

PROPOSED PROCEDURE CHANGE (PPC) – SUMMARY SECTION

Issue Number	STTM 14-002		
Impacted Jurisdiction(s)	NSW, Qld, SA		
Proponent	Arlyne Yuliana	Company	AEMO
Proponent e-mail	gwcf_correspondence@aemo.com.au	Proponent phone	(03) 9609 8477
Affected Gas Market(s) Retail Wholesale Bulletin Board STTM	STTM (wholesale)	Date proposal sent to AEMO	
Short Title	STTM Deviations and the Settlement Su	rplus and Shortfa	all
Other key contact information	Arlyne.yuliana@aemo.com.au		

PROPOSED PROCEDURE CHANGE (PPC) – DETAILED REPORT SECTION

1. Description of change(s) and reasons for change(s)	In the Short Term Trading Market (STTM) there is a disparity between the costs incurred in the market due to trading participants' deviations and the prices paid or charged for those deviations. This creates a large monthly settlement imbalance in the market (the net market balance), which is recovered through shortfall charges, or, less frequently, surplus payments.
	AEMO, in consultation with stakeholders, conducted a review of the operation of the STTM concluding on 31 March 2012. Key recommendations were:
	• To introduce the cost of Market Operator Service (MOS) (a pipeline balancing service in the STTM) into the existing deviation pricing mechanism to better assign MOS costs to the parties contributing to MOS on a gas day
	 To remove the deviation parameters, as they would no longer be required with the introduction of the above change.
	A rule change proposal was lodged with the Australian Energy Market Commission (AEMC) to support these changes. The AEMC published a more preferable rule on 20 June 2013.
	A further urgent rule change proposal was lodged with the AEMC to amend the rule relating to the settlement of surpluses and shortfalls (introduced by the STTM deviations rule change). The AEMC published its final rule determination on 3 April 2014, consisting of two parts which have different commencement dates:
	• The first part of the rule commences on 1 May 2014 and "undoes" the rule made in June 2013.
	• The second part of the rule commences on 1 November 2014 and "re-makes" the majority of the June 2013 rule change, with the addition of a modified rule 464(2).
	This procedure change proposal supports the STTM deviations and STTM settlement surplus and shortfall rule changes, with a commencement date of 1 November 2014.

2. Reference	STTM Procedures version 7.2, Chapter 10 – Settlement
documentation	STTM Procedures v8
 Procedure Reference GIP/Specification Pack Reference Other Reference 	 AEMO's Final Report on STTM Operational Review and Demand Hub Review
	 Final Report - Review of the STTM Operations and Demand hubs Rule Determination by the AEMC - National Gas Amendment (STTM deviations and the settlement surplus and shortfall) Rule 2012 No. 4.
	STTM deviations rule
	 Rule Determination by the AEMC – National Gas Amendment (STTM Surplus and Shortfall) Rule 2014
	STTM surplus and shortfall rule
3. The high level details	AEMO proposes the following changes to the STTM Procedures:
of the change to the existing Procedures	 The removal of the percentage method and the quantity method from deviation payment and charge calculations.
	 Introduce the cost of MOS into the deviation pricing calculations.
	 Modify the surplus and shortfall allocation methodology to allocate shortfalls on the basis of withdrawals in accordance with rule 464(2A).
	A marked up version of the proposed Procedure change is included in Attachment A.
4. Consequences for making or not making the change(s)	If the Procedure change is not made the STTM Procedures and systems will not comply with the National Gas Rules (NGR).
	Not making the change will also result in the failure to realise the benefits outlined in section 6.
5. Explanation regarding the order of	This change is material, both from a market perspective and from an implementation perspective.
change(s) (eg: material,	Market impact
non-material or non- substantial)	The change is expected to reduce wealth transfers in the STTM to the order of \$1.4 million p.a. This is a material financial impact on trading participants.
	Implementation impact
	To implement this change, material changes are required to the STTM systems to:
	 Change the way deviation prices are determined effecting the resulting deviation payments and charges.
	 Create a new Market Information System (MIS) report to show the deviation prices used on a gas day.
	 Modify the settlement surplus and shortfall allocation methodology to allocate shortfalls on the basis on withdrawals.

