } f \text{ in } \mathbf{F}, \text{ for } m \text{ in } \mathbf{M} \\
 & \text{for } k \text{ in } \mathbf{K}_i \\
 & \text{where } PO_{f,m,k} \in \mathbb{Z} \geq 1
 \end{aligned}$$

2.3. Variables

2.3.1. **Tranche Quantity:** A variable representing the quantity dispatched for a Registered Facility, for a Market Service, for a Price-Quantity Pair, where:

- (a) For energy, this represents the quantity of Injection or Withdrawal allocated to the Price Quantity Pair in MW; or
- (b) For a Regulation Raise, Regulation Lower, Contingency Reserve Raise, and Contingency Reserve Lower, this represents the Essential System Service Enablement Quantity allocated to the Price-Quantity Pair in MW; or
- (c) For RoCoF Control Service, this represents the Essential System Service Enablement Quantity allocated to the Price-Quantity Pair in MWs.

$$TrancheQuantity_{f,m,t} \text{ for } f \text{ in } \mathbf{F}, \text{ for } m \text{ in } \mathbf{M}, \text{ for } t \text{ in } \mathbf{OS}$$

$$\text{where } TrancheQuantity_{f,m,t} \in \mathbb{R}$$

2.3.2. **Tranche Sum:** For each Market Service, for each Registered Facility, a variable representing the sum of the Tranche Quantities where this relationship is enforced by the Constraint Equation in paragraph 2.4.35.

$$TrancheSum_{f,m}, \text{ for } f \text{ in } \mathbf{F}, \text{ for } m \text{ in } \mathbf{M}$$

$$\text{where } TrancheSum_{f,m} \in \mathbb{R}$$

E[B] Tranche Sum and Dispatch Instructions

For each Registered Facility, the Tranche Sum for energy after a solve of the Dispatch Algorithm represents the Dispatch Target or Dispatch Cap to be issued. For Essential System Services, the Tranche Sum represents the Essential System Service Enablement Quantity to be issued.

- 2.3.3. **Largest Contingency:** A variable representing the Largest Credible Supply Contingency for the Dispatch Interval or Pre-Dispatch Interval in megawatts.

LargestContingency

where $LargestContingency \in \mathbb{R}, \geq 0$

- 2.3.4. **DFCM Binary:** A binary variable representing each combination of the Largest Contingency Size Set and System Inertia Set.

DFCMBinary_{l,h}

for l in \mathbf{L} , for h in \mathbf{H}

where $DFCMBinary_{l,h} = \{0,1\}$

- 2.3.5. **Contingency:** A variable representing each Credible Contingency Event for Injection in megawatts.

Contingency_c for c in \mathbf{C}

where $Contingency_c \in \mathbb{R}$

- 2.3.6. **Constraint Violation Quantities:** Variables included in Constraint Equations in paragraph 2.4 to resolve infeasible dispatch solutions as permitted by clause 7.2.6, where these individual variables are listed in Appendix B.

AEPC_2024_13 Explanatory Note:

Paragraph 2.3.7 has been amended to update Tiebreaking Variables in accordance with clause 7.5.15 of the FCESS Cost Review Amending Rules.

- 2.3.7. **Tie-breaking Variables:** Variables included, as permitted under clause 7.56.1523, to override the output of the Dispatch Algorithm and avoid Degenerate Solutions in the case of Price-Quantity Pairs with identical Loss Factor Adjusted Prices for energy; or identical prices for Frequency Co-optimised and Essential System Services.

TBSlack1_s, TBSlack2_s, TBSlack3_s, TBSlack4_s, TBSlack2_{t,j}

for s in \mathbf{S}_m

for m in \mathbf{M}

where $TBSlack1_s, TBSlack2_s, TBSlack3_s, TBSlack4_s, TBSlack1_{t,j}, TBSlack2_{t,j} \in \mathbb{R}, \geq 0$

E[C] ~~Tie-Breaking Variables~~

Note that the i and j subscripts for the Tie-Breaking Variables are members of the ordered set S_k as outlined in paragraphs 2.4.33 and 2.4.34.

- 2.3.8. **Contingency Raise Requirement Variable:** This variable represents the Contingency Reserve Raise quantity that is determined endogenously in the Dispatch Algorithm and must be procured for the Dispatch Interval or Pre-Dispatch Interval.

$$\text{ContingencyRaiseRequirement}$$

where $\text{ContingencyRaiseRequirement} \in \mathbb{R}, \geq 0$

- 2.3.9. **RoCoF Control Service Requirement Variable:** This variable represents the RoCoF Control Service quantity that is determined endogenously in the Dispatch Algorithm and must be procured for the Dispatch Interval or Pre-Dispatch Interval.

$$\text{RoCoFRequirement}$$

where $\text{RoCoFRequirement} \in \mathbb{R}, \geq 0$

2.4. Constraints

- 2.4.1. **Energy Balance Constraint:**

$$\sum_{f \in F} (\text{TrancheSum}_{f, \text{energy}}) + \text{EnergyDeficit} - \text{EnergySurplus} = \text{Demand} - \text{NOLDemand}$$

E[D]E[C] ~~Energy Balance Constraint~~

This Constraint Equation requires that the total sum of Tranche Quantities must equal the demand for energy. Note that the Tranche Sum is the net of Injection and Withdrawal, so any Withdrawal by Registered Facilities is accounted for in that way. Normally On Loads are subtracted to avoid double counting.

- 2.4.2. **Tranche Quantity Upper Bound Constraint:**

$$\text{TrancheQuantity}_{f,m,t} - \text{TrancheQuantitySurplus}_{f,m,t} \leq \text{TrancheUB}_{f,m,t}$$

for f in F , for m in M , for t in OS

E[E]E[D] ~~Tranche Quantity Upper Bound Constraint~~

This Constraint Equation implements the upper bound on the Tranche Quantity variable. This is done as an explicit Constraint as opposed to a variable bound so that it can have a Constraint Violation Quantity associated with it.

2.4.3. Tranche Quantity Lower Bound Constraint:

$$\text{TrancheQuantity}_{f,m,t} + \text{TrancheQuantityDeficit}_{f,m,t} \geq \text{TrancheLB}_{f,m,t}$$

for f in F , for m in M , for t in OS

[F][E][E] Tranche Quantity Lower Bound Constraint

This Constraint Equation implements the lower bound on the Tranche Quantity variable. This is done as an explicit Constraint as opposed to a variable bound so that it can have a Constraint Violation Quantity associated with it.

2.4.4. Largest Contingency Constraint:

$$\text{Contingency}_c - \text{LargestContingency} \leq 0$$

for c in C

[G][E][F] Largest Contingency Constraint

This Constraint Equation requires that the Contingency variable is greater than or equal to the individual Contingency variables. As this formulation is a minimisation problem, this means that the Largest Contingency variable will be equal to the Largest Contingency in an optimal solution.

2.4.5. DFCM Binary to Largest Contingency Constraint:

$$\text{LargestContingency} - \sum_{l \in L, h \in H} (\text{DFCMBinary}_{l,h} * l) \leq 0$$

[H][E][G] DFCM Binary to Largest Contingency Constraint

This Constraint Equation ensures consistency between the size of the Largest Contingency, and the DFCM Binary variable in use.

2.4.6. DFCM Binary to Inertia Level Constraint:

$$\text{RoCoFRequirement} - \sum_{l \in L, h \in H} (\text{DFCMBinary}_{l,h} * h) \geq -\text{LoadInertia}$$

[H][E][H] DFCM Binary to Inertia Level Constraint

This Constraint Equation ensures consistency between the RoCoF Control Requirement, and the DFCM Binary variable in use. It also sets the requirement and price for RoCoF Control Service.

2.4.7. Facility Contingency Constraint:

IF a Defined Facility Risk Constraint is present as part of the set of Defined Contingencies for Facility F

SKIP CONSTRAINT

ELSE

$$\begin{aligned} Contingency_f - TrancheSum_{f,energy} - TrancheSum_{f,regulation_raise} - TrancheSum_{f,contingency_raise} \\ = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for f in \mathbf{F}

[E][J] Facility Contingency Constraint

This Constraint Equation requires that the Contingency variable associated with each Facility is greater than or equal to the energy Dispatch Target plus any Regulation and Contingency Reserve Raise provision from the relevant Facility. As this is a minimisation problem, the Contingency variable will equal the RHS. This Constraint Equation is not activated where an alternative Defined Facility Risk Constraint is provided under paragraph 2.4.8.

2.4.8. Defined Contingency Constraint:

$$\begin{aligned} Contingency_d - \sum_{f \in \mathbf{F}, m \in \hat{\mathbf{M}}} (DefinedContingencyCoefficient_{d,f,m} * TrancheSum_{f,m}) \\ + DefinedContingencyDeficit - DefinedContingencySurplus \\ = DefinedContingencyConstant_d \end{aligned}$$

for d in \mathbf{D}

where $\hat{\mathbf{M}} = \{energy, regulation_raise, contingency_raise\}$

[K][J] Defined Contingency Constraint

These Constraint Equations require that the Contingency variable associated with each member of the Defined Contingency Set is greater than or equal to a linear combination of Energy, Regulation Raise, and Contingency Reserve Raise.

A Defined Contingency Constraint may be either represent a Facility Risk or a Network Risk. Where a Facility Risk Constrained Equation is provided, this is used in preference to the standard for of the Facility Contingency Constraint in paragraph 2.4.7.

2.4.9. Essential System Service Maximum Provision Percentage Constraint:

IF $ESSFlag_{f,m} = True$

$$\begin{aligned} TrancheSum_{f,m} - ESSMaximumProvisionPercentage_m * ESSRequirement_m \\ - MaxESSProvisionPercentageSurplus_{f,m} \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

ELSE

SKIP CONSTRAINT

for m in \mathbf{M} , for f in \mathbf{F}

where $m \neq energy, contingency_raise, rocof$

[L][K] Essential System Service Maximum Provision Percentage Constraint

This Constraint Equation requires that the provision of an Essential System Service by a Facility is no more than a given percentage of the total Essential System Service requirement.

