

## PPC response template for IN018/20 (Q4 2021 bundled release residual tidy-up) – <u>Responses to be emailed to</u> <u>grcf@aemo.com.au by 18 February 2021.</u>

Review comments submitted by: Australian Gas Networks

Contact Person: *Rick Abbott* 

Please complete sections 1 and 2. Section 3 is optional.

Section 1 - General Comments on the Proposed Procedure Change

Date: 18/2/21

Торіс	Please Provide Response Here
Sections 1 to 9 of the PPC sets out details of the proposal.	AGN generally supports the proposal.
Does your organisation support AEMO's assessment of the proposal (i.e. does your organization believe that AEMO has adequately described the requirements and surrounding context of the proposal)?	
If no, please specify areas in which your organisation disputes AEMO's assessment (include PPC section	
reference number) of the proposal and include	
information that supports your organisation's rationale	
for not supporting AEMO's assessment.	



## Section 2 – Comments on the changes described in Section 3 of the Proposed Procedure Change

	***Participants are to complete the rele	evant columns below in order to record their response.***	
Section of PPC	Issue / Comment	Proposed text Red strikeout means delete and blue underline means insert	AEMO Response (AEMO only)
Section 3.1: Delete T71 transaction			
Section 3.2: Delete T72 "Update to Meter Route" transaction			
Section 3.3: Add event codes for CDN/CDR	Also same for PBP3 B2B SYS INT Def section 4.6.3		

Section 3.4: Reword			
clause 4.6.2			
	FRC B2B SID v4	ALLOWED VALUES	
	Appendix A. Data Dictionary	While the aseXML schema allows for any number of phone numbers to be provided, at most two must may be provided under the Build Pack	
	Element Names:	constraints.	
	Phone Number 1 Phone Number 2		
	PBP3 B2B SID v3	ALLOWED VALUES	
Section 3.5: Align data dictionary with	Appendix A. Data Dictionary	While the aseXML schema allows for any number of phone numbers to be	
aseXML schema	Element Names:	provided, at most two <del>must</del> <u>may</u> be provided under the Build Pack constraints.	
	Phone Number 1		
	Phone Number 2		
	No allowed values listed. Should the same comment as above apply here?		

Section 3.6: Manifest errors and other non- controversial changes		Code Use column still shows as N (No Code Used), should be Y	
Section 3.7: Diagram changes			
Section 3.8: CustomerTitle element	AGN is comfortable that the NameTitle field should be populated with an empty string if no title is available.		



## Section 3 – Corrections to typographical or administrative errors in collating the documents

	***Participants are to complete the relevant columns below in order to record their response.***		
	Ref #1 – B2B Service Order Specifications – Part 1		
RMP Clause #	Issue / Comment	Proposed text Red strikeout means delete and blue underline means insert	AEMO Response (AEMO only)
	Ref #2 – B2B Service Order Specifications – Part 2		
	Ref #3 – Spec	c Pack FRC B2B System Interface Definitions	
	FRC B2B SID v4 4.6.2.1 CustomerDetailsNotification Movement Type has been removed from Elements list in PBP1 Process Flow Table of Transactions. Should it be removed from this section as well?		
	FRC B2B SID v4 4.6.2.1 CustomerDetailsNotification The whole of the Figure 4-70 heading has been deleted, should just be the CSV portion	Figure 4-70 CustomerDetailsNotification/ <del>CSVCustomer aseXML schema</del>	

Ref #4 – Gas Interface Protocol (NSW-ACT)			
	Ref #5 -	- Gas Interface Protocol (Queensland)	
	Ref #	6 – Gas Interface Protocol (Victoria)	
	Ref #	7 – PBP 1 – Process Flow Diagrams	
	Ref #8 – P	BP1 – Process Flow Table of Transactions	
	Ref #9 – PBP 1 - CSV Data Format Specification		

Ref #	Ref #10 – PBP3 – B2B System Interface Definitions		
Appendix A Data Dictionary			
As some aseXML element names have changed, the table needs to be re-sorted.			
Ref	#11 – PBP5 – NSW-ACT Specific Build Pack		
Ref #12 – 1	PBP6 – NSW-ACT (Wagga Wagga and Tamworth)		
F	Ref #13 – Specification Pack Usage Guide		

Section 4<sup>1</sup> – Additional feedback that is not part of this consultation but warrants further investigations / discussions.

Торіс	Please Provide Response Here
Does your organisation have any feedback / suggestions that closely relate to the scope or impacts this consultation, but the nature of the feedback / suggestion warrant further investigations / discussion? If so, please included your feedback / suggestions.	FRC B2B SID v4 4.7.2 Life Support Notification (T80 & T81) and 4.7.3 Life Support Request (T82 & T83) Understand that these transactions are out of scope for this PPC but note that there are no event codes
	listed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Note - This feedback will be reviewed by AEMO at a later date, therefore will not be used for this consultation. AEMO will complete a preliminary assessment of the feedback assess the feedback and it may then form part of another consultation or the annual prioritisation process