6. Likely benefits for	The expected benefits of this change are:
industry as a whole	Improve the allocation of costs to causers
	The key benefit of this proposal is a reduction in the size of the monthly surplus or shortfall, which represents an unknown risk in the market. Analysis done for AEMO's final report on the review of STTM operation showed a reduction in the size of the monthly surplus or shortfall of 85% for the Sydney hub. This achieves more direct alignment of MOS costs to those who contributed to MOS requirements, rather than using the settlement surplus or shortfall to recover MOS costs.
	Under this proposal the cost of MOS in the market is more transparent, potentially encouraging increased competition in the provision of MOS. This also provides a more direct price signal of costs on a day, encouraging market schedule variation trading and potentially secondary markets.
	The proposal was modelled for 6 months at the Sydney hub and compared to settlement results from the current arrangements. This modelling showed that the proposed amended approach would address a potential misallocation of MOS costs of, on average, \$120,000 per month. This equates to \$1.4 million per year of misaligned costs in the market at one hub. While there is not necessarily expected to be an overall reduction in costs in the market, there is potential to significantly reduce wealth transfer between parties.
	Settlement Surplus and Shortfall
	This component of the procedure change will have the consequence of allocating all MOS costs to parties based on their deviations, including those costs not required for balancing the hub (e.g. counteracting MOS), or contingency gas that is scheduled but not required. This allocation would produce an inequitable outcome as the costs in these instances are not attributable to deviations, and may be due to factors outside the control of trading participants who have deviated.
	Parties who have deviated over a month will have already paid the market cost of their deviations due to changes in the deviation pricing arrangements. Allocating shortfalls on the basis of deviations unnecessarily targets those parties. Historically, smaller participants are more likely to have a higher proportion of deviations compared to total market deviations than their proportion of withdrawals compared to total withdrawals. This means they will be allocated an inequitably higher proportion of any shortfall.
	It is, therefore, considered by AEMO to be more equitable to allocate recovery of shortfalls using withdrawals.

7 The likely	The implementation offents of the energy of the AEMO and listed
7. The likely	I ne implementation effects of the proposal on AEIVIO are listed
Implementation effect of	<u>Delow</u> .
the proposal on	1. Develop and submit rule change request to the AEMC (this
Industry in general	process has been completed).
and/or any identified	
parties (e.g. end-users)	2. Implement market system changes including:
	Changes to the deviation pricing mechanism
	Changes to the calculation of deviation navments and
	charges
	New MIS report to show deviation prices and inputs
	Changes to the sottlement surplus and shortfall allocation
	Changes to the settlement surplus and shortfall allocation mothodology
	memodology
	2 Undate and publich the Technical guide to the STTM
	5. Opdate and publish the rechnical guide to the STTM.
	The implementation effects of the proposal on Trading
	Participants are listed below
	1. Update systems to reflect new MIS reports with more
	information on deviation prices.
	2. Update reconciliation tools to reflect changes to deviation
	payment and charge calculations.
	3. Update reconciliation tools to reflect changes to settlement
	surplus and shortfall payment and charge calculations.
8. Testing requirements	I he testing requirements will cover:
	• AEMO System Integrity Tests (SIT) and Liser Accontance
	• AEMO System megnly rests (ST) and User Acceptance
	Tests (OAT) of the market system changes.
	 Industry testing of Trading Participants' interfaces. The test
	scope and test plan are being developed
9. Supporting	
Documentation	
10 If applicable a	The effective date for the proposed changed Procedures is 1
nronosed effective date	November 2014 to align with the effective date of the STTM
for the proposed	deviation and the settlement surplus and shortfall rule changes in
changed Procedures to	the NGR
take offect and	
instification for that	
timolino	
umenne.	

ATTACHMENT A – DOCUMENTATION CHANGES (SEE SECTION 3)

Blue represents additions. Red and strikeout represents deletions – Marked up changes

CHAPTER 1 – PRELIMINARY

1.2 Definitions

negative deviation range means that part of the *deviation settlement function* applied to negative valued deviations.

percentage method means a method for determining *variation charges*, *deviation charges* or *deviation payments* which processes variation quantities or *deviation quantities* (as applicable) as percentages of a reference quantity.

positive deviation range means that part of the *deviation settlement function* applied to positively valued deviations.

quantity method means a method for determining *variation charges*, *deviation charges* or *deviation payments* which processes variation quantities or *deviation quantities* (as applicable) as GJ quantities.

CHAPTER 10 – SETTLEMENT

10.1 Settlement Equation Definitions

10.1.1 Terms

The following table defines the indices used to identify different terms in the settlement equations.

Term

Definition

Term	Definition
9	Denotes a step of the <i>deviation settlement function</i> . A finite number of steps are defined for each direction of deviation (the <i>positive</i> <i>deviation range</i> and the <i>negative deviation range</i>). Each step of the <i>positive deviation range</i> corresponds to a deviation percentage range and deviation quantity range described in rule 462 with a positive percentage or positive GJ deviation, though only includes the positive range of the deviation percentage range and deviation quantity range that includes a zero percentage or zero GJ deviation. Each step of the <i>negative deviation range</i> corresponds to a deviation percentage range and deviation quantity range described in rule 462 with a negative percentage or negative GJ deviation, though only includes the negative percentage or negative GJ deviation, though only includes the negative range of the deviation percentage range and deviation quantity range that includes a zero percentage or zero GJ deviation. For the <i>percentage method</i> , each step of the <i>positive deviation range</i> must have a PDevPR(g) value and a PDevPF(g) value and each step of the <i>negative deviation range</i> must have a PDevNR(g) value and a PDevNF(g) value. For the <i>quantity method</i> , each step of the <i>positive</i> <i>deviation range</i> must have a GDevPR(g) value and a GDevPF(g) value and each step of the <i>negative deviation range</i> must have a GDevNR(g) value and a GDevNF(g) value.
g'	Denotes a step of the deviation settlement function. See g.

10.1.3 Mathematical Terms

The following table defines all the mathematical terms used in the settlement equations.