2.4.10. Essential System Service Requirement Constraint:

$$\sum_{f \in F} (TrancheSum_{f,m}) + ESSDeficit_m \geq ESSRequirement_m$$

for m in M , where $m \notin \{energy, contingency_raise, rocof\}$

[M][L] Essential System Service Requirement Constraint

This Constraint Equation requires that the total procured Essential System Service is equal to or greater than the Essential System Service requirement for each market, other than Energy and Contingency Reserve Raise, which have separate requirements in paragraphs 2.4.1 and 2.4.12 respectively. Any Contingency Lower Offset is assumed incorporated into the ESS Requirement Constraint value for Contingency Reserve Lower.

Note that $ESSDeficit_m$ indicates the relevant Constraint Violation Quantity deficit variable for each Essential System Service as per Appendix B.

2.4.11. Contingency SOS1 Constraint:

$$\sum_{l \in L, h \in H} (DFCMBinary_{l,h}) = 1$$

[N][M] Contingency SOS1 Constraint

This Constraint Equation ensures that the DFCM Binary variables form a special ordered set of type 1 (SOS1). As the variables in the DFCM Binary are binary variables, this means that only one of these variables can be non-zero in a given solution.

2.4.12. Contingency Reserve Raise Requirement Constraint:

Indicator:

$$DFCMBinary_{l,h} = 1$$

Indicated Constraint:

$$\sum_{f \in F} (ContingencyRaisePF_{h,l,f} * TrancheSum_{f,conr}) - ContingencyRaiseRequirement + ContingencyRaiseDeficit \geq 0$$

for l in L , for h in H

[O][N] Contingency Reserve Raise Requirement Constraint

This Constraint Equation ensures that the quantity of procured Contingency Reserve Raise is greater than or equal to the requirement, reduced by the pre-calculated Contingency Raise Offset (see paragraph 2.2.13). This Constraint Equation makes use of an indicator Constraint, which is a functionality that can be found in commercial optimisation software, including FICO® Xpress.

This Constraint Equation should be read in conjunction with the Constraint Equation in paragraph 2.4.36.

2.4.13. Ramp Up Constraint:

$$TrancheSum_{f,energy} - RampRateUpSurplus_f \leq EnergyInitialMW_f + RampUpRate_f * IntervallLength$$

for f in F

2.4.14. Ramp Up Constraint Alternative:

This Constraint Equation applies, in place of the Ramp Up Constraint outlined in paragraph 2.4.13, to a Fast Start Facility that is transitioning from Fast Start Modes 1 or 2 to Fast Start Modes 3 or 4 (outlined in Appendix E) during the Dispatch Interval.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{TrancheSum}_{f,\text{energy}} - \text{RampRateUpSurplus}_f \\ \leq \text{FSIPMinLoading}_f + \text{RampUpRate}_f * \text{TimeAfterMode2}_f \\ \text{for } f \text{ in } \mathbf{F} \end{aligned}$$

[P]E[O] Ramp Up Constraint and Ramp Up Constraint Alternative

These Constraint Equations require that a Registered Facility's Dispatch Target for energy is within its Ramp Rate Limit based on the Facility's Initial MW quantity. Note that these Constraint Equations are applied differently depending on the status of a Fast Start Facility. See paragraph 3.1 for information on the application of these Constraint Equations.

2.4.15. Ramp Down Constraint:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{TrancheSum}_{f,\text{energy}} + \text{RampRateDownDeficit}_f \\ \geq \text{EnergyInitialMW}_f - \text{RampDownRate}_f * \text{IntervalLength} \\ \text{for } f \text{ in } \mathbf{F} \end{aligned}$$

2.4.16. Ramp Down Constraint Alternative:

This Constraint Equation applies to Fast Start Facilities in place of the Ramp Down Constraint in paragraph 2.4.15, for a Fast Start Facility that is transitioning from Fast Start Modes 1 or 2 to Fast Start Modes 3 or 4 (outlined in Appendix E) during the Dispatch Interval.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{TrancheSum}_{f,\text{energy}} + \text{RampRateDownDeficit}_f \\ \geq \text{FSIPMinLoading}_f - \text{RampDownRate}_f * \text{TimeAfterMode2}_f \\ \text{for } f \text{ in } \mathbf{F} \end{aligned}$$

E[Q]E[P] Ramp Down Constraint and Ramp Down Constraint Alternative

These Constraint Equations require that a Registered Facility's Dispatch Target for energy is within its Ramp Rate Limit based on the Registered Facility's Initial MW quantity. Note that these Constraint Equations are applied differently depending on the status of a Fast Start Facility. See paragraph 3.1 for information on the application of these Constraint Equations.

2.4.17. Essential System Service Enablement Constraint:

IF $ESSFlag_{f,m} = True$

SKIP CONSTRAINT

ELSE

$$TrancheSum_{f,m} - ESSEnablementSurplus = 0$$

for f in F , for m in M where $m \neq energy$

E[R]E[Q] Essential System Service Enablement Constraint

This Constraint Equation only allows Essential System Service provision for the given service if the relevant Registered Facility passes the Essential System Service pre-processing conditions in paragraph 2.4.44 and the Registered Facility is between its Enablement Minimum and its Enablement Maximum for the given Essential System Service.

2.4.18. Essential System Service Enablement Minimum Constraint:

IF $ESSFlag_{f,m} = False$

SKIP CONSTRAINT

ELSE

$$TrancheSum_{f,energy} + EnablementMinDeficit_{f,m} \geq EnablementMin_{f,m}$$

for f in F , for m in M where $m \neq energy$

E[S]E[R] Essential System Service Enablement Minimum Constraint

For Registered Facilities that pass the Essential System Service pre-processing conditions in paragraph 2.4.44, this Constraint Equation requires that these Registered Facilities are not dispatched for less than their Enablement Minimum for the relevant Essential System Service. If this Constraint's **shadow pricemarginal value** is non-zero, it indicates that a Registered Facility is "trapped" at its Enablement Minimum for energy quantity .

2.4.19. Essential System Service Enablement Maximum Constraint:

IF $ESSFlag_{f,m} = False$

SKIP CONSTRAINT

ELSE

$$TrancheSum_{f,energy} - EnablementMaxSurplus_{f,m} \leq EnablementMax_{f,m}$$

for f in F , for m in M where $m \neq energy$

[E][S] Essential System Service Enablement Maximum Constraint

For Registered Facilities that pass the Essential System Service pre-processing conditions in paragraph 2.4.44, this Constraint Equation requires that they are not dispatched for more than the Enablement Maximum for the relevant Essential System Service. If this Constraint's **shadow price/marginal value** is non-zero, it indicates that a Registered Facility is "trapped" at its Enablement Maximum for energy quantity.

2.4.20. Essential System Service Joint Ramping Up Constraint:

IF $ESSFlag_{f,regulation_raise} = False$

SKIP CONSTRAINT

ELSE

$$\begin{aligned} TrancheSum_{f,energy} + TrancheSum_{f,reg} - JointRampingSurplus_{f,m} \\ \leq EnergyInitialMW_f + (RampUpRate_f * IntervalLength) \\ \text{for } f \text{ in } \mathbf{F} \end{aligned}$$

[E][R] Essential System Service Joint Ramping Up Constraint

For Registered Facilities that are providing both energy and Regulation Raise, the combination of the dispatched quantities for these services cannot be greater than allowed by the Maximum Upwards Ramp Rate for the Registered Facility. That is, if a Registered Facility is already moving at its Maximum Upwards Ramp Rate for energy dispatch, it leaves no additional upwards capacity for Regulation Raise.

2.4.21. Essential System Service Joint Ramping Down Constraint:

IF $ESSFlag_{f,regulation_lower} = False$

SKIP CONSTRAINT

ELSE

$$\begin{aligned} TrancheSum_{f,energy} - TrancheSum_{f,regl} + JointRampingDeficit_{f,m} \\ \geq EnergyInitialMW_f - (RampDownRate_f * IntervalLength) \\ \text{for } f \text{ in } \mathbf{F} \end{aligned}$$

[E][L] Essential System Service Joint Ramping Down Constraint

For Registered Facilities that are providing both energy and Regulation Lower, the combination of the dispatched quantities for these services cannot be less than allowed by the Maximum Downwards Ramp Rate for the Registered Facility. That is, if a Registered Facility is already moving at its Maximum Downwards Ramp Rate for energy dispatch, it leaves no additional downwards capacity for Regulation Lower.

2.4.22. Essential System Service Joint Capacity Constraint 1:

IF $ESSFlag_{f,m} = False$

SKIP CONSTRAINT

ELSE

$$\begin{aligned} TrancheSum_{f,energy} + TrancheSum_{f,regulation_raise} + usc * TrancheSum_{f,m} \\ - JointCapacitySurplus_{f,m} \leq EnablementMax_{f,m} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } usc = \frac{EnablementMax_{f,m} - HighBP_{f,m}}{\sum_{t \in OS} TrancheUB_{f,m,t}}$$

for f in F , for m in $\{contingency_raise, contingency_lower\}$

[W]E[V] Essential System Service Joint Capacity Constraint 1

This Constraint Equation requires that a Registered Facility that is providing Regulation Raise, and one or both of Contingency Reserve Raise and/or Contingency Reserve Lower, is dispatched such that it can provide all Essential System Services concurrently.

2.4.23. Essential System Service Joint Capacity Constraint 2:

IF $ESSFlag_{f,m} = False$

SKIP CONSTRAINT

ELSE

$$TrancheSum_{f,energy} - TrancheSum_{f,regulation_lower} - lsc * TrancheSum_{f,m} + JointCapacityDeficit_{f,m} \geq EnablementMin_{f,m}$$

$$\text{where } lsc = \frac{LowBP_{f,m} - EnablementMin_{f,m}}{\sum_{t \in OS} TrancheUB_{f,m,t}}$$

for f in F , for m in $\{contingency_raise, contingency_lower\}$

[X]E[W] Essential System Service Joint Capacity Constraint 2

This Constraint Equation requires that a Registered Facility that is providing Regulation Lower, and one or both of Contingency Reserve Raise and/or Contingency Reserve Lower is dispatched such that it can provide all Essential System Services concurrently.

