B2B Procedures

- Customer and Site Details (procedure changes)
- Service Order (procedure changes)
- Meter Data (version change)
- One Way Notification (version change)
- Technical Delivery Specification (procedure changes)
- B2B Guide (document changes)

CONSULTATION – Second Stage

CONSULTATION PARTICIPANT RESPONSE TEMPLATE

Participant: *Vector Metering*

Completion Date: *18/08/2021*

Table of Contents

0.   Example Submission (Please delete this section)

General Instructions

1. *Please keep information in the clause numbers simple - eg no titles, comments etc. – put titles and text in the comment section.*

2. *Please use a individual row for each comment on any each clauses.*

3. *Old clauses only needed if there is no equivalent clause within the revised draft procedures.*

4. *If an obligation exists in another instrument please identify the instrument and clause to assist in including guidance notes.*

5. *Please only include comments either with suggested changes, issues or support. Please do not include 'No Comment'.*

6. *See example below (please note the "comments" are sample only, they bear no relevance to the proposed changes):*

Old Clause No	New Clause No	Comments
1.42(a)	2.15(a)	Service Order response Change response list from varchar(250) to an enumerated list
1.42(a)	2.15(a)	Suggest add 'Other' as part of enumerated list and add free text to support other
	2.25(a)(ii)	Table 5 "Description of use" should be reworded to "Description of typical use"
	3.6(a)	The MDP SLP (c 3.5.2) requires the meter serial ID to be provided. Suggest the MeterSerialID be added to the transaction.
	3.6(a)	Ensure MeterserialID is the same field used in other procedures
	2.15	Ensure character length for MeterSerialID matches MSATS field length

1. Customer Site Details Notification Process Service Order Process

Old Clause No	New Clause No	Comments

2. Service Order Process

Old Clause No	New Clause No	Comments
	2.18(d)	This clause reflects the current practise employed by Networks for physical work. It is driven by the Networks not wanting to visit a site in quick succession to reverse a de-energisation. This is not relevant when the service is performed remotely. It is perfectly acceptable for a FRMP to schedule their NMI to be remotely de-energised on one day and then for the incoming retailer to have it remotely re-energised a day or two later. The period between de-energisation and re-enegisation could be as short as a few hours. This clause prohibits this, limits innovation and should be removed.

3. Technical Delivery Specification

Old Clause No	New Clause No	Comments

Old Clause No	New Clause No	Comments

4. B2B Guide

Old Clause No	New Clause No	Comments