Term	Definition
AIICAP	The settlement surplus cap. <u>The settlement surplus cap is</u> <u>\$0.14/GJ.</u>
DPFlag(d)	The DPFlag(d) can be 0 or 1 for a <i>hub</i> and a <i>gas day</i> . It is set by AEMO in accordance with clause 8.2.2(c). If it is 0, then settlement calculations are unaffected. If it is 1, then all positive deviations <i>long deviation quantities</i> are settled at the <i>ex ante market price</i> , while all negative deviations <i>short</i> <i>deviation quantities</i> are settled at the maximum price applicable to <i>gas day</i> d (MAXP(d)).
GDevNF(g)	The factor for step g of the quantity method negative deviation range of the deviation settlement function. This equals GRefDevNF(g) if the ex ante market price for the hub is positive or zero (\geq 0), otherwise it equals GRefDevPF(g). This value is determined in clauses 10.8.7(a) and 10.8.7(b).
GDevNFA(p,d,k)	The <i>quantity method</i> deviation settlement amount for <i>Trading</i> <i>Participant</i> p for negative deviations which increase withdrawal from the <i>hub</i> on <i>market facility</i> k on <i>gas day</i> d. This value is determined in clause 10.8.10(b)

Term	Definition
GDevNQF(p,d,k,g)	The deviation quantity (in GJ) of negative deviation step g for <i>Trading Participant</i> p on gas day d for withdrawals from the hub on market facility k determined using the quantity method. This term is greater than or equal to zero. This value is determined in clause 10.8.8(b).
GDevNQT(p,d,k,g)	The deviation quantity (in GJ) of negative deviation step g for Trading Participant p on gas day d for supply to the hub on market facility k determined using the quantity method. This term is greater than or equal to zero. This value is determined in clause 10.8.8(d).
GDevNR(g)	The GJ boundary between step g and step g+1 of the <i>quantity</i> method negative deviation range of the deviation settlement function. This equals GRefDevNR(g) if the <i>ex ante market price</i> is positive or zero (≥0), otherwise it equals -1 × GRefDevPR(g). This term is neither defined nor used for g=Maxg. This value is determined in clauses 10.8.7(a) and 10.8.7(b).
GDevNTA(p,d,k)	The <i>quantity method</i> deviation settlement amount for <i>Trading</i> <i>Participant</i> p for negative deviations which decrease supply to the <i>hub</i> on <i>market facility</i> k on <i>gas day</i> d. This value is determined in clause 10.8.10(d).
GDevPF(g)	The factor for step g of the <i>quantity method positive deviation range</i> of the <i>deviation settlement function</i> . This equals GRefDevPF(g) if the <i>ex ante market price</i> is positive or zero (≥0), otherwise it equals GRefDevNF(g). This value is determined in clauses 10.8.7(a) and 10.8.7(b).
GDevPFA(p,d,k)	The <i>quantity method</i> deviation settlement amount for <i>Trading</i> <i>Participant</i> p for positive deviations which decrease withdrawal from the <i>hub</i> on <i>market facility</i> k on <i>gas day</i> d. This value is determined in clause 10.8.10(a).
GDevPQF(p,d,k,g)	The <i>deviation quantity</i> (in GJ) of positive deviation step g for <i>Trading Participant</i> p on <i>gas day</i> d for withdrawals from the <i>hub</i> on <i>market facility</i> k determined using the <i>quantity method</i> . This term is greater than or equal to zero. This value is determined in clause 10.8.8(a).
GDevPQT(p,d,k,g)	The <i>deviation quantity</i> (in GJ) of positive deviation step g for <i>Trading Participant</i> p on <i>gas day</i> d for supply to the <i>hub</i> on <i>market facility</i> k determined using the <i>quantity method</i> . This term is greater than or equal to zero. This value is determined in clause 10.8.8(c).
GDevPR(g)	The GJ boundary between step g and step g+1 of the <i>quantity</i> method positive deviation range of the deviation settlement function. This equals GRefDevPR(g) if the <i>ex ante market price</i> is positive or zero (\geq 0), otherwise it equals -1 × GRefDevNR(g). This term is neither defined nor used for g=Maxg. This value is determined in clauses 10.8.7(a) and 10.8.7(b).
GDevPTA(p,d,k)	The <i>quantity method</i> deviation settlement amount for <i>Trading</i> <i>Participant</i> p for positive deviations which increase supply to the <i>hub</i> on <i>market facility</i> k on <i>gas day</i> d. This value is determined in clause 10.8.10(c).
GPDevNF(p,d,k,g)	The deviation price of <i>quantity method negative deviation range</i> step g for <i>Trading Participant</i> p on gas day d for withdrawals from the <i>hub</i> on <i>market facility</i> k. This value is determined in clause 10.8.9(b).

