METERING ICF PACKAGE CHANGES

PROCEDURE CONSULTATION

FIRST STAGE PARTICIPANT RESPONSE TEMPLATE

Participant: Powermetric Metering Pty Ltd

Submission Date: 11 September 2020

Table of Contents

1.	Context	3
2.	MSATS Procedures: CATS	3
3.	MSATS Procedures: WIGS	4
4.	Metrology Procedure: Part A	4
5.	Metrology Procedure: Part B	5
6.	Service Level Procedure Meter Provider Services	6
7.	NEM RoLR Processes Part A and Part B	6
8.	Meter Data File Format Specification	7
9.	Standing Data for MSATS	8
10.	Retail Electricity Market Procedures – Glossary and Framework	8
11.	Other Issues Related to Consultation Subject Matter	9

1. Context

This template is to assist stakeholders in giving feedback about the changes detailed in the initial draft procedures associated with the Metering ICF Package Changes consultation.

The changes being proposed are because of NER rule changes which have occurred requiring changes to AEMO's Retail Electricity Market Procedures and the following proposed changes by proponents and AEMO to implement recommended process improvements.

2. MSATS Procedures: CATS

Section	Description	Participant Comments
2.4.(s)	Updated to include a new sub clause(s) for obligation on MDP to provide relationship mapping between Register ID and Datastream Suffix.	No comment
2.9.(k)	Updated to include a different timeframe for cancelling an incomplete CR6800.	No comment
4.3 Table 4-C	Updated 'Description' for Code 'BADPARTY' for current MC to object to change of MC for SMALL NMI's only in Victoria.	No comment
4.4 Table 4-D	Updated to reflect the current jurisdictional requirements for Small and Large customers.	No comment

Section	Description	Participant Comments
13.3.6 Table 13-H	New entry related to objection code 'BADPARTY' for CR6300 and CR6301.	No comment

3. MSATS Procedures: WIGS

Section	Description	Participant Comments
Version	Updated to align version numbering with MSATS: CATS procedures	No comment

4. Metrology Procedure: Part A

Section	Description	Participant Comments
12.5	Update to replace 'verification' with 'Validation'.	No comment
	Amend the section heading and introduction paragraph to better align with terminology used in SLP MP clause 4.2(b)	

5. Metrology Procedure: Part B

Section	Description	Participant Comments
2.4	Update to remove 'N' Metering Data Quality Flag	No comment
13.2.2(a)(v)	Update to remove 'End User Details' from Inventory Table. Reverse 5MS/GS changes.	No comment
13.3.2(a)(ii i)	Update to remove 'End User Details' from Inventory Table. Reverse 5MS/GS changes.	No comment
13.5.2(a)(v)	Update to remove 'End User Details' from Inventory Table. Reverse 5MS/GS changes.	No comment

6. Service Level Procedure Meter Provider Services

Section	Description	Participant Comments
4.2(a)(iii) & (b)	Update to replace 'verification' with 'Validation'.	No comment
4.2(c)(ii) & (d)	Update to replace 'verify' with 'Validate'.	No comment
4.4	Update to replace 'verify' with 'confirm'.	No comment

7. NEM RoLR Processes Part A and Part B

Section	Description	Participant Comments
17.2(a)	Updated to change the section from 19 to 16.	No comment
17.2(b)	Updated to include 'AEMO must' in the sub clause.	No comment
17.2(c)	Include new sub clause to remove MSATS access for the Failed Retailer.	No comment

8. Meter Data File Format Specification

Section	Description	Participant Comments
3.3.1(b)	Updated to remove the sub clause (b).	No comment
4.4	Updated to remove the text in Definition column related to Meter Data Quality Flag 'N' against the Field InternalValue1InternalValueN	No comment
	Updated to remove 'N' from Allowed Values against the Fields QualityMethod and ReasonCode	
	Updated to remove the text in Definition column related to Quality Flag 'N' against Field UpdateDateTime	
4.5	Updated to remove 'N' from Allowed Values against the Fields QualityMethod and ReasonCode	No comment
Appendix C	Update to remove the row related to Quality flag 'N'.	No comment

9. Standing Data for MSATS

Section	Description	Participant Comments
8.1	Amend the description of Average Daily Load in Table 15	No comment
9.1	Amend the description of RegisterID in Table 18	No comment

10. Retail Electricity Market Procedures – Glossary and Framework

Section	Description	Participant Comments
5	Amend definition of the term Average Daily Load (ADL).	No comment

11. Other Issues Related to Consultation Subject Matter

Heading	Participant Comments
Are there better options to accommodate the change proposals, that better achieve the required objectives? What are the pros and cons of these options? How would they be implemented?	Powermetric is not aware of any better options.
What are the main challenges in adopting these proposed changes? How should these challenges be addressed?	Powermetric is not aware of any major odoption challenges.