Networks Advisory Group Meeting 3 Briefing Formation

Wednesday 3 March 2021 | 2.30 – 4.00pm AEDST









Agenda



Item	Lead	Timing
Welcome, Acknowledgement of Country and Safety Moment	John Theunissen	5 min
Project status, reflections from Meeting 2, and the focus for Meeting 3	John Theunissen	5 min
A closer look at the wholesale energy market operating models to be tested in EDGE and how they might work	Matt Armitage / Nick Regan	20 min
Examining the functions undertaken by the DNSP within the wholesale energy market operating models	John Theunissen / Jack San	20 min
Introducing the discussion topics	John Theunissen	5 min
Interactive session – Responding and enlarging the conversation	All – Facilitated by Nous	25 min
Reflections on the NAG so far and improvement opportunities	All	5 min
Wrap up and the look ahead	John Theunissen (AST)	5 min

Project Status, reflections on Meeting 2 and the focus for Meeting 3

Project Status



Current position

- DERMS Platform procurement approaching completion, EDGE Platform procurement well advanced
- Work on operating envelope algorithms and objective function well advanced.
- Work on Mondo forecasting, bidding and dispatch algorithms Underway
- EDGE Market Platform specifications under development (data specs etc.)

Key upcoming activities

- Consumer advisory group meeting
- Public webinar late March
- Commencement of Mondo customer acquisition activities
- Procurement of Transformer monitors
- Platform vendor on-boarding

Reflections/summarised outputs from Meeting 2



Reflections

- Inclusion of the work being undertaken in the Evolve DER project in the presentations was well received, as was the ability to collaborate beyond the EDGE project
- There were more areas that could have been covered in the interactive session however it may be best to have a "less is more" approach to that segment in future
- There is a relatively broad range of views around the calculation and allocation of operating envelopes, and further work is needed within the industry to settle on uniform approaches, led by DEIP activity which the EDGE trial can inform.

Key outputs

- An OE allocation method based on fairness is likely to be most accepted, although all of the three proposed methodologies within the trial were supported, with varying priorities
- Having a fail-safe mechanism for active DER operation (when things go wrong) was a perceived requirement, as well as a means to manage performance compliance
- Consideration should be given to having more than one allocation method under different operational arrangements (e.g. system security related, network reliability etc.)
- Multiple trading relationships / different measurement points and their impact on operating envelopes was briefly explored
- There needs to be further thought given as to how one treats unused capacity after Aggregator decisions are made

Miro Board Outputs from Meeting 2



OE Allocation Methods



Which aspects should we prioritise (or discover) for the trials?

INSTRUCTIONS: 1. Place a dot of your allocated colour to indicate your preferred prioritisation for each of the objective function considerations.

2. Drop a post-it to describe the rationale for prioritising and any evidence (if known) that would support this rationale.

	Objective Functions to Use	Priority 1	Priority 2	Priority 3	Why & what evidence would sup rationale?	port
۵	Maximise available network capacity to achieve higher service volumes	• • •	•	•	The manufacture of the function of the strength of the strengt	
B	Maximise economic outcomes from customer DER		•		White is training their and labels. The set in the set	
Θ	Customer Equity or Fairness		•		Fingers for springers for set springers for set	така балар жаларан часар банар така такатар такатар факака накатар (3.11 - учака)
	Alternative objective function				Addych runds- Sandach annor Sandach annor Sandac	

Miro Board Outputs from Meeting 2



What factors should be considered regarding customer equity and fairness?

INSTRUCTIONS: Consider the four spectra of customer equity considerations below. Please drop a dot of your allocated colour along each spectrum indicating the weighting you would recommend for each of the considerations.



Regarding Customer Equity or Fairness....

(Possible Views)



Which factors should be considered, and your weighting?

Miro Board Outputs from Meeting 2



How closely should the network OE architecture and rhythm mirror the wholesale market?

INSTRUCTIONS: Place a dot for each cadence type against the level of market participation efficiency. Please use the same colour for each of your four points.

Alignment to NEM Cadence (also increased complexity and cost)

NEM cadence

Calculate and communicate OEs to mirror cadence of NEM (week ahead, day ahead, 5min intervals on the day)

Day ahead with adjustment

Calculate on a day-ahead basis and apply an adjustment factor based on measurements on the day - linked to sensing frequency, latencies. Typically applied over multiple trading periods

Day ahead

Calculate and communicate on a dayahead basis with no adjustment on the day

Dead-banding

Pseudo-static determination, updated on a "dead-banding" model as and when reauired



8

6

A closer look at the wholesale energy market operating models to be tested in EDGE



Market Operating Model principles





Roles & responsibilities in wholesale integration

AEMO

- NER 3.4: AEMO must establish a spot market
- NER 3.8.1: AEMO must operate a central dispatch process that considers networks constraints
- 3.8.10: AEMO must determine and represent network constraints in dispatch
- Glossary: Network constraint a constraint on the transmission or distribution network
- EDGE testing alternative approach to consider distribution constraints in dispatch

AusNet as DSO

- Responsible for distribution system operation within secure limits
- Calculates and communicates limits (operating envelopes or constraints) to ensure power flows remain within secure limits
- In all models for consideration of Dx limits in dispatch, DSO retains control of operating their system to remain within secure limits



Wholesale integration

-

_

-

-



Wholesale Integration: Two key functions - a spectrum of approaches for each 1. DER Dispatchability

•

Scheduled 'lite'