Term	Definition
GPDevNT(p,d,k,g)	The deviation price of <i>quantity mothod nogative deviation range</i> step g for <i>Trading Participant</i> p on <i>gas day</i> d for supply to the <i>hub</i> on <i>market facility</i> k. This value is determined in clause 10.8.9(d).
GPDevPF(p,d,k,g)	The deviation price of <i>quantity method positive deviation range</i> step g for <i>Trading Participant</i> p on <i>gas day</i> d for withdrawals from the <i>hub</i> on <i>market facility</i> k. This value is determined in clause 10.8.9(a).
GPDevPT(p,d,k,g)	The deviation price of <i>quantity method positive deviation range</i> step g for <i>Trading Participant</i> p on gas day d for supply to the hub on market facility k. This value is determined in clause10.8.9(c).
GRefDevNF(g)	The factor for step g of the <i>quantity method negative deviation range</i> of the <i>deviation settlement function</i> (assuming the <i>ex ante market price</i> is positive or zero). These factors increase with increasing negative deviation and are the factors for the deviation quantity range (specified in GJ) in rule 462 corresponding to step g.
GRefDevNR(g)	The GJ boundary between step g and step g+1 of the <i>quantity</i> method negative deviation range of the deviation settlement function (assuming the <i>ox ante markot price</i> is positive or zero). These terms are negative valued and correspond to the most negative values specified in the deviation quantity range (specified in GJ) in rule 462 corresponding to step g. This term is neither defined nor used for $g=Maxg$.
GRefDevPF(g)	The factor for step g of the <i>quantity method positive deviation range</i> of the <i>deviation settlement function</i> (assuming the <i>ox ante market price</i> is positive or zero). These factors decline with increasing positive deviation and are the factors for the deviation quantity range (specified in GJ) in rule 462 corresponding to step g.
GRefDevPR(g)	The GJ boundary between step g and step g+1 for the <i>quantity method positive deviation range</i> of the <i>deviation settlement function</i> (assuming the <i>ox ante market price</i> is positive or zero). These terms are positive valued and correspond to the most positive values specified in the deviation quantity range (specified in GJ) in rule 462 corresponding to step g. This term is neither defined nor used for g=Maxg.
Maxg	The last step (g= Maxg) of the <i>deviation settlement function</i> , being the step with the most extreme values of PDevNF(g) and PDevPF(g) (for the <i>percentage method</i>) and GDevNF(g) and GDevPF(g) (for the <i>quantity method</i>).
MAXP(d)	The maximum <i>deviation price</i> to be applied in the settlement of <i>gas day</i> d for a <i>hub</i> . This will normally be <i>MPC</i> <u>plus MCAP</u> but will be the <i>administered price cap</i> when either an <i>administered price cap state, administered ex post pricing</i> <i>state, market administered scheduling state</i> or <i>market</i> <i>administered settlement state</i> applies to <i>gas day</i> d.
MCAP	The MOS cost cap.

Term	Definition
MINP(d)	The minimum <i>deviation price</i> to be applied in the settlement of <i>gas day</i> d for a <i>hub</i> . This will <u>normally be <i>MMP</i> less the</u> <u>MCAP</u> for that <i>gas day</i> - <u>but will be equal to the <i>MMP</i> when either an <i>administered price cap state</i>, <i>administered ex post</i> <u>pricing state</u>, <u>market administered scheduling state or market</u> <u>administered settlement state applies to gas day d</u>.</u>
<u>MOSXI(d)</u>	The MOS increase cost for a <i>hub</i> for <i>gas day</i> d. This term is null (i.e. has no impact on settlement) unless the net quantity of <i>MOS gas</i> allocated on all <i>STTM facilities</i> supplying the <i>hub</i> on <i>gas day</i> d is positive (increase <i>MOS</i>). This value is determined in clause 10.8.4A.
MOSXD(d)	The MOS decrease cost for a <i>hub</i> for <i>gas day</i> d. This term is null (i.e. has no impact on settlement) unless the net quantity of <i>MOS gas</i> allocated on all <i>STTM facilities</i> supplying the <i>hub</i> on <i>gas day</i> d is negative (decrease MOS). This value is determined in clause 10.8.4B.
PDcvNF(g)	The factor for step g of the <i>percentage method negative deviation</i> range of the <i>deviation settlement function</i> . This equals PRefDevNF(g) if the <i>ex ante market price</i> is positive or zero (≥0), otherwise it equals PRefDevPF(g). This value is determined in clauses 10.8.3(a) and 10.8.3(b).
PDevNFA(p,d,k)	The percentage method deviation settlement amount for <i>Trading</i> <i>Participant</i> p for negative deviations which increase withdrawal from the <i>hub</i> on <i>market facility</i> k on gas day d. This value is determined in clause 10.8.6(b).
PDcvNQF(p,d,k,g)	The deviation quantity (in GJ) of negative deviation step g for Trading Participant p on gas day d for withdrawals from the hub on market facility k determined using the percentage method. This term is greater than or equal to zero. This value is determined in clause 10.8.4(b).
PDevNQT(p,d,k,g)	The deviation (in GJ) of negative deviation step g for <i>Trading</i> <i>Participant</i> p on gas day d for supply to the hub on market facility k determined using the <i>percentage method</i> . This term is greater than or equal to zero. This value is determined in clause 10.8.4(d).
PDevNR(g)	The percentage boundary between step g and step g+1 of the percentage method negative deviation range of the deviation settlement function. These equal PRefDevNR(g) if the <i>ex ante</i> <i>market price</i> is positive or zero (≥0), otherwise it equals -1 × PRefDevPR(g). This term is neither defined nor used for g=Maxg. This value is determined in clauses 10.8.3(a) and 10.8.3(b).
PDevNTA(p,d,k)	The percentage method deviation settlement amount for <i>Trading Participant</i> p for negative deviations which decrease supply to the hub on market facility k on gas day d. This value is determined in clause 10.8.6(d).
PDevPF(g)	The factor for step g of the <i>percentage method positive deviation</i> range of the <i>deviation settlement function</i> . This equals PRefDevPF(g) if the <i>ex ante market price</i> is positive or zero (≥0), otherwise it equals PRefDevNF(g). This value is determined in clauses 10.8.3(a) and 10.8.3(b).