2.4.24. Essential System Service Energy and Regulation Constraint 1:

IF $ESSFlag_{f,m} = False$

SKIP CONSTRAINT

ELSE

$$TrancheSum_{f,energy} + usc * TrancheSum_{f,m} - ERSurplus_{f,m} \leq EnablementMax_{f,m}$$

$$\text{where } usc = \frac{EnablementMax_{f,m} - HighBP_{f,m}}{\sum_{t \in OS} TrancheUB_{f,m,t}}$$

for f in F , for m in $\{regulation_raise, regulation_lower\}$

2.4.25. Essential System Service Energy and Regulation Constraint 2:

IF $ESSFlag_{f,m} = False$

SKIP CONSTRAINT

ELSE

$$TrancheSum_{f,energy} - lsc * TrancheSum_{f,m} + ERDeficit_{f,m} \geq EnablementMin_{f,m}$$

$$\text{where } lsc = \frac{LowBP_{f,m} - EnablementMin_{f,m}}{\sum_{t \in OS} TrancheUB_{f,m,t}}$$

for f in F , for m in $\{regulation_raise, regulation_lower\}$

E[Y]E[X] Essential System Service Energy and Regulation Constraints

These Constraint Equations require that the dispatch of a Registered Facility that provides Regulation Raise and/or Regulation Lower together with energy occurs within bounds specified by the Regulation Raise and Regulation Lower Essential System Service Trapezium.

2.4.26. Pure Storage Discharge Constraint:

IF $FacilityStorageConstraintFlag_f = True$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{IntervaLength}{60} \cdot TrancheSum_{f,energy} + \frac{5}{60} TrancheSum_{f,regulation_raise} \\ & + \frac{15}{60} TrancheSum_{f,contingency_raise} - StorageSurplus \\ & \leq FacilityDischargeMWh_f \end{aligned}$$

ELSE

SKIP CONSTRAINT

for f in F

E[Z]E[Y] Pure Storage Discharge Constraint

A Constraint Equation that requires Registered Facilities with Electric Storage Resources to limit energy and Essential System Service provision subject to their charge level. It is intended that this Constraint will be an opt-in requirement. Facilities will need to manage their charge level and bids accordingly. Note that by convention, FacilityDischargeMWh is a value ≥ 0 .

2.4.27. Generic Constraints:

$$\sum_{f \in F, m \in M} (\text{GenericConstraintCoeff}_{g,f,m} * \text{TrancheSum}_{f,m}) - \text{GCSurplus}_g \leq \text{GenericConstraintRHS}_g$$

OR

$$\sum_{f \in F, m \in M} (\text{GenericConstraintCoeff}_{g,f,m} * \text{TrancheSum}_{f,m}) + \text{GCDeficit}_g \geq \text{GenericConstraintRHS}_g$$

OR

$$\sum_{f \in F, m \in M} (\text{GenericConstraintCoeff}_{g,f,m} * \text{TrancheSum}_{f,m}) - \text{GCSurplus}_g + \text{GCDeficit}_g = \text{GenericConstraintRHS}_g$$

for g in G

Generic Constraints

These Constraint Equations represent all forms of Constraints that may be activated and de-activated by AEMO to represent various system and Network conditions. Certain Generic Constraints may be tagged as Intervention Constraints for the purpose of the intervention pricing methodology in accordance with Appendix D.

2.4.28. Fast Start Inflexibility Profile Mode 1 Constraint:

IF $\text{FastStartFacility}_f$ AND ($\text{FSIPTargetMode}_f = 0$ OR $\text{FSIPTargetMode}_f = 1$)

$$\text{TrancheSum}_{f,energy} + \text{FSProfileDeficit}_f - \text{FSProfileSurplus}_f = 0$$

ELSE

SKIP CONSTRAINT

for f in F

2.4.29. Fast Start Inflexibility Profile Mode 2 Constraint:

IF $\text{FastStartFacility}_f$ AND $\text{FSIPTargetMode}_f = 2$

$$\text{TrancheSum}_{f,energy} + \text{FSProfileDeficit}_f - \text{FSProfileSurplus}_f = \frac{\text{FSIPTargetModeTime}_f * \text{FSIPMinLoading}_f}{\text{FSIPTTime}_{f,2}}$$

ELSE

SKIP CONSTRAINT

for f in F

2.4.30. Fast Start Inflexibility Profile Mode 3 Constraint:

IF $FastStartFacility_f$ AND $(FSIPTargetMode_f = 3)$ AND $(FSIPMinLoading_f \geq 0)$:

$$TrancheSum_{f,energy} + FSProfileDeficit_f \geq FSIPMinLoading_f$$

ELSE IF $FastStartFacility_f$ AND $(FSIPTargetMode_f = 3)$ AND $(FSIPMinLoading_f < 0)$:

$$TrancheSum_{f,energy} - FSProfileSurplus_f \leq FSIPMinLoading_f$$

ELSE:

SKIP CONSTRAINT

for f in F

2.4.31. Fast Start Inflexibility Profile Mode 4 Constraint:

IF $FastStartFacility_f$ AND $(FSIPTargetMode_f = 4)$ AND $(FSIPTargetModeTime_f < FSIPTime_{f,A})$ AND $(FSIPMinLoading_f \geq 0)$:

$$TrancheSum_{f,energy} + FSProfileDeficit_f \geq \frac{FSIPMinLoading_f * (FSIPTime_{f,A} - FSIPTargetModeTime_f)}{FSIPTime_{f,A}}$$

IF $FastStartFacility_f$ AND $(FSIPTargetMode_f = 4)$ AND $(FSIPTargetModeTime_f < FSIPTime_{f,A})$ AND $(FSIPMinLoading_f < 0)$:

$$TrancheSum_{f,energy} - FSProfileSurplus_f \leq \frac{FSIPMinLoading_f * (FSIPTime_{f,A} - FSIPTargetModeTime_f)}{FSIPTime_{f,A}}$$

ELSE

SKIP CONSTRAINT

for f in F

[BB][AA] Fast Start Inflexibility Profile Constraints

These Constraint Equations give effect to Dispatch Inflexibility Profiles for Fast Start Facilities, requiring that the times provided for T1, T2, T3, and T4 in the effective Real Time Market Submission are respected.

2.4.32. Inflexibility Constraint:

IF $InflexibleFlag_f = TRUE$ AND $FacilityClass \neq NSF$

$$TrancheSum_{f,energy} - InflexibleFlagSurplus_f + InflexibleFlagDeficit_f = \sum_{t \in OS} (TrancheUB_{f,energy,t}) + \sum_{t \in OS} (TrancheLB_{f,energy,t})$$

ELSE

SKIP CONSTRAINT

for f in F

[CC][E][BB] Inflexibility Constraint

This Constraint Equation indicates that a Facility cannot move from the total energy quantity offered in its effective Real Time Market Submission. This can be a single Constraint as, if there are two right hand side parameters, only one will be non-zero at a time. AEMO’s implementation of this Constraint relies on upstream systems ensuring that there is only a single offered tranche for a Facility using the Inflexibility Flag Constraint.

AEPC_2024_13 Explanatory Note:

Tiebreaking constraints under paragraphs 2.4.33 and 2.4.34 are deleted and replaced with new constraints under paragraphs 2.4.45, 2.4.46, 2.4.47, and 2.4.48. Paragraphs 2.4.33 and 2.4.34, will remain as [BLANK], due to certification testing being linked to paragraph numbers in this WEM Procedure.

2.4.33. Tie-Breaking Constraint 1:[BLANK]

~~Let S be the set of price tied tranches within a Market Service m such that the absolute value of the difference in $TranchePrices$ within a unique tranche pair k is within $1e^{-6}$ in value~~

~~where any $k = \{s_{1k}, s_{2k}\}, s_{1k}, s_{2k} \in S$~~

~~and where the $TrancheUB$ quantity is non zero~~

$$\frac{TBSlack1_{k,UB}}{TrancheUB_{s_{2k}}} - \frac{TBSlack2_{k,UB}}{TrancheUB_{s_{1k}}} = \frac{TrancheQuantity_{s_{2k}}}{TrancheUB_{s_{2k}}} - \frac{TrancheQuantity_{s_{1k}}}{TrancheUB_{s_{1k}}}$$

~~for each k~~

~~for each Market Service~~

2.4.34. Tie-Breaking Constraint 2:[BLANK]

~~Let S be the set of price tied tranches within a Market Service m such that the absolute value of the difference in $TranchePrices$ within a unique tranche pair k is within $1e^{-6}$ in value~~

~~where any $k = \{s_{1k}, s_{2k}\}, s_{1k}, s_{2k} \in S$~~

~~and where the $TrancheLB$ quantity is non zero~~

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{TBSlack1_{k,LB} - TBSlack2_{k,LB}}{TrancheQuantity_{SZk} / TrancheLB_{SZk} - TrancheQuantity_{S1k} / TrancheLB_{S1k}} \\
 & \quad \text{for each } k \\
 & \quad \text{for each Market Service}
 \end{aligned}$$

~~E[DD]~~ Tiebreaking Constraints

The result of Constraint Equations 2.4.33 and 2.4.34 is that the Dispatch Algorithm attempts to minimise the sum of the values of TBSlack1 and TBSlack2, subject to other Constraints. TBSlack1 and TBSlack2 are penalised in the objective function with sufficiently small coefficients such that the price-tied pairs will be dispatch in proportion, unless it has a material impact on the value of the objective function, including where it would result in another Constraint violating.

The Dispatch Algorithm is required to apportion price ties for all Market Services, not just energy.

2.4.35. Tranche Sum Constraint:

$$\sum_{t \in OS} (TrancheQuantity_{f,m,t}) = TrancheSum_{f,m}$$

for f in F , for m in M

~~E[CC]~~ Tranche Sum Constraint

This Constraint Equation ensures that Tranche Sum variable is equal to the sum of the Tranche Quantity variables for a given Registered Facility and Market Service.

2.4.36. Contingency Raise Requirement Auxiliary Constraint:

Indicator:

$$DFCMBinary_{l,h} = 1$$

Indicated Constraint:

$$ContingencyRaiseRequirement - LargestContingency \geq -ContingencyRaiseOffset_{h,l}$$

for l in L , for h in H

~~E[DD]~~ Contingency Raise Requirement Auxiliary Constraint

This Constraint Equation requires that the Contingency Raise Requirement Variables is greater than all of the Contingency variables, with an allowance for any Contingency Raise Offset determined by AEMO.

