

STANDALONE POWER SYSTEMS

PROCEDURE CONSULTATION

PARTICIPANT RESPONSE TEMPLATE

Participant: Essential Energy

Submission Date: 2 June 2022

Table of Contents

- 1. Context 3
- 2. Questions..... 3
- 3. Other Issues Related to Consultation Subject Matter..... 5

1. Context

This template is to assist stakeholders in giving feedback about the options detailed in the issues paper associated with the Standalone Power Systems consultation.

The changes being proposed are because of NER rule changes which have occurred requiring changes to AEMO's Retail Electricity Market Procedures.

2. Questions

Section	Description	Participant Comments
4.1.3	Do participants agree with AEMO's assessment that MDPs for accumulation meters should provide interval data to the generator MDP and AEMO in a NEM12 file as outlined in option 2(a)?	No. Under option 2a Essential Energy would be required to implement a load profile system into our MDP processes in order to convert type 6 data (NEM13) to 5 minute interval data (NEM12). This is likely to be a manual and time consuming process.
4.1.3	Are there other advantages and disadvantages of the various options that AEMO should consider?	Broadly speaking Essential Energy's preference would be for all SAPS connection points to require the installation of a remotely read smart meter. In our view there is strong efficiency reasons for installing a smart meter on a customers premise at the same time as the SAPS unit is being installed on the customer property. Nonetheless, we note AEMO's concerns that establishing such a mandate could potentially delay the establishment of a SAPS for an extended period.

Section	Description	Participant Comments
		<p>In our view option 2b is also preferable (relation to option 2 a) for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • it is consistent with the intent of the AEMC Rule Change to NER Chapter 7. • AEMO already profiles the type 6 meters in the NEM and hence should under the requirements of the new Rule continue to profile Type 6 data into MSATS, therefore this is the least cost option.
4.1.3	Are there other options that AEMO should consider to resolve this matter?	
4.2.2	Do participants agree that this convention is to be captured in a procedure?	
4.2.2	In which procedure or supporting document should it be included?	
5	Has AEMO captured all the changes?	
5	In making the changes to the SLP and Metrology procedures, what are the issues that AEMO should keep in mind/consider?	

3. Other Issues Related to Consultation Subject Matter

Participant Comments