Term	Definition
PDevPFA(p,d,k)	The percentage method deviation settlement amount for <i>Trading</i> Participant p for positive deviations which decrease withdrawal from the <i>hub</i> on market facility k on gas day d. This value is determined in clause 10.8.6(a).
PDevPQF(p,d,k,g)	The deviation quantity (in GJ) of positive deviation step g for <i>Trading Participant</i> p on <i>gas day</i> d for withdrawals from the <i>hub</i> on <i>market facility</i> k determined using the <i>percentage method</i> . This term is greater than or equal to zero. This value is determined in clause 10.8.4(a).
PDevPQT(p,d,k,g)	The <i>deviation quantity</i> (in GJ) of positive deviation step g for <i>Trading Participant</i> p on <i>gas day</i> d for supply to the <i>hub</i> on <i>market facility</i> k determined using the <i>percentage method</i> . This term is greater than or equal to zero. This value is determined in clause 10.8.4(c).
PDevPR(g)	The percentage boundary between step g and step g+1 of the percentage method positive deviation range of the deviation settlement function. This equals PRefDevPR(g) if the <i>ex ante market</i> price is positive or zero (≥0), otherwise it equals -1 × PRefDevNR(g). This term is neither defined nor used for g=Maxg. This value is determined in clauses 10.8.3(a) and 10.8.3(b).
PDevPTA(p,d,k)	The percentage method deviation settlement amount for <i>Trading</i> <i>Participant</i> p for positive deviations which increase supply to the <i>hub</i> on <i>market facility</i> k on <i>gas day</i> d. This value is determined in clause 10.8.6(c).
PPDevNF(p,d,k,g) PDevNF(p,d,k)	The deviation price for of percentage method a short deviation <u>quantity</u> negative deviation step g for <i>Trading Participant</i> p on gas day d for withdrawals from the <i>hub</i> on <i>market facility</i> k. This value is determined in clause 10.8.5(b).
PPDevNT(p,d,k,g) <u>PDevNT(p,d,k)</u>	The deviation price for of percentage method a short deviation <u>quantity</u> negative deviation step g for <i>Trading Participant</i> p on gas day d for supply to the <i>hub</i> on <i>market facility</i> k. This value is determined in clause 10.8.5(d).
PPDevPF(p,d,k,g) <u>PDevPF(p,d,k)</u>	The deviation price for of percentage method a long deviation <u>quantity positive deviation step g</u> for <i>Trading Participant</i> p on gas day d for withdrawals from the <i>hub</i> on <i>market facility</i> k. This value is determined in clause 10.8.5(a).
PPDevPT(p,d,k,g) <u>PDevPT(p,d,k)</u>	The deviation price for of percentage method a long deviation <u>quantity positive deviation step g</u> for <i>Trading Participant</i> p on gas day d for supply to the <i>hub</i> on <i>market facility</i> k. This value is determined in clause 10.8.5(c).
PRefDevNF(g)	The factor for step g of the percentage method negative deviation range of the deviation settlement function (assuming the ox ante market price is positive or zero). These factors increase with increasing negative deviation and are the factors for the deviation percentage range in rule 462 corresponding to step g.

Term	Definition
PRofDevNR(g)	The percentage boundary between step g and step g+1 of the percentage method negative deviation range of the deviation settlement function (assuming the <i>ex ante market price</i> is positive or zero). These are negative values and correspond to the most negative values specified in the deviation percentage range in rule 462 corresponding to step g. This term is neither defined nor used for g=Maxg.
PRefDevPF(g)	The factor for step g of the percentage method positive deviation range of the deviation settlement function (assuming the ox ante market price is positive or zero). These factors decline with increasing positive deviation and are the factors for the deviation percentage range in rule 462 corresponding to step g.
PRofDevPR(g)	The percentage boundary between step g and step g+1 for the percentage method positive deviation range of the deviation settlement function (assuming the ex ante market price is positive or zero). These are positive values and correspond to the most positive values specified in the deviation percentage range in rule 462 corresponding to step g. This term is neither defined nor used for g=Maxg.
WDA(p)	The settlement shortfall or surplus amount for a <i>hub</i> for a <i>billing period</i> allocated to <i>Trading Participant</i> p as a result of its allocated withdrawals from the <i>hub</i> over the <i>billing period</i> (whether as an <i>STTM Shipper</i> or as an <i>STTM User</i>). This amount includes a share of <i>variation charges</i> applied to <i>Trading Participants</i> over the <i>billing period</i> and any settlement shortfall or surplus not included in the value of DVA(p) as a result of application of the AllCAP limit (i.e. settlement surplus cap). This is determined in clause 10.10.4.

