

B2B Procedures

- RoLR Procedures Part B

CONSULTATION – First Stage

CONSULTATION PARTICIPANT RESPONSE TEMPLATE

***Participant:** SA Power Networks*

***Completion Date:** 21 March 2023*

Table of Contents

- 0. Example Submission (Please delete this section)..... 3
- 1. Issues Paper Questions..... 4

0. Example Submission (Please delete this section)

General Instructions

1. Please keep information in the clause numbers simple - eg no titles, comments etc. – put titles and text in the comment section.
2. Please use a individual row for each comment on any each clauses.
3. Old clauses only needed if there is no equivalent clause within the revised draft procedures.
4. If an obligation exists in another instrument please identify the instrument and clause to assist in including guidance notes.
5. Please only include comments either with suggested changes, issues or support. Please do not include ‘No Comment’.
6. See example below (please note the “comments” are sample only, they bear no relevance to the proposed changes):

Old Clause No	New Clause No	Comments
1.42(a)	2.15(a)	Service Order response Change response list from varchar(250) to an enumerated list
1.42(a)	2.15(a)	Suggest add ‘Other’ as part of enumerated list and add free text to support other
	2.25(a)(ii)	Table 5 “Description of use” should be reworded to “Description of typical use”
	3.6(a)	The MDP SLP (c 3.5.2) requires the meter serial ID to be provided. Suggest the MeterSerialID be added to the transaction.
	3.6(a)	Ensure MeterserialID is the same field used in other procedures
	2.15	Ensure character length for MeterSerialID matches MSATS field length

1. Issues Paper Questions

Topic	Question	Comments
<p>2.1 Update of 'Table 102 A: Customer and Site Details to Provide to RoLR'</p>	<p>Question 1: Do you support the proposed changes with regards to RoLR Procedures table 102-A? (Answer should be one of "Yes" / "No – provide reason" / "Other – provide reason")</p>	<p>Other - SA Power Networks supports the change but suggest that there would be value in LNSP's providing the "LifeSupprtStatus" field to the ROLR. During recent ROLR events, we provided this information to the ROLR in SA. We suggest that "Not Required from the LNSP" is removed from the comment column of the table.</p>
<p>2.2 Update of in-text referencing errors and obligations in section 104.4 and 104.5 of the RoLR procedure</p>	<p>Question 2: Do you support the proposed changes with regards to RoLR Procedures table section 104.4? (Answer should be one of "Yes" / "No – provide reason" / "Other – provide reason")</p>	<p>Yes - SA Power Networks supports the change.</p>
<p>2.2 Update of in-text referencing errors and obligations in section 104.4 and 104.5 of the RoLR procedure</p>	<p>Question 3: Do you support the proposed changes with regards to RoLR Procedures table section 104.5? (Answer should be one of "Yes" / "No – provide reason" / "Other – provide reason")</p>	<p>Yes - SA Power Networks supports the change.</p>

Topic	Question	Comments
General	Question 4: If the changes proposed were to be expedited, would your organisation have any issues in implementing the changes by 15 May 2023?	This would not cause issues to SA Power Networks.
General	Question 5: Do you have any other suggestions, comments or questions regarding this consultation? If you have any comments outside of the scope of this consultation, please reach out to your relevant B2B-WG representatives.	No further comments.