

B2B Procedures

- RoLR Procedures Part B

CONSULTATION – First Stage

CONSULTATION PARTICIPANT RESPONSE TEMPLATE

Participant: CitiPower Powercor

Completion Date: 31 March 2023

Table of Contents

0. Issues Paper Questions.....3

0. Issues Paper Questions

Topic	Question	Comments
2.1 Update of 'Table 102 A: Customer and Site Details to Provide to RoLR'	<p>Question 1: Do you support the proposed changes with regards to RoLR Procedures table 102-A?</p> <p>(Answer should be one of “Yes” / “No – provide reason” / “Other – provide reason”)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CitiPower Powercor supports the proposed changes, with the following exceptions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ CitiPower Powercor queries the accuracy of the draft Procedure comments in relation to the Customer Classification (provided by the FRMP): 'Provide if site is Commercial or Industrial, SME or Residential. Allowed values: LARGE, SME, RESI}'. It should mirror what is provided in MSATS i.e. field name is Customer Classification Code with allowed values: BUSINESS, RESIDENTIAL and include a new NMI Classification Code field as per Question 5 below ○ CitiPower Powercor strongly recommends not deleting the unstructured postal address fields (PostalUnstructuredAddress res1, PostalUnstructuredAddress res2, PostalUnstructuredAddress res3) as they are still valid fields in CDNs
2.2 Update of in-text referencing errors and obligations in section 104.4 and 104.5 of the RoLR procedure	<p>Question 2: Do you support the proposed changes with regards to RoLR Procedures table section 104.4?</p> <p>(Answer should be one of “Yes” / “No – provide reason” / “Other – provide reason”)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CitiPower Powercor supports the proposed changes

Topic	Question	Comments
2.2 Update of in-text referencing errors and obligations in section 104.4 and 104.5 of the RoLR procedure	<p>Question 3: Do you support the proposed changes with regards to RoLR Procedures table section 104.5?</p> <p>(Answer should be one of “Yes” / “No – provide reason” / “Other – provide reason”)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CitiPower Powercor supports the proposed changes
General	<p>Question 4: If the changes proposed were to be expedited, would your organisation have any issues in implementing the changes by 15 May 2023?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CitiPower Powercor has no issues implementing the proposed changes by 15 May 2023, but cannot guarantee the suggested inclusions listed in Question 5 would be made available in one report by this date • CitiPower Powercor strongly recommends a 5-week timeframe to implement system changes for the suggested inclusions listed in Question 5 from the date the final report and determination is released
General	<p>Question 5: Do you have any other suggestions, comments or questions regarding this consultation? If you have any comments outside of the scope of this consultation, please reach out to your relevant B2B-WG representatives.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CitiPower Powercor recommends including the NMI Classification Code field in Table 102 A as represented in MSATS, with the LNSP to provide as this field was requested by the default retailer during previous ROLR events • CitiPower Powercor strongly recommends the LNSP should provide the LifeSupportStatus field, irrespective of who the registration owner is, as the LNSP system should agree with what the retailer has and this field was requested by the default retailer during previous ROLR events