10.8 Deviations

Explanatory Note

This clause describes how AEMO determines the *deviation payment* and *deviation charge* for a *Trading Participant* at a *hub* for the purposes of rule 461(2)(g). They are calculated in accordance with clauses 10.8.1 to 10.8.11 by:

- (a) calculating the *modified market schedule quantity* for the *Trading Participant* for each *STTM facility* and flow direction, and the *hub*, being the aggregate of the relevant:
 - (i) market schedule quantities; and
 - (ii) allocations of MOS and overrun MOS; and
 - (iii) scheduled quantities of contingency gas; and
 - (iv) market schedule variations; and
- (b) calculating *deviation quantities* for the *Trading Participant* for each *STTM facility* and flow direction, and the *hub*, being the difference between the relevant *modified market schedule quantity* and the corresponding *allocation quantity*; and

(c)	calculating payments or charges for each <i>deviation quantity</i> using <u>deviation prices</u> , where: both the percentage method and the quantity method under which:			
	(i)	[Deleted] the deviation price for a <i>short deviation quantity</i> increases as that <i>deviation quantity</i> increases, and the deviation price for a <i>long deviation quantity</i> decreases as that <i>deviation</i> that <i>deviation quantity</i> decreases as that <i>deviation</i> that <i>deviation quantity</i> increases; and		
	(ii) <i>deviation prices</i> are calculated by reference		on prices are calculated by reference to:	
		(A)	the <i>ex ante market price</i> as modified by the factors in the tables in rule 462 ; and	
		(B)	the ex post imbalance price; and	
		(C)	the applicable <i>high contingency gas price</i> or <i>low contingency gas price</i> (if any); <u>and</u>	
		<u>(D)</u>	the applicable MOS increase cost or MOS decrease cost, where a MOS increase cost will apply if the net <i>MOS gas</i> requirement at the hub was positive (increase MOS), and a MOS decrease cost will apply if the net <i>MOS gas</i> requirement at the hub was negative (decrease MOS);	
		for the <i>gas day</i> ; and		
	(iii)	an exce <i>materia</i> adminis for the	eption is made where an <i>administered price cap state</i> applies by reason of al involuntary curtailment, in which case deviation charges are priced at the stered price cap and deviation payments are priced at the ex ante market price gas day; and	
(d)	- determ	determining, for each short deviation quantity at the hub, the lesser of the charge calculated		
	using the percentage method and the charge calculated using the quantity method, those lesser charges being the <i>deviation charge</i> for that <i>Trading Participant</i> at that the the second		ntage method and the charge calculated using the quantity method, the sum of arges being the <i>deviation charge</i> for that <i>Trading Participant</i> at that <i>hub</i> ; and	
(ө)	- determining, for each <i>long deviation quantity</i> at the <i>hub</i> , the greater of the payment calculated using the percentage method and the payment calculated using the quantity method, the sum of those greater payments being the <i>deviation payment</i> for that <i>Trading Participant</i> at that <i>hub</i> .			

10.8.1 Modified market schedule quantities

<Unchanged>

10.8.2 Deviation quantities

<Unchanged>

10.8.3 Deleted Definition of steps – Percentage method

10.8.4 Deleted Allocation to steps - Percentage method

10.8.4A MOS Increase Cost

(a) The MOS increase cost for gas day d is:

 $\frac{\text{If } (\Sigma_p \sum_{k \in SP} \sum_{cf(k)} (MAQ^{S}(p,d,cf(k)) + OMAQ^{S}(p,d,cf(k))) + \Sigma_p \sum_{k \in SP} \sum_{ct(k)} (MAQ^{S}(p,d,ct(k)) + OMAQ^{S}(p,d,ct(k))) > 0 \text{ then}}{(MAQ^{S}(p,d,ct(k)) + OMAQ^{S}(p,d,ct(k))) > 0 \text{ then}}$

<u>ELSE</u>

MOSXI(d) = NULL

Note: The MOS increase cost is only calculated if the net *MOS gas* requirement at the hub is positive (increase MOS). The MOS increase cost is the sum of *MOS* and *overrun* <u>MOS payments for gas day d</u>, and <u>MOS cash-out payments from gas day d+2 (for</u> <u>MOS provided on gas day d</u>) for all increase MOS allocated on gas day d at the hub, divided by the quantity of all increase MOS allocated on gas day d at the hub.

