

# 5MS B2M PACKAGE CHANGES

## PROCEDURE CONSULTATION

### FIRST STAGE PARTICIPANT RESPONSE TEMPLATE

***Participant:*** TasNetworks

***Submission Date:*** 17/02/2021

# Table of Contents

- 1. Context ..... 3
- 2. MSATS Procedures: CATS ..... 3
- 3. MSATS Procedures: WIGS..... 4
- 4. Meter Data File Format Specification..... 4
- 5. MSATS Procedure: MDM Procedure ..... 4
- 6. Metrology Procedure: Part B..... 5
- 7. Other Issues Related to Consultation Subject Matter..... 6

## 1. Context

This template is to assist stakeholders in giving feedback about the changes detailed in the initial draft procedures associated with the 5MS B2M Package Changes consultation.

The changes being proposed are because of NER rule changes which have occurred requiring changes to AEMO’s Retail Electricity Market Procedures and the following proposed changes by proponents and AEMO to implement recommended process improvements.

## 2. MSATS Procedures: CATS

Section	Description	Participant Comments
7.1.4(b)	Updated to include a new field “Proposed Change Date”	
7.1.4(c)	Added a new requirement clause for the initiating FRMP	
7.1.7 Table 7-B	Updated table to include notification for the new RP on COMPLETED status of the CR 1060	
7.1.7 Table 7-C	Updated table to include notification for the new RP on COMPLETED status of the CR 1061	

### 3. MSATS Procedures: WIGS

Section	Description	Participant Comments
Version	Updated to align version numbering with MSATS: CATS procedures	

### 4. Meter Data File Format Specification

Section	Description	Participant Comments
3.3.1(b)	Updated sub clause (b) to include a fix for ICF_025 Removal of 'N' Metering Data Quality Flag	

### 5. MSATS Procedure: MDM Procedure

Section	Description	Participant Comments
3.2.3(b)	Added new sub clause (b) to add energy for all Cross Boundary inflows to the NSLP calculation	
9.2	MDM RM9 Report	Title of report missing 'A' from word 'Actual'.
9.11	MDM RM37 Report	MDP is shown as information that must be submitted, however MSATS Tech Spec 46.98 shows the MDP field as optional.
9.12	MDM RM38 Report	MDP is shown as information that must be submitted, however MSATS Tech Spec 46.98 shows the MDP field as optional.
9.13	MDM RM39 Report	MDP is shown as information that must be submitted, however MSATS Tech Spec 46.98 shows the MDP field as optional.

## 6. Metrology Procedure: Part B

Section	Description	Participant Comments
11.3.3(c)	Updated details of Weighting Factor equation	
11.4(b)	Updated NSLP equation	

## 7. Other Issues Related to Consultation Subject Matter

Heading	Participant Comments
Are there better options to accommodate the change proposals which better achieve the required objectives? What are the pros and cons of these options? How would they be implemented?	
What are the main challenges in adopting these proposed changes? How should these challenges be addressed?	