

IIR response template for IN002/15W and IN026/15 (New JECs) – Responses to be emailed to [grcf@aemo.com.au](mailto:grcf@aemo.com.au) by 20 October 2020

Review comments submitted by: *AGL*

Date: 12 Oct 2020

Contact Person: *Mark Riley*

If your organisation **only participates in WA** then complete only sections 1A and 2. Section 3 is optional.

If your organisation **only participates in east-coast jurisdictions** then complete only sections 1B and 2. Section 3 is optional.

If your organisation **participates in both WA and east-coast jurisdictions** complete sections 1A, 1B (*note: if your assessment of the IIR is the same for 1A and 1B then write "as above" in section 1B*) and 2. Section 3 is optional.

Section 1A - General Comments on the Impact and Implementation Report **for WA** (IN002/15W).

Topic	Please Provide Response Here
<p>Sections 1 to 9 of the IIR sets out details of the proposal.</p> <p><u>In respect of the changes proposed for WA</u>, does your organisation supports AEMO’s assessment of the proposal?</p> <p>If no, please specify areas in which your organisation disputes AEMO’s assessment (include IIR section reference number) of the proposal and include information that supports your organisation’s rationale for not supporting AEMO’s assessment.</p>	<p>AGL supports the assessment undertaken by AEMO and supports the proposal to move the JECs to an enumerated non-schema list.</p>

Section 1B - General Comments on the Impact and Implementation Report for east-coast jurisdictions. (IN026/15)

Topic	Please Provide Response Here
<p>Sections 1 to 9 of the IIR sets out details of the proposal.</p> <p><u>In respect of the changes proposed in east-coast jurisdictions</u>, does your organisation supports AEMO's assessment of the proposal?</p> <p>If no, please specify areas in which your organisation disputes AEMO's assessment (include IIR section reference number) of the proposal and include information that supports your organisation's rationale for not supporting AEMO's assessment.</p>	<p>AGL supports the assessment undertaken by AEMO and supports the proposal to move the JECs to an enumerated non-schema list.</p>

Section 1C – Comments on the move to a non-versioned list for JECs (east-coast jurisdictions and WA). (IN002/15W and IN026/15)

Topic	Please Provide Response Here
<p>Section 1.3 of the IIR sets out AEMO’s proposal to move JECs to a non-versioned list in the aseXML schema.</p> <p>Assuming that the changes to JECs are adopted, does your organisation support AEMO’s proposal to move JECs to a non-versioned list?</p> <p>If no, please specify areas in which your organisation disputes AEMO’s assessment (include IIR section reference number) of the proposal and include information that supports your organisation’s rationale for not supporting AEMO’s assessment.</p>	<p>AGL supports the assessment undertaken by AEMO and supports the proposal to move the JECs to an enumerated non-schema list.</p>

Section 2 - Feedback on the documentation changes in the Attachments of the IIR.

Please note which references you should provide comment on given your jurisdiction are shown in gold:

Document	NSW/ACT	QLD	SA	VIC	WA
<b>Ref # 1 - Participant Build Pack 1 Table of Transactions (JEC tab)</b>					
<b>Ref #2 – Gas Interface Protocol - Victoria</b>					
<b>Ref #3 – Gas Interface Protocol - Queensland</b>					
<b>Ref #4 – NSW/ACT specific Participant Build Pack 5</b>					
<b>Ref #5 – Gas Interface Protocol – NSW/ACT</b>					
<b>Ref #6 – B2B Service Order Specifications – Part 2</b>					
<b>Ref #7 – B2B Service Order Specifications - Part 1</b>					
<b>Ref #8 – AEMO Specification Pack - Specification Pack Usage Guidelines</b>					

\*\*\*Participants are to complete the relevant columns below in order to record their response.\*\*\*

Ref # 1 - Participant Build Pack 1 - Table of Transactions, Table of Elements, List of Job Enquiry Codes, Address Elements and MIRN and Meter states.

Row # Column # in spreadsheet	Issue / Comment	Proposed text <del>Red</del> <del>strikeout</del> means delete and <u>blue underline</u> means insert	AEMO Response (AEMO only)
	No comment		

Ref #2 – Gas Interface Protocol - Victoria

Section #	Issue / Comment	Proposed text <del>Red</del> <del>strikeout</del> means delete and <u>blue underline</u> means insert	AEMO Response (AEMO only)
	No comment		

Ref #3 – Gas Interface Protocol - Queensland

Section #	Issue / Comment	Proposed text <del>Red</del> <del>strikeout</del> means delete and <u>blue underline</u> means insert	AEMO Response (AEMO only)
	No Comment		

Ref #4 – NSW/ACT specific Participant Build Pack 5

Section #	Issue / Comment	Proposed text <del>Red</del> <del>strikeout</del> means delete and <u>blue underline</u> means insert	AEMO Response (AEMO only)
	No Comment		

Ref #5 – Gas Interface Protocol – NSW/ACT

Section #	Issue / Comment	Proposed text <del>Red</del> <del>strikeout</del> means delete and <u>blue underline</u> means insert	AEMO Response (AEMO only)
	No Comment		

Ref #6 – B2B Service Order Specifications – Part 2

Section #	Issue / Comment	Proposed text <del>Red</del> <del>strikeout</del> means delete and <u>blue underline</u> means insert	AEMO Response (AEMO only)
	No Comment		

Ref #7 – B2B Service Order Specifications – Part 1

Row # Column # in spreadsheet	Issue / Comment	Proposed text <del>Red</del> <del>strikeout</del> means delete and <u>blue underline</u> means insert	AEMO Response (AEMO only)
	AGL notes that while the SO types for DMS (Downgrade Meter) and PRE (Pressure Change) are not clearly listed in this document in the SO Elements tables.		
Ref #8 – AEMO Specification Pack- Specification Pack Usage Guidelines			
Section #	Issue / Comment	Proposed text <del>Red</del> <del>strikeout</del> means delete and <u>blue underline</u> means insert	AEMO Response (AEMO only)
	No Comment		

Section 3<sup>1</sup> – Additional feedback that is not part of this consultation but warrants further investigations / discussions.

Topic	Please Provide Response Here
Does your organisation have any feedback / suggestions that closely relate to the scope or impacts this consultation, but the nature of the feedback / suggestion warrant further investigations / discussion? If so, please included your feedback / suggestions.	Noting that the Job Enquiry Codes are being moved to a non-schema list, AGL suggests that at some stage the Job response Codes should also be moved to a non-schema list, as there may come a situation when a new JEC is created that the existing Job Response Codes are not suitable.

---

<sup>1</sup> Note - This feedback will be reviewed by AEMO at a later date, therefore will not be used for this consultation. AEMO will complete a preliminary assessment of the feedback assess the feedback and it may then form part of another consultation or the annual prioritisation process