2.4.37. Contingency Raise Maximum Provision Percentage Constraint:

$$IF \text{ ESSFlag}_{f,conRaise} = True$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & TrancheSum_{f,contingency_raise} - ESSMaximumProvisionPercentage_{contingency_raise} \\
 & \quad * ContingencyRaiseRequirement \\
 & \quad - MaxESSProvisionPercentageSurplus_{f,contingency_raise} \leq 0
 \end{aligned}$$

ELSE

SKIP CONSTRAINT

for f in \mathbf{F}

~~E[GG]~~E[EE] Contingency Raise Maximum Provision Percentage Constraint

This Constraint Equation can be used to limit the maximum Contingency Reserve Raise procured from a single Registered Facility as a percentage of the sum of the dispatched total energy or a given Essential System Service.

2.4.38. RoCoF Control Service Requirement Constraint:

$$\sum_{f \in \mathbf{F}} (\text{TrancheSum}_{f, \text{rocof}}) + \text{RCSDeficit} \geq \text{RoCoFRequirement}$$

~~E[HH]~~E[FF] RoCoF Control Service Requirement Constraint

This Constraint Equation requires that the total quantity of procured RoCoF Control Service is greater than the RoCoF Control Requirement, which is determined by the combination of the Constraints in paragraphs 2.4.6 and 2.4.40.

2.4.39. RoCoF Control Service Maximum Provision Percentage Constraint:

IF $\text{ESSFlag}_{f, \text{RoCoF}} = \text{True}$

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{TrancheSum}_{f, \text{rocof}} - \text{ESSMaximumProvisionPercentage}_{\text{rocof}} * \text{RoCoFRequirement} \\ &\quad - \text{MaxESSProvisionPercentageSurplus}_{f, \text{rocof}} \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

ELSE

SKIP CONSTRAINT

for f in \mathbf{F}

~~E[HH]~~E[GG] RoCoF Control Service Maximum Provision Percentage Constraint

This Constraint Equation can be used to restrict the maximum contribution of a single Registered Facility towards the RoCoF Control Requirement where this is considered necessary for Power System Security.

2.4.40. RoCoF Control Minimum Requirement Constraint:

$$\text{RoCoFRequirement} \geq \text{ESSRequirement}_{\text{rocof}}$$

E[JJ]E[HH] _____ RoCoF Control Service Minimum Requirement Constraint

This Constraint Equation is used to set an exogenous minimum requirement for RoCoF Control Service where this is considered necessary to maintain Power System Security, in accordance with the WEM Procedure: Essential System Service Quantities. Its value is sourced either from the DFCM as per the WEM Procedure: Essential System Service Quantities, or as otherwise determined by AEMO.

2.4.41. Unconstrained Injection Forecast Constraint:

IF FacilityClass = SSF

$$\text{TrancheSum}_{f,\text{energy}} - \text{UIFSurplus}_f \leq \text{UIF}_f$$

ELSE

SKIP CONSTRAINT

for f in F

E[KK]E[II] _____ Unconstrained Injection Forecast Constraint

This Constraint Equation restricts the energy dispatch of SSF to no more than their forecast UIF.

2.4.42. Unconstrained Withdrawal Forecast Constraint:

IF FacilityClass = SSF

$$\text{TrancheSum}_{f,\text{energy}} + \text{UWFDeficit}_f \geq \text{UWF}_f$$

ELSE

SKIP CONSTRAINT

for f in F

E[LL]E[JJ] _____ Unconstrained Withdrawal Forecast Constraint

This Constraint Equation restricts the energy dispatch of SSF to no less than their UWF.

2.4.43. NSF Constraint

IF FacilityClass = NSF

IF UIF = 0 AND UWF < 0

$$\text{TrancheSum}_{f,\text{energy}} + \text{NSFDeficit}_f - \text{NSFSurplus}_f = \text{UWF}_f$$

IF UWF = 0 AND UIF ≥ 0

$$\text{TrancheSum}_{f,\text{energy}} + \text{NSFDeficit}_f - \text{NSFSurplus}_f = \text{UIF}_f$$

ELSE

$$\text{TrancheSum}_{f,\text{energy}} + \text{NSFDeficit}_f - \text{NSFSurplus}_f = 0$$

ELSE

SKIP CONSTRAINT

for f in F

~~E[MM]E[KK]~~ NSF Constraint

This Constraint Equation treats Non-Scheduled Facilities as Inflexible, by fixing their Dispatch Quantities to the submitted UIF or Unconstrained Withdrawal Forecast, as applicable.

2.4.44. Pure Storage Charge Constraint:

IF FacilityStorageConstraintFlag_f = True

$$\frac{IntervalLength}{60} \cdot TrancheSum_{f,energy} - \frac{5}{60} TrancheSum_{f,regulation_lower} - \frac{15}{60} TrancheSum_{f,contingency_lower} + StorageDeficit \geq FacilityChargeMWh_f$$

ELSE

SKIP CONSTRAINT

for f in F

~~E[NN]E[LL]~~ Pure Storage Charge Constraint

A Constraint Equation that requires Registered Facilities with Electric Storage Resources to limit energy and Essential System Service provision, subject to their charge level. It is intended that this Constraint will be an opt-in requirement. Facilities will need to manage their charge level and bids accordingly. Note that by convention, FacilityChargeMWh is a value ≤ 0 .

AEPC_2024_13 Explanatory Note:

Paragraphs 2.4.45 and 2.4.46, 2.4.47 and 2.4.48 have been added to represent the Tiebreaking Constraints applied for each relevant Market Service to avoid degenerate solutions in accordance with the requirements of clauses 7.5.15 and 7.5.16 of the FCESS Cost Review Amending Rules.

2.4.45. Tiebreaking Constraint - Energy:

$$TBSlack1_{sK_s} = \sum_{k \in K_s, f \in F_k} (PO_{f,k} * TrancheQuantity_{f,m,k})$$

for s in S_m

where m = energy

where F_k is the set of Registered Facilities with Tranche Quantities associated with K_s

2.4.46. Tiebreaking Constraint - FCESS:

$$TBSlack2_{sK_s} = \sum_{k \in K_s, f \in F_k} (PO_{f,k} * TrancheQuantity_{f,m,k})$$

for s in S_m

for m in M, where m \notin {energy, contingency_raise, rocof}

where F_k is the set of Registered Facilities with Tranche Quantities associated with K_s

2.4.47. Tiebreaking Constraint – Contingency Reserve Raise:

Indicator:

$$DFCMBinary_{l,h} = 1$$

Indicated Constraint:

$$TBSlack3_{sK=} \geq \sum_{k \in K_s, f \in F_k} (PO_{f,k} * ContingencyRaisePF_{h,l,f} * TrancheQuantity_{f,m,k})$$

for s in S_m

for l in L , for h in H

where $m = contingency_raise$

where F_k is the set of Registered Facilities with Tranche Quantities associated with K_{sm}

2.4.48. Tiebreaking Constraint – RoCoF Control Service:

$$TBSlack4_s = \sum_{k \in K_s, f \in F_k} \left(\frac{-1}{PO_{f,k}} * TrancheQuantity_{f,m,k} \right)$$

for s in S_m

where $m = rocof$

where F_k is the set of Registered Facilities with Tranche Quantities associated with K_s

E[MM] Tiebreaking Constraints

Paragraphs 2.4.45, 2.4.46, 2.4.47, and 2.4.48 contain the Constraint Equations that give effect to clauses 7.5.15 and 7.5.16 for avoiding Degenerate Solutions in the Dispatch Algorithm caused by tied Price-Quantity Pairs.

Note that the Constraint Equation under paragraph 2.4.47 can be written as an equality, however due to limitations in the software used by AEMO to implement the Dispatch Algorithm, it instead must be written as a greater-than inequality. This has no impact on the Dispatch Algorithm.

2.5. Essential System Service Pre-Processing Conditions

~~[[0]]~~ Note on Registered Facilities only providing Essential System Services – Only Facilities

Where a Registered Facility does not have energy offers (that is, it only provides one or more Essential System Services), the Initial MW quantity for energy, the sum of the Tranche Upper Bound, and the sum of the Tranche Lower Bound (as defined in paragraph 2) used in the ESS Flag Constraint are assumed to be zero. As such, the flags in paragraph 2.4.44 will pass for Registered Facilities with no energy offers if, and only if, the Enablement Minimum, Low Breakpoint, High Breakpoint, and Enablement Maximum for the Essential System Service are all equal to zero.

2.5.1. Constraint Equations in paragraphs 2.4.17 through to 2.4.25 inclusive contain an ESS Flag parameter, where, for a Registered Facility, for Regulation Raise, Regulation Lower, Contingency Reserve Raise, and Contingency Reserve Lower:

- (a) the ESS Flag is set to true if:
 - (i) the conditions in paragraphs 2.5.3, 2.5.5, 2.5.6, and 2.5.7 are met; and
 - (ii) the Inflexibility Flag from paragraph 2.2.34 is false; and
- (b) otherwise, the ESS Flag is set to false.

2.5.2. Constraint Equations in paragraphs 2.4.17 through to 2.4.25 inclusive contain an ESS Flag parameter, where, for a Registered Facility, for RoCoF Control Service:

- (a) the ESS Flag is set to true if the conditions in paragraphs 2.5.3, 2.5.5, 2.5.6, and 2.5.7 are met; or
- (b) otherwise, the ESS Flag is set to false.

2.5.3. For the purpose of paragraph 2.5.4:

IF EnablementMin_{f,m} ≥ 0

$$EnablementMinPreProcessing_{f,m} = EnablementMin_{f,m} - MAX(0.06 * EnablementMin_{f,m}, 3)$$

ELSE

$$EnablementMinPreProcessing_{f,m} = EnablementMin_{f,m} + MIN(0.06 * EnablementMin_{f,m}, -3)$$

and,

IF EnablementMax_{f,m} ≥ 0

$$EnablementMaxPreProcessing_{f,m} = EnablementMax_{f,m} + MAX(0.06 * EnablementMax_{f,m}, 3)$$

ELSE

$$EnablementMaxPreProcessing_{f,m} = EnablementMax_{f,m} - MIN(0.06 * EnablementMax_{f,m}, -3)$$

for f in F, for m in M where m ≠ energy

~~[[PP]]~~~~[[OO]]~~ Note on paragraphs 2.5.3 and 2.5.4

Paragraph 2.5.3 provides an allowance for the ESS Flag Condition 1 calculated under paragraph 2.5.4. This is to account for the potential Droop Response by the Facility when operating at either edge of its Essential System Service Trapezium, as well as to manage minor telemetry errors.