10.8.4B MOS Decrease Cost

(a) The MOS decrease cost for gas day d is:

 $\frac{\text{If }(\Sigma_{p} \Sigma_{k \in SP} \Sigma_{cf(k)} (MAQ^{S}(p,d,cf(k)) + OMAQ^{S}(p,d,cf(k))) + \Sigma_{p} \Sigma_{k \in SP} \Sigma_{cf(k)}}{(MAQ^{S}(p,d,ct(k)) + OMAQ^{S}(p,d,ct(k)))) < 0 \text{ then}}$

 $\begin{array}{l} \underline{\mathsf{MOSXD}(d) = \left[\ \underline{\Sigma}_p \ \underline{\Sigma}_{k \in SP} \ \underline{\Sigma}_{m(k)} \ \underline{\Sigma}_j \ (\underline{\mathsf{MOSDC}^S}(p,d,m(k),j) \times \underline{\mathsf{MOSAD}^S}(p,d,m(k),j) + \underline{\Sigma}_p \ \underline{\Sigma}_{k \in SP} \ (ORPD(d,k) \times (-1 \times \underline{\Sigma}_{c(k)}] \\ \underline{\mathsf{MIN}(0, \ \mathsf{OMAQ}^S}(p,d,ct(k))) + \underline{\mathsf{MIN}(0, \ \mathsf{OMAQ}^S}(p,d,cf(k)))) \right\} - \underline{\Sigma}_p \\ \underline{\mathsf{MCCC}}(p,d+2) - \underline{\Sigma}_p \ \underline{\mathsf{MCOC}}(p,d+2) \] \ / \ \underline{\Sigma}_p \underline{\Sigma}_{k \in SP} \ \underline{\Sigma}_{c(k)} \\ \underline{\mathsf{MIN}(0, \ \mathsf{MAQ}^S}(p,d,ct(k))) + \ \underline{\mathsf{MIN}(0, \ \mathsf{MAQ}^S}(p,d,cf(k))) \) + \ \underline{\mathsf{MIN}(0, \ \mathsf{MAQ}^S}(p,d,cf(k))) \) \\ \underline{\mathsf{OMAQ}}^S(p,d,ct(k))) + \ \underline{\mathsf{MIN}(0, \ \mathsf{OMAQ}^S}(p,d,cf(k))) \) \\ \end{array}$

ELSE

MOSXD(d) = NULL

Note: The MOS decrease cost is only calculated if the net *MOS gas* requirement at the hub is negative (decrease MOS). The MOS decrease cost is the sum of *MOS* and overrun *MOS* payments for *gas day* d and *MOS* cash-out charges from *gas day* d+2 (for *MOS* provided on *gas day* d) for all decrease MOS allocated on *gas day* d at the hub, divided by the quantity of all decrease MOS allocated on *gas day* d at the hub.

The MOS decrease cost may be a positive or negative value.

10.8.5 Deviation prices - Percentage method

(a) For Trading Participant p with a positive deviation <u>long deviation</u> <u>quantity</u> for withdrawals from the hub (i.e. lower withdrawal than expected) on market facility k on gas day d, the deviation price on <u>deviation step g</u> is:

If DPFlag(d) = 0

 $\underline{\mathsf{IF}\;\mathsf{CGPH}(\mathsf{d})}\geq 0$

 $\frac{PDevPF(p,d,k)}{PDevPF(d), HP(d), HP(d), HP(d), CGPL(d))}$

<u>ELSE</u>

PPDevPF(p,d,k,g)

 $\frac{\text{PDevPF}(p,d,k)}{\text{MAXP}(d), \text{HP}(d)} = \text{MAX}(\text{MINP}(d), \text{MIN}(d), \text{MAXP}(d), \text{HP}(d) \times \frac{\text{PDevPF}(g)}{\text{PDevPF}(g)}, \text{IHP}(d), \text{CGPL}(d), \frac{\text{MOSXD}(d)}{\text{MOSXD}(d)}))$

If DPFlag(d) = 1

PPDevPF(p,d,k,g)

 $\underline{PDevPF(p,d,k)} = HP(d)$

(b) For Trading Participant p with a negative deviation <u>short deviation</u> <u>quantity</u> for withdrawals from the *hub* (i.e. higher withdrawal than expected) on market facility k on gas day d, the deviation price on deviation step g is:

If DPFlag(d) = 0

 $\underline{\mathsf{IF}\;\mathsf{CGPL}(\mathsf{d})} \geq 0$

 $\frac{PDevNF(p,d,k)}{MINP(d), HP(d), IHP(d), CGPH(d))}$

<u>ELSE</u>

PPDevNF(p,d,k,g)

 $\frac{\text{PDevNF}(p,d,k)}{\text{MINP}(d), \text{HP}(d)} = \text{MIN}(\text{MAXP}(d), \text{MAX}(MINP(d), \text{HP}(d)) + \frac{\text{PDevNF}(g)}{\text{PDevNF}(g)}, \text{IHP}(d), \text{CGPH}(d), \frac{\text{MOSXI}(d)}{\text{MOSXI}(d)}))$

If DPFlag(d) = 1

PPDevNF(p,d,k,g)

 $\underline{PDevNF(p,d,k)} = MAXP(d)$

(c) For Trading Participant p with a positive deviation <u>long deviation</u> <u>quantity</u> for gas supplied to the *hub* (i.e. higher supply than expected) on market facility k on gas day d, the deviation price on deviation step g is:

