

PPC response template for IN018/20 (Q4 2021 bundled release residual tidy-up) – <u>Responses to be emailed to</u> <u>grcf@aemo.com.au by 18 February 2021.</u>

Review comments submitted by: Simply Energy

Contact Person: Aakash Sembey

Please complete sections 1 and 2. Section 3 is optional.

Section 1 - General Comments on the Proposed Procedure Change

Date: 8th Feb 2021

Торіс	Please Provide Response Here
Sections 1 to 9 of the PPC sets out details of the proposal.	- Support the deletion of T71 transaction (east-coast) in line with our previous feedback provided during consultation on IN011/20 and IN003/20W (in addition
Does your organisation support AEMO's assessment of the proposal (i.e. does your organization believe that AEMO has adequately described the requirements and	to AGL's feedback). Non-asexml CDN structures should not be maintained once xml based CDNs are implemented.
surrounding context of the proposal)?	- Support the deletion of T72 "Update to Meter Route" transaction (east-coast)
If no, please specify areas in which your organisation disputes AEMO's assessment (include PPC section reference number) of the proposal and include information that supports your organisation's rationale	 Regarding new Event Codes, Simply Energy suggests that event code '3689' should not be applicable to 'All' transaction but 'Request' transaction types only. Also, codes, '0', '201' and '202' are not updated in PBP3 and SA/WA FRC B2B SID.
for not supporting AEMO's assessment.	- Supports the rewording of clause 4.6.2 (east-coast) in relevant instruments.
	- Support the remainder of changes including data dictionary alignment, manifest error corrections and diagram changes in PBP3 B2B SID.
	- Supports the NameTitle element changes as proposed

Section 2 – Comments on the changes described in Section	3 of the Proposed Procedure Change

	***Participants are to complete the rele		
Section of PPC	Issue / Comment	Proposed text Red strikeout means delete and blue underline means insert	AEMO Response (AEMO only)
Section 3.1: Delete T71 transaction	Supports the deletion of T71 transaction (east-coast) in line with our previous feedback provided during consultation on IN011/20 and IN003/20W (in addition to AGL's feedback). Non-asexml CDN structures should not be maintained once xml based CDNs are implemented.	N/A	
Section 3.2: Delete T72 "Update to Meter Route" transaction	Supports the deletion of T72 "Update to Meter Route" transaction (east-coast)		

Section 3.3: Add event codes for CDN/CDR	Regarding new Event Codes, Simply Energy suggests that event code '3689' should not be applicable to 'All' transaction but 'Request' transaction types only. Also, codes, '0', '201' and '202' are not updated in PBP3 and SA/WA FRC B2B SID.	In both	Participant is not authorised to request the received data. Error All documents, 'All' should be replaced by 'Request ions only, i.e. CDR, SOR, etc.'	
		PPC how	ese three codes are not included but provided in the wever these can be mapped with existing event instead of creating new ones (e.g. 201 = 3673, etc.)	
		Event Code	Description	
		0	Success, OK, Accepted, etc.	
		201	Data missing	
		202	Data invalid	
			XML document refers to 'Invalid NMI Checksum' of 'invalid MIRN checksum'.	

		XML Sample	
		-	
		<header></header>	
		<from description="TXU Retail">TXUR</from>	
		<to description="TXU Networks">TXUN</to>	
		<messageid>TXUR-MSG-4321</messageid>	
		<messagedate>2002-02-14T12:00:00+10:00</messagedate>	
		<transactiongroup>MDMT</transactiongroup> <market>VICGAS</market>	
		<t< th=""><th></th></t<>	
		<transactions></transactions>	
		<transactionid="txur-txn-4321" <br="" transactiondate="2002-02-14T12:00:00+10:00">initiating TransactionID="TXUN-TXN-1234"></transactionid="txur-txn-4321">	
		- MeterDataResponse version="r6">	
		<activityid>756475</activityid>	
		<acceptedcount>4</acceptedcount>	
		<loaddate>2002-02-14T13:00:00</loaddate>	
		<event class="Application" severity="Error"></event>	
		<code>3453</code>	
		<context>6754654368,5,TX364576,SRF,MR46578,</context>	
		<explanation>NMI not known</explanation>	
		<event class="Application" severity="Error"></event>	
		<code>5463</code>	
		<context>2784756396,4,,,G4657343,</context>	
		_ <explanation>InvalidNMI Checksum</explanation> _	
	Supports the rewording of clause 4.6.2	N/A	
	(east-coast) in relevant instruments.		
	(cast coast) in relevant instraments.		
Section 3.4: Reword			
clause 4.6.2			
Section 3.5: Align	Support the remainder of changes	N/A	
data dictionary with	including data dictionary alignment,	· ·	
	including data dictionary alignment,		
aseXML schema			

Section 3.6: Manifest errors and other non- controversial changes Section 3.7: Diagram changes	changes in PBP3 B2B SID.		
Section 3.8: CustomerTitle element	Supports the NameTitle element changes as proposed	N/A	



Section 3 – Corrections to typographical or administrative errors in collating the documents

	Participants are to com	plete the relevant co	lumns below in order to record their respo	onse.	
	Ref #1 – B2B Service Order Specifications – Part 1				
RMP Clause #	Issue / Comment	Re	oposed text d-strikeout means delete and <u>ue underline</u> means insert		10 Response MO only)
		Ref #2 – B2B	Service Order Specifications – Part 2		
		Ref #3 – Spec Pa	ack FRC B2B System Interface Definition	าร	
		Ref #4 – C	Gas Interface Protocol (NSW-ACT)		
		Ref #5 – G	as Interface Protocol (Queensland)		

	Ref #6 – Gas Interface Protocol (Victoria)		
	Ref #	7 – PBP 1 – Process Flow Diagrams	
	Ref #8 – PBP1 – Process Flow Table of Transactions		
	Ref #9 – PBP 1 - CSV Data Format Specification		
	Ref #10 – I	PBP3 – B2B System Interface Definitions	
Ref #11 – PBP5 – NSW-ACT Specific Build Pack			

Ref #12 – PBP6	– NSW-ACT (Wagga Wagga and Tamworth)	
Ref #1	13 – Specification Pack Usage Guide	

Section 4¹ – Additional feedback that is not part of this consultation but warrants further investigations / discussions.

Торіс	Please Provide Response Here
Does your organisation have any feedback / suggestions that closely relate to the scope or impacts this consultation, but the nature of the feedback / suggestion warrant further investigations / discussion? If so, please included your feedback / suggestions.	No comments

¹ Note - This feedback will be reviewed by AEMO at a later date, therefore will not be used for this consultation. AEMO will complete a preliminary assessment of the feedback assess the feedback and it may then form part of another consultation or the annual prioritisation process