2.5.4. ESS Flag Condition 1:

$$EnablementMinPreProcessing_{f,m} \leq EnergyInitialMW_f \leq EnablementMaxPreProcessing_{f,m}$$

for f in F, for m in M where m ≠ energy

2.5.5. ESS Flag Condition 2:

$$\sum_{t \in OS} TrancheUB_{f,energy,t} \geq EnablementMin_{f,m}$$

for f in F, for m in M where m ≠ energy

2.5.6. ESS Flag Condition 3:

$$\sum_{t \in OS} TrancheLB_{f,energy,t} \leq EnablementMax_{f,m}$$

for f in F, for m in M where m ≠ energy

2.5.7. ESS Flag Condition 4:

$$\sum_{t \in OS} TrancheUB_{f,m,t} > 0$$

for f in F, for m in M where m ≠ energy

2.6. Pre-processing of Fast Start Facility Parameters

~~[[QQ]]~~~~[[PP]]~~ Note on Fast Start Pre-processing

This paragraph discusses the use and pre-processing of Fast Start Facility parameters where they are used in accordance with paragraph 3. Pre-processing of Fast Start Facility parameters consists of processing the necessary information in the appropriate format for committing and dispatching Fast Start Facilities. In standard Fast Start operation, the Dispatch Algorithm needs to know:

- the mode that a fast start unit is operating in; and
- how long it has been in that mode?

This paragraph discusses three non-standard scenarios for Fast Start Facilities where the Fast Start Current Mode and Fast Start Current Mode Time need to be reset.

The details of each Fast Start Current Mode can be found in Appendix E

2.6.1. For the first Dispatch Interval of a Dispatch Schedule, for a Fast Start Facility, where:

- (a) all of the times T1, T2, T3, and T4 as described in clauses 7.4.44(a) to 7.4.44(d) are zero or null, then the Fast Start Facility Flag as per paragraph 2.2.36 will be set to false; or
- (b) at least one of the times T1, T2, T3, and T4 as described in clauses 7.4.44(a) to ~~to~~ 7.4.44(d) is not zero, then the Fast Start Facility Flag as per paragraph 2.2.36 will be set to true.

- 2.6.2. Where a Fast Start Facility's Fast Start Facility Flag as per paragraph 2.2.36 was set to false for the first Dispatch Interval of the Dispatch Schedule immediately prior to the current Dispatch Schedule, and for the first Dispatch Interval of the current Dispatch Schedule, the Fast Start Facility's Fast Start Facility Flag is set to true;
- where the Fast Start Facility's Initial MW value as per paragraph 2.2.4 is less than 1 MW, the Fast Start Facility's Fast Start Current Mode will be set to 0, and the Fast Start Current Mode Time will be set to 0;
 - where the Fast Start Facility's Initial MW value as per paragraph 2.2.4 is greater than or equal to 1 MW, the Fast Start Facility's Fast Start Current Mode will be set to 5, and the Fast Start Current Mode Time will be set to time T4 as per clause 7.4.44(d).
- 2.6.3. Where a Fast Start Facility's Fast Start Facility Flag was set to true for the first Dispatch Interval of the Dispatch Schedule immediately prior to the current Dispatch Schedule, and for the first Dispatch Interval of the current Dispatch Schedule, as per paragraph 2.2.36, the Fast Start Facility's Fast Start Facility Flag is set to false:
- where the Fast Start Facility's Initial MW value as per paragraph 2.2.4 is less than 1 MW, and the Fast Start Facility's Fast Start Current Mode is zero, then the Fast Start Current Mode Time will be set to 0.
 - otherwise, the Fast Start Facility's Fast Start Current Mode will be set to 5, and the Fast Start Current Mode Time will be set to time T4 as per clause 7.4.44(d).
- 2.6.4. The Fast Start Current Mode Time of each Fast Start Facility will be capped in accordance with its Dispatch Inflexibility Profile.

2.7. Objective Function

- 2.7.1. The objective function of the Dispatch Algorithm is:

Minimise

$$Objective = \sum_{t \in OS} (TrancheQuantity_{f,m,t} * TranchePrice_{f,m,t}) + \sum_{j \in CVP} (CVP_j * CVQ_j)$$

where CVQ_j represents each of the CVQ variables listed in Appendix B

3. Dispatch Algorithm Solution Process

AEPC_2024_13 Explanatory Note:

The preamble for paragraph 3 has been amended to remove reference to clause 7.6.23 of the WEM Rules, following the deletion of this clause under the FCESS Cost Review Amending Rules. The paragraph is updated to reference new clauses 7.5.15 to 7.5.18 and the associated paragraphs within the WEM Procedure which document the priority order.

Preamble:

This paragraph 3 sets out the process for solving the Dispatch Algorithm for each Market Schedule, and accounts for certain conditions where alternative logic paths may be required for a particular Dispatch Interval or Pre-Dispatch Interval.

AEMO accounts for Inflexible Facilities via the application of the Constraints in paragraphs 2.4.28, 2.4.29, 2.4.30, 2.4.31, 2.4.32, and 2.4.43. The relevant parameters are provided by Market Participants for a Facility as set out in the WEM Procedure: [Participant WEM Submissions](#).

AEMO achieves the priority order required by clauses [7.56.15 to 7.5.1823](#) of the WEM Rules via the application of the Constraints in paragraphs [2.4.45, 2.4.46, 2.4.47, and 2.4.48](#) [2-3.7, 2.4.33, and 2.4.34](#), and the process documented in [Appendix G](#).

AEMO has not identified any situations it deems significant for the purpose of clause 7.6.24(b) of the WEM Rules, and as a consequence has not implemented Oscillation Control Constraints as part of the Dispatch Algorithm at this time.

3.1. Primary Dispatch Interval

~~ERR~~[\[QQ\]](#) Note on Paragraph 3.1

Paragraph 3.1 sets out the steps to be completed to produce a solution from the Dispatch Algorithm for the first Dispatch Interval in a Dispatch Schedule, including logic for Fast Start Facilities, Intervention Pricing Runs, and Over-Constrained Dispatch Runs.

- 3.1.1. Where a solve of an optimisation problem is required in this paragraph 3.1, the optimisation problem will use the same set of Constraint Equations applied to the step immediately prior to it, unless otherwise specified.

AEPC_2024_13 Explanatory Note:

Paragraph 3.1.2(c) has been added to separate Active Fast Start Facilities with a Fast Start Target Mode of 0 to 4 and Constraint Equations that do not apply to these Facilities from paragraph 3.1.2(b). AEMO has made this change, as an Active Fast Start Facility in Fast Start Target Mode 5 effectively operates like a normal Facility and therefore, the Constraint Equations that do not apply to this type of Facility differs from those with a Fast Start Target Mode of 0 to 4.

- 3.1.2. **Step 1:** Solve the optimisation problem defined in paragraph 2, with the following modifications:
- (a) Constrain the RoCoF Control Service Requirement variable from paragraph 2.3.9 to be less than or equal to the greater of:
 - (i) the Essential System Service Requirement parameter for RoCoF Control Service from paragraph 2.2.12; and

(ii) the System Inertia parameter from paragraph 2.2.9.

(b) For any Active Fast Start Facilities, do not apply the Constraint Equations outlined in paragraphs 2.4.13, 2.4.14, 2.4.15, 2.4.16, 2.4.28, 2.4.29, 2.4.30, and 2.4.31; and

(b)(c) For any Active Fast Start Facilities with a Fast Start Target Mode 0 to 4, do not apply Constraint Equations outlined in paragraph 2.4.13, 2.4.14, 2.4.15, 2.4.16; and

(e)(d) For Active Fast Start Facilities with a Fast Start Current Mode of 0, 1, or 2 at the start of the Dispatch Interval, do not apply the Constraint Equations outlined in paragraphs 2.4.20 and 2.4.21 to these Facilities;

(d)(e) Update the Active Fast Start Facilities' Fast Start Target Mode and Fast Start Target Mode Times based on their Fast Start Current Mode, Fast Start Current Mode Time, and Dispatch Inflexibility Profiles.

then progress to Step 2 of this paragraph.

3.1.3. **Step 2:** In the solution to the optimisation problem in Step 1:

(a) If any Active Fast Start Facility has a Fast Start Target Mode of 5, and the Tranche Sum variable for energy for the Active Fast Start Facility is less than the Fast Start Threshold variable, change the Fast Start Current Mode to 0 and reset the Fast Start Current Mode Time to 0;

(b) For any Active Fast Start Facilities with a Fast Start Current Mode of 0 where the Tranche Sum variable for energy for the Active Fast Start Facility is greater than or equal to the Fast Start Threshold variable, change the Fast Start Current Mode to 1 and Fast Start Current Mode Time to 0;

(c) Update each Active Fast Start Facility's Fast Start Target Mode and Fast Start Target Mode Time based on the Fast Start Current Mode and Fast Start Current Mode Time as updated in Step 1(d) in paragraph 3.1.3 and Dispatch Inflexibility Profiles;

If following these modifications, one or more Active Fast Start Facilities has a Fast Start Current Mode of 1, 2, 3, or 4; then progress to Step 3B in paragraph 3.1.6. Otherwise, progress to Step 3A in paragraph 3.1.5.

3.1.4. **Step 3A:** If in the solution produced in the previous step (step 2 or step 3B as relevant), one or more invoked Intervention Constraints are binding (or violating), progress to Step 4 in paragraph 3.1.7. Otherwise progress to Step 5 in paragraph 3.1.8.

