METERING ICF PACKAGE CHANGES

PROCEDURE CONSULTATION

SECOND STAGE PARTICIPANT RESPONSE TEMPLATE

***Participant****:*

***Submission Date****:*

Table of Contents

[1. Context 3](#_Toc52874588)

[2. MSATS Procedures: CATS (v4.94) 3](#_Toc52874589)

[3. MSATS Procedures: WIGS (v4.94) 4](#_Toc52874590)

[4. Metrology Procedure: Part A (v7.31) 5](#_Toc52874591)

[5. Metrology Procedure: Part B (v7.03) 5](#_Toc52874592)

[6. Service Level Procedure Meter Provider Services (v1.5) 6](#_Toc52874593)

[7. NEM RoLR Processes Part A and Part B (v2.1) 7](#_Toc52874594)

[8. Meter Data File Format Specification (v2.2) 8](#_Toc52874595)

[9. Standing Data for MSATS (v4.51) 8](#_Toc52874596)

[10. Retail Electricity Market Procedures – Glossary and Framework (v3.41) 9](#_Toc52874597)

[11. Other Issues Related to Consultation Subject Matter 9](#_Toc52874598)

# Context

This template is to assist stakeholders in giving feedback about the changes detailed in the initial draft procedures associated with the Metering ICF Package Changes consultation.

The changes being proposed are because of NER rule changes which have occurred requiring changes to AEMO’s Retail Electricity Market Procedures and the following proposed changes by proponents and AEMO to implement recommended process improvements.

# MSATS Procedures: CATS (v4.94)

| **Section** | **Description** | **Participant Comments** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 2.4.(s) | Updated to add text clarifying the relationship mapping |  |
| 2.7 | Updated to fix the issue with reference link |  |
| 2.9.(k) | Updated to fix the issue with grammer |  |
| 4.4 Table 4-D | Updated to reflect the current jurisdictional requirements and definitions of Small and Large customers. AEMO also seeks responses to the following questions:1. What other improvements could be made to Table 4-D?
2. What might be any benefits/detriments of the proposed changes to Table 4-D noting that the MWh descriptions for small customers relate to business customers, but not residential customers, for whom the corresponding description is “any MWh”, across “all” jurisdictions?
3. What is the nature of any inconsistencies which may exist?
4. What consequential changes are necessary to the Code Information?
5. What, if any, are the unintended consequences of the proposed changes?
 |  |
| 12.6.3 | Updated to fix the issue with reference link |  |

# MSATS Procedures: WIGS (v4.94)

| **Section** | **Description** | **Participant Comments** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Version | Updated to align version numbering with MSATS: CATS procedures |  |

# Metrology Procedure: Part A (v7.31)

| **Section** | **Description** | **Participant Comments** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 12.5 | Reverted wording from ‘Validation’ to ‘Verification’ throughtout the clause |  |
| 12.6 | Amended the wording from ‘Validation’ to ‘Verification’ throughtout the clause |  |

# Metrology Procedure: Part B (v7.03)

| **Section** | **Description** | **Participant Comments** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 9 | Amended the wording from ‘Validation’ to ‘Verification’ throughtout the clause |  |

# Service Level Procedure Meter Provider Services (v1.5)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Section** | **Description** | **Participant Comments** |
| 4.2 | Amended the wording from ‘Validation’ to ‘Verification’ |  |
| 4.2.(a)(ii) | Amended the wording from ‘Validated’ to ‘Verified’ |  |
| 4.2.(a)(iii) | Reverted wording from ‘Validated’ to ‘Verified’ |  |
| 4.2.(b) | Reverted wording from ‘Validated’ to ‘Verified’ |  |
| 4.2.(c) | Amended the wording from ‘Validation’ to ‘Verification’ and ‘Validated’ to ‘Verified’ |  |
| 4.2.(c)(ii) | Reverted wording from ‘Validate’ to ‘Verify’ |  |
| 4.2.(d) | Reverted wording from ‘Validate’ to ‘Verify’ |  |
| 4.4.(e) | Amended ‘New MDP’ to ‘MDP’ |  |

# NEM RoLR Processes Part A and Part B (v2.1)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Section** | **Description** | **Participant Comments** |
| 11.2.(h)(v) | Removed reference to Table 10-B, Table 10-C and Table 10-D |  |
| 11.2.(i) | Amended to remove obligation requirement of section 11.2(k) |  |
| 11.2.(j) | Amended section reference from 11.2(k) to 11.2(j) |  |
| 11.2.(k) | Amended to reflect compliance requirement with section 11.2(i) |  |
| 13.3.(k) | Amended to remove reference to section 13.3(m) |  |
| 13.3.(l) | Amended section reference from 13.3(l) to 13.3 (k) |  |
| 17.2.(c) | Further amendment to replace ‘inactivate’ with ‘deactivate’ |  |

# Meter Data File Format Specification (v2.2)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Section** | **Description** | **Participant Comments** |
| 3.3.1.(b) | Amended to only remove reference to ‘N’ |  |

# Standing Data for MSATS (v4.51)

| **Section** | **Description** | **Participant Comments** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 8 Table 7 | Amended description of Data Element ‘AveragedDailyLoad’ |  |
| 9 Table 8 | Amended description of Data Element ‘Suffix’ |  |

# Retail Electricity Market Procedures – Glossary and Framework (v3.41)

| **Section** | **Description** | **Participant Comments** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 5 | Amended definition of ‘Validation’ |  |
| 5 | Added new definition for ‘Verification’ |  |
| 5 | Amended definition of ‘VICAMI Meter’ |  |

# Other Issues Related to Consultation Subject Matter

| **Participant Comments** |
| --- |
|  |