

# CONSUMER DATA RIGHT (CDR) CONSULTATION

## PROCEDURE CONSULTATION

## FIRST STAGE PARTICIPANT RESPONSE TEMPLATE

***Participant:** Jemena Electricity Network*

***Submission Date:** 1/6/2022*

## Table of Contents

1. Context	3
2. Questions on proposed CDR changes	3
3. Feedback on proposed minor amendments	4

## 1. Context

This template is to assist stakeholders in giving feedback about the changes detailed in the initial draft procedures associated with the Consumer Data Right consultation.

The changes being proposed are because of NER rule changes which have occurred requiring changes to AEMO’s Retail Electricity Market Procedures and the following proposed changes by proponents and AEMO to implement recommended process improvements.

## 2. Questions on proposed CDR changes

Heading	Participant Comments
Does your organisation support the proposal contained in the Issues Paper? If not, please specify the areas where your organisation does not support AEMO’s assessment and specify information as to your rationale	Jemena supports the proposal.
Are there better options to accommodate the change proposals that better achieve the required objectives? What are the pros and cons of these options? How would they be implemented?	Jemena supports the proposal.
What are the main challenges in adopting these proposed changes? How should these challenges be addressed?	Cost of schema change and system upgrade required to cater for new fields and management of data.
Do you have any further questions or comments in relation to the proposals?	No further comments or questions.

### 3. Feedback on proposed minor amendments

Document	Participant Comments
<p>1. For the enumerations lists in the procedures document, values such as 'Sample Tested' and 'Three-Phase Three-Limb', to be changed from mixed case to uppercase, to improve implementation and validation for both AEMO and Industry.</p>	<p>No issues.</p>
<p>2. For the Voltage Transformer Type enumerations, to remove descriptions where they exist in brackets e.g. 'CVT (Capacitive Voltage Transformer)</p>	<p>No issues.</p>
<p>3. Where Ratio enumerations exist, remove spaces between characters e.g. '3300 : 110' to '3300:110'</p>	<p>No issues.</p>
<p>4. 'INFORMATION' and 'STATISICAL' are to be truncated to 'STATIS' and 'INFORM' to fit within the 'USE' field 10 character max limit.</p>	<p>No issues.</p>
<p>5. Alignment of character requirements across aseXML and the Standing Data for MSATs MSATS document by including a reference to the Australian Standards requirements, where relevant in the document applicable.</p>	<p>No issues.</p>
<p>6. For the correction of the GPSCoordinates format, implemented inas part of the r42 schema, to be reflected in the Standing Data for MSATs document: CATS_Meter_Register- Browser Cross Reference table.</p>	<p>No issues.</p>
<p>7. For the truncated CurrentTransformerRatioAvailable and CurrentTransformerRatioConnected element names to be reflected in Table 4 CATS_Meter_Register – Browser Cross Reference.</p>	<p>No issues.</p>

Document	Participant Comments
8. For the VoltageTransformerTest aseXML path to be corrected to ElectricityMeter/VoltageTransformerTest in table 4 CATS_Meter_Register – Browser Cross Reference.	No issues.
9. For GPS Coordinates of 0.00000 (5-7 decimal places), to align with the format specified in the NMI Standing Data Procedure, to be applied where no GPS coverage is available at the metering installation.	No issues.
10. For the inclusion of missing Transformer Valid Values to be added to the Standing Data for MSATS document and for all values to be formatted from smallest to largest.	No issues.
11. The CATS Procedures to be updated to ensure that ‘Meter Manufacturer’ and ‘Meter Model’ are only required when the status code is ‘C’ (Current) for CR3050 and CR3051 transactions (CiP_061).	What if MPB sends “Manufacturer/Model” where status code is not ‘C’? Will MSATS reject the CR305X or still accept the CRs but just ignore the fields? Please advise.
12. For the CATS Procedures to be updated to remove the CR6500/1 Change ROLR Completed Notification from the Change ROLR section to align with the WIGS Procedures	No issues.
13. For the CATS Procedures to be updated for CRs (5001 & 5021) to include the NMI Classification of NCONUML as a classification code that have objections raised on it	No issues.
14. Update the WIGS procedure for CR5021 to allow the ENLR (LR) to object.	No comment
15. Update the WIGS procedure to include BULK and XBOUNDARY to CR1500 to allow the MDP to send it to complete the CR.	No comment