3.1.5. **Step 3B:** Solve the optimisation problem defined in section 2 with the following modifications:


(a) For any Active Fast Start Facilities that have a Fast Start Current Mode of 1 or 2, and a Fast Start Target Mode of 3 or 4, exclude the Constraint Equations outlined in paragraphs 2.4.13 and 2.4.15 and use the Constraint Equations in paragraphs 2.4.14 and 2.4.16 in their place;

(b) For all Active Fast Start Facilities that do not meet the conditions in paragraph 3.1.5(a), exclude the Constraint Equations outlined in paragraphs 2.4.14 and 2.4.16 and use the Constraint Equations outlined in paragraphs 2.4.13 and 2.4.15 in their place;

- (c) If the Fast Start Target Mode for an Active Fast Start Facility is Fast Start Mode 0 or Fast Start Mode 1, then AEMO will apply the Fast Start Inflexibility Profile Mode 1 Constraint Equation outlined in paragraph 2.4.28 to the Fast Start Facility;
- (d) If the Fast Start Target Mode for an Active Fast Start Facility is Fast Start Mode 2, then AEMO will apply the Fast Start Inflexibility Profile Mode 2 Constraint Equation outlined in paragraph 2.4.29 to the Fast Start Facility;
- (e) If the Fast Start Target Mode for an Active Fast Start Facility is Fast Start Mode 3, then AEMO will apply the Fast Start Inflexibility Profile Mode 3 Constraint Equation outlined in section 2.4.30 to the Fast Start Facility;
- (f) If the Fast Start Target Mode for an Active Fast Start Facility is Fast Start Mode 4, then AEMO will apply the Fast Start Inflexibility Profile Mode 4 Constraint Equation, outlined in section 2.4.31 to the Fast Start Facility;

then progress to Step 3A of this section.

- 3.1.6. **Step 4:** Solve the optimisation problem with the modifications applied in Step 3A, and following the Intervention Pricing Run process in Appendix D, then progress to Step 5 in paragraph 3.1.8.
- 3.1.7. **Step 5:** If any of the Constraint Violation Quantities are greater than zero in the solution produced in the previous step, perform an Over-Constrained Dispatch Run on that solution, using the logic in Appendix C.
- 3.1.8. **Step 6:** Produce final results as follows, applying any conditions from paragraph 3.1.9 or 3.1.10, if relevant:
 - (a) If a Fast Start Second Pass Run under step 3B in paragraph 3.1.6 was performed, use the results of this run.
 - (b) If a Fast Start Second Pass Run under step 3B in paragraph 3.1.6 was not performed, use the results of the first run conducted under step 1 in paragraph 3.1.3.
- 3.1.9. If an Intervention Pricing Run was conducted under Step 3A in paragraph 3.1.4, use prices determined in this run in preference to other runs for the purpose of setting Market Clearing Prices, except where the conditions in paragraph 3.1.10 are met.
- 3.1.10. If an Over-Constrained Dispatch Run was conducted under Step 5 in paragraph 3.1.8, use prices determined in this run in preference to other runs for the purpose of setting Market Clearing Prices.



3.2. All other Dispatch Intervals in the Dispatch Schedule

E[TT]E[SS] Note on Paragraph 3.2

Paragraph 3.2 sets out the steps to be completed to produce a solution from the Dispatch Algorithm for the all Dispatch Intervals in a Dispatch Schedule other than the first Dispatch Interval, including logic for Fast Start Facilities, Intervention Pricing Runs, and Over-Constrained Dispatch Runs.

3.2.1. Where a solve of an optimisation problem outlined in paragraph 2 is required in this paragraph 3.2, the optimisation problem will use the same set of Constraint Equations applied to the step immediately prior to it, unless otherwise specified.

AEPC_2024_13 Explanatory Note:

Paragraphs 3.2.2(c) and 3.2.2(d) have also been amended in accordance with the abovementioned changes to paragraphs 3.1.2(c) and 3.1.2(b)

3.2.2. **Step 1:** Solve the optimisation problem defined in paragraph 2, with the following modifications:

- (a) Where a Registered Facility’s Enablement Minimum and Enablement Maximum for RoCoF Control Service are both greater than zero, set the Registered Facility’s Enablement Minimum for RoCoF Control Service to zero;
- (b) Where a Registered Facility’s Enablement Minimum and Enablement Maximum for RoCoF Control Service are both less than zero, set the Registered Facility’s Enablement Maximum for RoCoF Control Service to zero;


- (c) For any Active Fast Start Facilities, do not apply the Constraint Equations outlined in paragraphs ~~2.4.13, 2.4.14, 2.4.15, 2.4.16~~, 2.4.28, 2.4.29, 2.4.30, and 2.4.31; and
- ~~(e)~~(d) For any Active Fast Start Facilities with a Fast Start Target Mode 0 to 4, do not apply Constraint Equations outlined in paragraph ~~2.4.13, 2.4.14, 2.4.15, 2.4.16~~; and
- ~~(d)~~(e) For Active Fast Start Facilities with a Fast Start Current Mode of 0, 1, or 2 at the start of the Dispatch Interval, do not apply the Constraint Equations outlined in paragraphs 2.4.20 and 2.4.21 to these Facilities;
- ~~(e)~~(f) Update the Active Fast Start Facility's Fast Start Target Mode and Fast Start Target Mode Times based on their Fast Start Current Mode, Fast Start Current Mode Time, and Dispatch Inflexibility Profiles; and
- then progress to Step 2 of this paragraph.

DRAFT

E[UU]E[TT] Adjustment of Enablement Limits for RoCoF Control Service

For all instances of the Dispatch Algorithm, except the first Dispatch Interval in a Dispatch Schedule, the Enablement Limits of the RoCoF Control Service Essential System Service Trapezium are relaxed via application of Constraint Violation Quantities. This allows for the forecast commitment and decommitment of Registered Facilities for RoCoF Control Service in future Dispatch Intervals and Pre-Dispatch Intervals, where they would otherwise be disabled or enabled due to the Essential System Service pre-processing conditions. The effects of the modifications made in this paragraph 3 are shown below visually for reference:

Figure E[2]. Trapezia adjustments for RoCoF Control Service



3.2.3. **Step 2:** In the solution to the optimisation problem in Step 1:

- (a) If any Active Fast Start Facility has a Fast Start Target Mode of 5, and the Tranche Sum variable for energy for the Active Fast Start Facility is less than the Fast Start Threshold variable, change the Fast Start Current Mode to 0 and reset the Fast Start Current Mode Time to 0;
- (b) For any Active Fast Start Facilities with a Fast Start Current Mode of 0, where the Tranche Sum variable for energy for the Active Fast Start Facility is greater than or equal to the Fast Start Threshold variable, change the Fast Start Current Mode to 1 and Fast Start Current Mode Time to 0; or

- (c) Update each Active Fast Start Facility's Fast Start Target Mode and Fast Start Target Mode Time based on the Fast Start Current Mode and Fast Start Current Mode Time as updated in Step 1(d) in paragraph 3.1.3 and Dispatch Inflexibility Profiles; and
- if, following these modifications, one or more Active Fast Start Facilities has a Fast Start Current Mode of 1, 2, 3, or 4; then progress to Step 3B of this paragraph. Otherwise, progress to Step 3A of this paragraph.
- 3.2.4. **Step 3A:** If, in the solution produced in the previous step (step 2 or step 3B, as relevant), one or more invoked Intervention Constraints are binding (or violating), progress to Step 4 of this paragraph. Otherwise progress to Step 5 of this paragraph.
- 3.2.5. **Step 3B:** Solve the optimisation problem defined in section 2 with the following modifications:
- (a) For any Active Fast Start Facilities that have a Fast Start Current Mode of 1 or 2, and a Fast Start Target Mode of 3 or 4, exclude the Constraint Equations outlined in paragraphs 2.4.13 and 2.4.15 and use the Constraint Equations in paragraphs 2.4.14 and 2.4.16 in their place;
 - (b) For all Active Fast Start Facilities that do not meet the conditions in paragraph 3.2.5(a), exclude the Constraint Equations outlined in paragraphs 2.4.14 and 2.4.16 and use the Constraint Equations outlined in paragraphs 2.4.13 and 2.4.15 in their place;
 - (c) If the Fast Start Target Mode for an Active Fast Start Facility is Fast Start Mode 0 or Fast Start Mode 1, then AEMO will apply the Fast Start Inflexibility Profile Mode 1 Constraint Equation outlined in paragraph 2.4.28 to the Fast Start Facility;
 - (d) If the Fast Start Target Mode for an Active Fast Start Facility is Fast Start Mode 2, then AEMO will apply the Fast Start Inflexibility Profile Mode 2 Constraint Equation outlined in paragraph 2.4.29 to the Fast Start Facility;
 - (e) If the Fast Start Target Mode for an Active Fast Start Facility is Fast Start Mode 3, then AEMO will apply the Fast Start Inflexibility Profile Mode 3 Constraint Equation outlined in paragraph 2.4.30 to the Fast Start Facility; or
 - (f) If the Fast Start Target Mode for an Active Fast Start Facility is Fast Start Mode 4, then AEMO will apply the Fast Start Inflexibility Profile Mode 4 Constraint Equation, outlined in paragraph 2.4.31 to the Fast Start Facility,
- then progress to Step 3A of this paragraph.
- 3.2.6. **Step 4:** Solve the optimisation problem with the modifications applied in Step 3A, and following the Intervention Pricing Run process in Appendix D, progress to Step 5 of this paragraph.
- 3.2.7. **Step 5:** If any of the Constraint Violation Quantities are greater than zero in the solution produced in the previous step, perform an Over-Constrained Dispatch Run on that solution, using the logic in Appendix C.
- 3.2.8. **Step 6:** Produce final results as follows, applying conditions from paragraph 3.2.9 or 3.2.10, where relevant:
- (a) if a Fast Start Second Pass Run under Step 3B (paragraph 3.2.5) was performed, use the results of this run; or

- (b) if a Fast Start Second Pass Run under Step 3B (paragraph 3.2.5) was not performed, use the results of the first run conducted under Step 1 of (paragraph 3.2.2).
- 3.2.9. If an Intervention Pricing Run was conducted under Step 3 (paragraph 3.2.4 or 3.2.5), use prices determined in this run in preference to other runs for the purpose of setting Market Clearing Prices, except where the circumstances in paragraph 3.2.10 (Over-Constrained Dispatch Run was conducted) exist.
- 3.2.10. If an Over-Constrained Dispatch Run was conducted under Step 5 (paragraph 3.2.7), use prices determined in this run in preference to other runs for the purpose of setting Market Clearing Prices.

3.3. Pre-Dispatch Intervals in the Pre-Dispatch Schedule and Week-Ahead Schedule

[[V]]E[[U]] Note on Paragraph 3.3

Paragraph 3.3 sets out the steps to be completed to produce a forecast solution from the Dispatch Algorithm for the Pre-Dispatch Intervals in a Pre-Dispatch Schedule or Week-Ahead Schedule.