Price taking

Low cost/low visibility Increasing system risks

Invisible to AFMO

Non-scheduled/exempt

Today

VPP Demos

- Forecasts
- Visibility

High system operability

• Scheduled

Higher cost/visibility

Price setting ability

2. How to consider Dx limits in dispatch

High cost/complexity Low cost/complexity High system efficiency Low system efficiency* Static Operating Nodal Fully Operating constraints export decentralised Envelopes Envelopes limits models – OPF - Basic – Bid (Today) Optimised or DDI (may not be highest cost) Only move up the spectrum when sufficient net benefit * System efficiency = network and market efficiency

DER Dispatchability: how DER fleets could participate in wholesale dispatch process



Boffer characteristic	Step 1: Schedule 'lite'	Step 2: Scheduled	Step 3: Flexible trader		
Definition of kW / measurement point	Aggregated connection point flows	Aggregated connection point flows	Test options under		
Frequency of boffer	Continuous	Continuous	Flexible Trader		
NMIs in boffer	All NMIs in registered cap	All NMIs in registered cap	aggregator is		
Energy fixed loading	Rebid aggregated CP flows every 5 mins	Submit Boffers in price bands May use EFL in some intervals	FRMP at CP for controllable DER		
Bi-directional bidding	N/A – EFL could be +ve/- ve	Yes	measurement point)		
Price setting ability	None	Yes	-		

	Max Avail	ROC Up	ROC Down	Fixed	Pasa	Band 10 \$14953.50	Band 9 \$1495.35	Band 8 \$298.07	Band 7 \$144.55	Band 6 \$94.71	Band 5 \$84.74	Band 4 \$78.76	Band 3 \$68.79	Band 2 \$0.00	Band 1 -\$996.90
04:30	0	120	120	1	245	0	0	0	0	0	0	245	245	245	245
05:00	0	120	120		245	0	0	0	0	0	0	245	245	245	245
05:30	0	120	120		245	0	0	0	0	0	0	245	245	245	245
06:00	0	120	120	1	245	0	0	0	0	0	0	245	245	245	245
06:30	0	120	120		245	0	0	0	0	0	0	245	245	245	245
07:00	0	120	120	1	245	0	0	0	0	0	0	245	245	245	245
07:30	0	120	120		245	0	0	0	0	0	0	245	245	245	245
08:00	0	120	120		245	0	0	0	0	0	0	245	245	245	245
08:30	0	120	120		245	0	0	0	0	0	0	245	245	245	245
09:00	0	120	120		245	0	0	0	0	0	0	245	245	245	245
09.30	0	120	120		245	0	0	0	0	0	0	245	245	245	245
10:00	0	120	120		245	0	0	0	0	0	0	245	245	245	245

Operating Envelope consideration in wholesale dispatch process



Operating Models to be Tested

1	Operating Envelop (Basic Model)	 Operating envelopes for voltage and thermal constraints (optimised on forecast info only) sent from AusNet to Aggregator Aggregator bids made with knowledge of operating envelope AEMO performs bid validation; compares to wholesale clearing price; conducts wholesale dispatch; AusNet performs OE compliance assessment 				
	Hypothesis fimple ar	nd lowest cost to implement etwork capacity where resource forecast deviate from dispatch				
2	Operating Envelop (Bid Optimised)	 As above, but Operating envelopes adjusted for Aggregator offers and preferences 				
	Hypothesis Increased	I market value by prioritising capacity to maximise economic value I complexity and integration between market-network platforms				
3	Static Nodal Constraints Model	As above, but • NMI Operating envelopes have regard only to voltage constraints • AusNet communicates nodal thermal constraints (for collection of NMIs) to AEMO • AEMO economic dispatch considers thermal constraints provided by AusNet (SCED)				
	Hypothesis Closer alig	gnment of local market to wholesale market architecture I comparative complexity to perform security constrained dispatch				

Functions undertaken by the DNSP within the wholesale energy market operating models

Segmented functions and optimisation within the wholesale energy market operating models





Operating Envelope - Basic Model





Operating Envelope - Basic Model







Operating Envelope - Bid Optimised Model



21



Nodal Constraints Model





Nodal Constraints Model



Network platform efficiency considerations



Networks Platform Design Considerations that impact cost

Network Normal Scenario



Minimum Network measurements to produce operating envelope



3

Network sensing interval to produce operating envelope

Operating envelope interval and refresh frequency for Market Participants

Complexity of algorithm used, having regard to available data sets (resource, network model, AMI metering and weather)

Sampling frequency and refresh rates of network monitoring data

Day ahead vs 5-min interval

Network Optimised Scenario



Use of active Network optimisation

HV network configuration/operational options to maximise network access for DER

Introducing the discussion questions

Suggested topics to ponder and discuss



- Are there any perceived "showstoppers" from a DNSP perspective in the proposed models for testing?
- What is the perceived most likely progression of operating arrangements for aggregated DER participation in the wholesale energy market?
- What might be the key enablers or obstacles to consider that would prevent a practical BAU transition to the models that are being tested?
- What are the most important things to "settle on" or "build" in the wholesale energy market component of the EDGE project that will have an enduring value, or assist with the transition?
- How should one treat network levers that can impact DER market participation?
- How should one assess the respective merits/shortcomings of the market operating models being tested?
- Any suggestions as to how one might best use the EDGE project works in progress to influence/shape the current ESB thinking (ref. DER Decentralised Platform)?

Interactive session – Enlarging the conversation

The look ahead

Anticipated future Advisory Group focus





To be shaped by the Advisory Group member input