## STANDALONE POWER SYSTEMS

# PROCEDURE CONSULTATION PARTICIPANT RESPONSE TEMPLATE

Participant: United Energy

Submission Date: 6 April 2022

### **Table of Contents**

1.	Context	. 3
2.	Questions	.3
3.	Other Issues Related to Consultation Subject Matter	.4

#### 1. Context

This template is to assist stakeholders in giving feedback about the options detailed in the issues paper associated with the Standalone Power Systems consultation.

The changes being proposed are because of NER rule changes which have occurred requiring changes to AEMO's Retail Electricity Market Procedures.

#### 2. Questions

Section	Description	Participant Comments
3.3.2	Are there other advantages/disadvantages of any of the options that AEMO should have considered?	United Energy has assessed the three options and has the following feedback for each:
		Option 2 – is our preferred option. This option is considered the most cost effective and quickest to implement for our business.
		Option 3 – is our second choice. This option is considered an appropriate solution but the cost to implement would be significant and it is too early to determine if there will be a high enough number of SAPS to warrant this solution.
		Option 1 – is our least preferred. It is the most complex of the three options and the cost to implement would be significant.

Section	Description	Participant Comments
3.3.2	Is there another option for identifying a SAPS NMI that AEMO should consider? Why?	United Energy has not identified any other option.
3.3.2	Which of the three options for identifying a SAPS NMI do you prefer and why?	United Energy's preference is for option 2, this option is considered the most cost effective considering the volume of SAPS expected in the market.

#### **3. Other Issues Related to Consultation Subject Matter**

Participant Comments	
United Energy has not identified any other issues.	