- 3.3.1. Where a solve of an optimisation problem is required in this paragraph 3.3, the optimisation problem will use the same set of Constraint Equations applied to the step immediately prior to it, unless otherwise specified.
- 3.3.2. Fast Start Current Mode, Fast Start Current Mode Time, Fast Start Target Mode, and Fast Start Target Mode Time are not relevant to these Dispatch Intervals, and do not need to be calculated.
- 3.3.3. **Step 1:** Solve the optimisation problem defined in paragraph 2, with the following modifications:
- (a) Fast Start Facility considerations do not apply to these runs, and so do not apply to the Constraint Equations outlined in paragraphs 2.4.14, 2.4.16, 2.4.28, 2.4.29, 2.4.30, and 2.4.31;
 - (b) Where a Registered Facility's Enablement Minimum and Enablement Maximum for RoCoF Control Service are both greater than zero, set the Registered Facility's Enablement Minimum for RoCoF Control Service to zero;
 - (c) Where a Registered Facility's Enablement Minimum and Enablement Maximum for RoCoF Control Service are both less than zero, set the Registered Facility's Enablement Maximum for RoCoF Control Service to zero;
 - (d) Pure Storage Constraints, outlined in paragraph 2.4.26, are not projected forward, so are not applied in this step;

then progress to Step 2 in paragraph 3.3.5.

- 3.3.4. **Step 2:** If, in the solution produced in the previous step (Step 1), one or more invoked Intervention Constraints are binding (or violating), , progress to Step 3 in paragraph 3.3.6. Otherwise, progress to Step 4 in paragraph 3.3.7.
- 3.3.5. **Step 3:** Solve the optimisation problem created for the previous step, applying the Intervention Pricing Run process in Appendix D, then progress to Step 4 in paragraph 3.3.7.
- 3.3.6. **Step 4:** If any of the Constraint Violation Quantities are greater than zero in the solution produced in the previous step, perform an Over-Constrained Dispatch Run, using the logic in Appendix C.
- 3.3.7. **Step 5:** Produce results for the relevant Pre-Dispatch Interval, applying any conditions from paragraphs 3.3.8 or 3.3.9, if relevant.
- 3.3.8. If an Intervention Pricing Run was conducted, use prices determined in this run in preference to other runs for the purpose of setting forecast Market Clearing Prices, except where the circumstances in clause 3.3.9 (Over-Constrained Dispatch Run was conducted) exist.
- 3.3.9. If an Over-Constrained Dispatch Run was conducted under Step 4 (paragraph 3.3.6),, use prices determined in this run in preference to other runs for the purpose of setting forecast Market Clearing Prices.

3.4. Calculation of Market Clearing Prices

AEPC_2024_13 Explanatory Note:

References to “marginal value” have been replaced with “shadow price” throughout the Procedure. Both terms have the same meaning and in AEMO’s interactions with stakeholders, it appears “shadow price” is more commonly understood. As such, AEMO has made this change to ensure consistency in terminology and to improve understanding.

- 3.4.1. Market Clearing Prices and forecast Market Clearing Prices are determined as follows:
- (a) The price for energy is determined as the shadow price~~marginal value~~ of the Energy Balance Constraint in paragraph 2.4.1;
 - (b) The price for Contingency Reserve Raise is determined as the shadow price~~marginal value~~ of the actively indicated Contingency Reserve Raise Requirement Constraint in paragraph 2.4.12;
 - (c) The price for Contingency Reserve Lower is determined as the shadow price~~marginal value~~ of the Essential System Service Requirement Constraint in paragraph 2.4.10 as implemented for Contingency Reserve Lower;
 - (d) The price for Regulation Raise is determined as the shadow price~~marginal value~~ of the Constraint Equation in paragraph 2.4.10 as implemented for Regulation Raise;
 - (e) The price for Regulation Lower is determined as the shadow price~~marginal value~~ of the Constraint Equation in paragraph 2.4.10 as implemented for Regulation Lower; and
 - (f) The price for RoCoF Control Service is determined as the shadow price~~marginal value~~ of the RoCoF Control Service Requirement Constraint in paragraph 2.4.38.

EWV Constraint Relaxation

Appendix C and its applications in this Procedure are the mechanisms that AEMO uses to relax Constraints as permitted under clause 7.2.6.

- 3.4.2. Where a price or forecast price for any Market Service determined in paragraph 3.1 or 3.2 is greater than the Energy Offer Price Ceiling or FCESS Clearing Price Ceiling as applicable, AEMO will instead set the Market Clearing Price for the Market Service to the Energy Offer Price Ceiling or FCESS Clearing Price Ceiling, as applicable.
- 3.4.3. Where a price or forecast price for energy determined under this paragraph 3 is less than the Energy Offer Price Floor, AEMO will instead set the Market Clearing Price for the Market Service to the Energy Offer Price Floor.
- 3.4.4. Where a price or forecast price for a Market Service, other than energy, determined under this paragraph 3 is less than the zero, AEMO will instead set the Market Clearing Price for the Market Service to zero.

Appendix A. Relevant clauses of the WEM Rules

Table 4~~Table 3~~ details:

- (a) the head of power clauses in the WEM Rules under which the Procedure has been developed; and
- (b) each clause in the WEM Rules requiring an obligation, process or requirement be documented in a WEM Procedure, where the obligation, process or requirement has been documented in this Procedure.

Table 4 Relevant clauses of the WEM Rules

Clause
7.2.5(a)(iii)
7.2.5(a)(iv)
7.2.5(a)(v)
7.2.5(a)(vi)
7.2.5(a)(vii)
7.2.5(c)
7.2.8
7.3.1(a)
7.3.1(b)
7.5.10
7.6.24
7.6.27(a)
7.6.27(b)
7.11C.7
7.11C.11
<u>7.5.18</u>

Appendix B.Constraint Violation Quantities and Constraint Violation Penalty Values

B.1 Constraint Violation Quantity Variables and Associated Constraint Violation Penalty Values

B.1.1 The list of Constraint Violation Quantity variables for the purpose of paragraph 2.3.6, and the associated Constraint Violation Penalty values for the purposes of paragraph 2.2.22 are listed in Table 5.

AEPC_2024_13 Explanatory Note:

“Alternative Maximum STEM Price” has been replaced with “Energy Offer Price Ceiling” under paragraph B.1.2, following removal of the concept from the WEM Rules. AEMO believe that Energy Offer Price Ceiling fulfils the same purpose of representing the maximum price of energy

B.1.2 The value of a Constraint Violation Penalty in Table 5 is expressed as a multiplier to be applied to the ~~Energy Offer Price Ceiling~~ ~~Alternative Maximum STEM Price~~ in the relevant Dispatch Interval or Pre-Dispatch Interval.

AEPC_2024_13 Explanatory Note:

Table 5 has been amended to add new Constraint Violation Quantity variables for TBSlack3 and TBSlack4 to represent new Constraint equations added under paragraphs 2.4.47 and 2.4.48

Table 5 Schedule of Constraint Violation Quantities and associated Constraint Violation Penalties

Constraint Violation Quantity variable name	Section references	Set membership	CVP Value
ESSEnablementSurplus	2.4.17	Registered Facility, Market Service	1180
NSFDeficit	2.4.43	Registered Facility	1175
NSFSurplus	2.4.43	Registered Facility	1175
RampRateDownDeficit	2.4.15, 2.4.16	Registered Facility	1155
RampRateUpSurplus	2.4.13, 2.4.14	Registered Facility	1155
StorageSurplus	2.4.26	Registered Facility	1150
StorageDeficit	2.4.44	Registered Facility	1150
TrancheUBDeficit	2.4.2	Registered Facility, Market Service	1135
TrancheLBDeficit	2.4.3	Registered Facility, Market Service	1135
FSPProfileDeficit	2.4.28, 2.4.29, 2.4.30, 2.4.31	Registered Facility	1130
FSPProfileSurplus	2.4.28, 2.4.29, 2.4.30, 2.4.31	Registered Facility	1130
UIFSsurplus	2.4.41	Registered Facility	385
UWFDeficit	2.4.42	Registered Facility	385

Constraint Violation Quantity variable name	Section references	Set membership	CVP Value
InflexibleFlagDeficit	2.4.32	Registered Facility, Market Service	380
InflexibleFlagSurplus	2.4.32	Registered Facility, Market Service	380
GCDeficit	2.4.27	Generic Constraint Set	300
GCSurplus	2.4.27	Generic Constraint Set	300
JointRampDeficit	2.4.21	Registered Facility, Market Service	160
JointRampSurplus	2.4.20	Registered Facility, Market Service	160
JointCapacityDeficit	2.4.22	Registered Facility, Market Service	160
JointCapacitySurplus	2.4.23	Registered Facility, Market Service	160
ERDeficit	2.4.25	Registered Facility, Market Service	160
ERSurplus	2.4.24	Registered Facility, Market Service	160
DefinedContingencyDeficit	2.4.8	Defined Contingency	155
DefinedContingencySurplus	2.4.8	Defined Contingency	155
EnergySurplus	2.4.1	-	150
EnergyDeficit	2.4.1	-	150
EnablementMinDeficit	2.4.18	Registered Facility, Market Service	70
EnablementMaxSurplus	2.4.19	Registered Facility, Market Service	70
RCSDeficit	2.4.6	-	12
RegulationRaiseDeficit	2.4.10	-	10
RegulationLowerDeficit	2.4.10	-	10
ContingencyRaiseDeficit	2.4.10	-	8
ContingencyLowerDeficit	2.4.10	-	8
MaxESSProvisionPercentageSurplus	2.4.9	Registered Facility	4
TBSlack1	2.4.45-2.4.33, 2.4.34	Price-Tied Tranche Set Tie-Break Set(s)	1e-56
TBSlack2	2.4.46-2.4.33, 2.4.34	Price-Tied Tranche Set Tie-Break Set(s)	1e-56
TBSlack3	2.4.47	Price-Tied Tranche Set	1e-5
TBSlack4	2.4.48	Price-Tied Tranche Set	1e-65

Appendix C. Over-Constrained Dispatch Run Process

~~EXX~~EWV Over-constrained Dispatch Background

WEMDE's objective when solving the Dispatch Algorithm is to minimise the total cost of Injection and Withdrawal, subject to any Constraints. Most Constraints in the optimisation problem in paragraph 2 have slack variables and associated penalty coefficients. In the case of an infeasible solution, it is possible for these Constraint Violation Penalties to dominate the value of the objective function, thus obscuring real price information.

When this happens, the resulting Market Clearing Price is either below the Minimum STEM Price or above the Alternative Maximum STEM Price. While the solution itself may be close to acceptable, it is necessary to:

- 1) recover the actual price information by removing the Constraint Violation Penalty values from the objective function based on offer price; and
- 2) notify Market Participants of the price outcome. This is important because the dispatch solution prices are needed for settlement of the Real Time Market.

The process of recovering the price information from a solution like this is called the Over-Constrained Dispatch Run process.

Note that a typical approach used in linear programming, where the solution is infeasible, is to relax Constraints and re-solve. That is not being done here because the Constraints themselves are not being relaxed. Instead, the only objective here is to recover the offer price information, accepting that the Constraints are too restrictive to allow a feasible solution.

C.1 Method

- C.1.1 **Step 1:** From the original solution of the Dispatch Algorithm, retain the constructed model.
- C.1.2 **Step 2:** Apply an upper bound Constraint to each Constraint Violation Quantity, equal to its value from the original solution of the Dispatch Algorithm, to the retained version of the Dispatch Algorithm.
- C.1.3 **Step 3:** Change the Constraint Violation Penalty values for all Constraint Violation Quantities in the retained version of the Dispatch Algorithm initialised in Step 2 in paragraph C.1.2 of this Appendix to equal 1e-3, so as to not affect the price outcome. For the avoidance of doubt, the Constraint Violation Penalty in this case is not a multiple of the Energy Offer Price Ceiling, and is intended to equal 1e-3 specifically.
- C.1.4 **Step 4:** Solve this modified version of the Dispatch Algorithm using the basis of the original solution from Step 1 in paragraph C.1.1 of this Appendix as the starting point for the solve.
- C.1.5 **Step 5:** Determine prices from the solution of the modified version of the Dispatch Algorithm determined in Step 4 in paragraph C.1.4 of this Appendix as per paragraph [0003.4.1](#).

Appendix D. Intervention Pricing Run Process

~~E[YY]E[XX]~~ Intervention Pricing Logic

Clause 7.11C.11 of the WEM Rules requires AEMO to determine a methodology for ~~determining~~determining Market Clearing Prices during AEMO Intervention Events.

The method in this Appendix D allows the Dispatch Algorithm to ignore ramp rate Constraints to allow the movement of Registered Facilities to levels of Injection or Withdrawal that would have occurred without Intervention Constraints. In practice, this can result in some price suppression where a ramp rate Constraint is genuinely binding in dispatch during an AEMO Intervention Event.

D.1 Assumptions

- D.1.1 A truly accurate counterfactual is impossible to create, and therefore it is more important to focus on a simple, repeatable approach for pricing during AEMO Intervention Events, based on a reasonable scenario that could have occurred if Intervention Constraints were not applied in a Dispatch Interval.
- D.1.2 All other input data to the Dispatch Algorithm except the relevant Intervention Constraints should not change for the purpose of pricing during AEMO Intervention Constraints.
- D.1.3 The effect of Constraints that limit Facility output based on Maximum Upwards Ramp Rates or Maximum Downward Ramp Rates do not typically persist for extended periods of time, and so can be reasonably ignored for the purpose of shifting dispatch outcomes from a different set of initial conditions in the real system, where the Intervention Constraints are active.

D.2 Method

- D.2.1 **Step 1:** Where it is determined in this Procedure that AEMO must conduct an Intervention Pricing Run, AEMO will retain the model used for the previous iteration, and apply the following modifications:
 - (a) disable any active Intervention Constraints;
 - (b) disable any ramp rate Constraints created under paragraphs 2.4.13, 2.4.14, 2.4.15, and 2.4.16; and
 - (c) disable joint ramping Constraints created under paragraphs 2.4.20 and 2.4.21.
- D.2.2 **Step 2:** Run the optimisation problem, including the modifications applied under Step 1 in paragraph D.1.1 of this Appendix.
- D.2.3 **Step 3:** Using the solution generated in Step 2 of this Appendix, apply the price determination logic under paragraph 3.4, and use the most recently determined prices in place of any previously determined prices.

Appendix E. Fast Start Modes

E.1.1 Table 6 lists the Fast Start modes used by the Dispatch Algorithm, as well as a description of each mode.

Table 6 Fast Start Modes

Mode	Mode Number	Description
Off-Line Mode	0	The Fast Start Facility is offline.
Synchronising Mode	1	The Fast Start Facility has been committed as a result of the dispatch process, and is starting, but has not yet synchronised. This mode lasts for T_1 .
Start-up Mode	2	The Fast Start Facility has synchronised, but has not reached its Minimum Load, and is therefore on a fixed-dispatch trajectory. This mode lasts for T_2 .
Minimum Loading Constrained Operation Mode	3	The Fast Start Facility has reached its Minimum Load, but has not operated at or above this level for the required period of time. This mode lasts for T_3 .
Shutdown Bounded Mode	4	The Fast Start Facility has been running above its Minimum Load level for more than the required period of time, T_3 , but has not been running longer than $(T_2 + T_3 + T_4)$. In this mode, the energy dispatch for this Fast Start Facility is lower bounded by the MW value as calculated from its shut-down inflexibility profile and the length of time it has been in this mode.
Normal Operation	5	After $T_2 + T_3 + T_4$ minutes, the Fast Start Facility is eligible for shut down. The unit is dispatched the same way as any other regular unit until the MW target becomes zero and the Fast Start Facility is placed into Off-Line Mode.

Appendix F. Price-Setter Functionality

Price-Setter Functionality

To assist in the analysis of dispatch outcomes, AEMO has included functionality within its implementation of the Dispatch Algorithm that shows which variables contributed to the Market Clearing Price for each Market Service. This mechanism is not required by the WEM Rules, and should be taken as informational only. AEMO does not guarantee that this mechanism will be accurate in all scenarios. Additionally, this functionality will not be accurate where a price has been overridden either due to it exceeding a price cap, or by another mechanism (such as an Over-Constrained Dispatch Run) outlined in this Procedure.

Method

For a linear program **D** of the form:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Min} \quad & \mathbf{C}\mathbf{X} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b} \end{aligned}$$

which can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Min} \quad & c_B^T x_B + c_N^T x_N \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & Bx_B + Nx_N = b \end{aligned}$$

where B are the basic columns of the A matrix.
 N are the non-basic columns of the A matrix.

then **(1)** $x_B = B^{-1}b - B^{-1}Nx_N$

For a solution where Constraint n is binding, an increase in its RHS value is equivalent to increasing the non-basic slack variable of this Constraint Equation. Equation (1) in this Appendix can then be used to find the increase in the basic variables brought about by an increase in the RHS.

For example, if we are interested in the third Constraint:

$$\begin{aligned} x_B &= B^{-1}b - B^{-1}Nx_N \\ &= B^{-1}b - B^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ \dots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

So a change in x_B is the third column of $-B^{-1}$.

Taking energy price, for example, at the optimal solution, the Energy Balance Constrained (outlined in paragraph 2.4.1) is binding. Using the above technique, we can find basic variable changes caused by a marginal increase in the RHS of this Constraint Equation. The basic variables returned are typically (but not exclusively) one of those listed in Table 7 below:

Table 7 Basic variables with the price-setting output

Variable	Description
energy_Tranche	An energy offer tranche associated with a price.
ESS_Tranche	An ESS offer tranche associated with a price, where ESS could be any ESS co-optimised with energy.
EnergyBalance_SlackDeficit	The energy shortfall CVQ.
EnergyBalance_SlackEnergySurplus	The energy surplus CVQ.
GenericConstraint_SlackDeficit	A deficit variable (CVQ) from a Generic Constraint.
GenericConstraint_SlackSurplus	A surplus variable (CVQ) from a Generic Constraint.
Product_Deficit	An ESS Deficit (CVQ).

Similar analysis can be conducted in relation to the Constraint Equation in paragraph 2.4.10 for each Essential System Service price.

DRAFT

Appendix G. Determining Facility Tiebreak Numbers and Priority Order

AEPC_2024_13 Explanatory Note:

Paragraph G.1 has been added to outline the process in which AEMO will determine Facility Tiebreak Numbers for the purposes of clause 7.5.17(a).

G.1 Determining Facility Tiebreak Number

- G.1.1 To determine Facility Tiebreak Numbers, a pseudorandom sequence of Registered Facilities is generated, using the Trade Date of the Dispatch Interval or Pre-Dispatch Interval being solved for as the seed. Each Registered Facility's order in this sequence forms its Facility Tiebreak Number.

AEPC_2024_13 Explanatory Note:

Paragraph G.2 has been added to outline how the Facility Tiebreak Number under paragraph G.1 is applied in the Dispatch Algorithm when avoiding Degenerate Solutions caused by any tied Price-Quantity Pairs for a Market Service in Real-Time Market Submissions, in accordance with the requirements of clause 7.5.15 of the WEM Rules.

G.2 Determining Priority Order

- G.2.1 For each Price-Tied Tranche Set at a given Loss Factor Adjusted Price in the energy Market Service, determine Priority Order for Registered Facilities as follows:

- (a) For all Registered Facilities that form part of the Price-Tied Tranche Set with an Injection quantity:
- (i) place the Registered Facilities in ascending order based on their Facility Tiebreak Number;
 - (ii) assign an ascending Priority Order number starting at one, and incrementing by one for each Registered Facility with an Injection quantity, based on the order determined in G.2.1(a)(i); and
- (b) For all Registered Facilities that form part of the Price-Tied Tranche Set with a Withdrawal quantity:
- (i) place the Registered Facilities in descending order based on their Facility Tiebreak Number;
 - (ii) assign a descending Priority Order number starting at the cardinality of the relevant Price-Tied Tranche Set at the given Loss-Factor Adjusted Price, decrementing by one for each Registered Facility with a Withdrawal quantity, based on the order determined in G.2.1(b)(i).

- G.2.2 For each Price-Tied Tranche Set at a given price for a Frequency Co-optimised Essential System Service, determine Priority Order for Registered Facilities as follows:

- (a) place Registered Facilities in the order required under clause 7.5.15(a); and
- (b) based on the order for Registered Facilities determined in G.2.2(a), assign an ascending Priority Order number starting at one, and incrementing by one for each Registered Facility within the relevant Price-Tied Tranche Set.

DRAFT