If DPFlag(d) = 0

 $\underline{\mathsf{IF}\;\mathsf{CGPH}(\mathsf{d})}\geq 0$

<u>PDevPT(p,d,k</u>) = MAX(MINP(d), MIN(MAXP(d), HP(d), IHP(d), CGPL(d)))

<u>ELSE</u>

PPDevPT(p,d,k,g)

 $\frac{\text{PDevPT}(p,d,k)}{\text{MAXP}(d), \text{HP}(d)} = \text{MAX}(\text{MINP}(d), \text{MIN}(d), \text{MAXP}(d), \text{HP}(d), \frac{\text{PDevPF}(g)}{\text{CGPL}(d), \text{MOSXD}(d)})$

If DPFlag(d) = 1

PPDevPT(p,d,k,g)

 $\underline{PDevPT(p,d,k)} = HP(d).$

 (d) For *Trading Participant* p with a negative deviation <u>short deviation</u> <u>quantity</u> for gas supplied to the *hub* (i.e. lower supply than expected) on market facility k on gas day d, the deviation price on deviation step g is:

If DPFlag(d) = 0

<u>IF CGPL(d) ≥ 0</u>

 $\frac{PDevNT(p,d,k)}{MINP(d), HP(d), IHP(d), CGPH(d)}$

<u>ELSE</u>

PPDevNT(p,d,k,g)

 $\frac{\text{PDevNT}(p,d,k)}{\text{MAX}(\text{MINP}(d), \text{HP}(d) \times \text{PDevNF}(g)}, \text{IHP}(d), \\ \text{CGPH}(d), \frac{\text{MOSXI}(d)}{\text{MOSXI}(d)}))$

If DPFlag(d) = 1

PPDevNT(p,d,k,g)

 $\underline{PDevNT(p,d,k)} = MAXP(d)$

10.8.6 Deleted Percentage method deviation payments and charges

10.8.7 Deleted Definition of steps - Quantity method

10.8.8 Deleted Allocation to steps - Quantity method

10.8.9 Deleted Deviation prices – Quantity method

10.8.10 Deleted Quantity method deviation payments and charges

10.8.11 Deviation payments and charges

(a) The <u>deviation payment</u> settlement amount for Trading Participant p for a <u>long deviation quantity</u> positive deviations in withdrawals from the hub (i.e. lower withdrawal than expected) on market facility k on gas day d is:

DevPFA(p,d,k) = MAX(PDevPFA(p,d,k), GDevPFA(p,d,k))

 $DevPFA(p,d,k) = MAX(0, DQF(p,d,k)) \times PDevPF(p,d,k)$

(b) The <u>deviation charge</u> settlement amount for Trading Participant p for <u>a</u> <u>short deviation quantity</u> negative deviations in withdrawals from the <u>hub</u> (i.e. higher withdrawal than expected) on market facility k on gas day d is:

DevNFA(p,d,k) = MIN(PDevNFA(p,d,k), GDevNFA(p,d,k))

 $DevNFA(p,d,k) = MAX(0, -1 \times DQF(p,d,k)) \times PDevNF(p,d,k)$

(c) The <u>deviation payment</u> settlement amount for Trading Participant p for <u>a long deviation quantity</u> positive deviations in gas supplied to the *hub* (i.e. higher supply than expected) on gas day d is:

DevPTA(p,d,k) = MAX(PDevPTA(p,d,k), GDevPTA(p,d,k))

 $\underline{\text{DevPTA}(p,d,k)} = \underline{\text{MAX}(0, DQT(p,d,k))} \times \underline{\text{PDevPT}(p,d,k)}$

(d) The <u>deviation charge</u> settlement amount for Trading Participant p for <u>a</u> <u>short deviation quantity</u> negative deviations in gas supplied to the *hub* (i.e. lower supply than expected) on gas day d is:

DevNTA(p,d,k) = MIN(PDevNTA(p,d,k), GDevNTA(p,d,k))

 $\underline{\text{DevNTA}(p,d,k)} = \underline{\text{MAX}(0, -1 \times DQT(p,d,k))} \times \underline{\text{PDevNT}(p,d,k)}$

(e) The *total* deviation payment to *Trading Participant* p for the *hub* for gas day d is:

 $DevP(p,d) = \Sigma_k \{ DevPFA(p,d,k) + DevPTA(p,d,k) \}$

(f) The *total* deviation charge to *Trading Participant* p for the *hub* for gas day d is:

 $DevC(p,d) = \Sigma_k \{ DevNFA(p,d,k) + DevNTA(p,d,k) \}$

10.10 Settlement Shortfall Charges and Payments

Explanatory Note

This clause describes how AEMO determines the *settlement surplus payment* and *settlement shortfall charge* for a *Trading Participant* at a *hub* for the purposes of rule 464(2)(b)(i). They are calculated in accordance with clauses 10.10.1 to 10.10.5 by:

(a) calculating the settlement shortfall or settlement surplus for the *hub*, excluding *variation charges*; and

(b)	calculating the billing period deviation quantity for the <i>Trading Participant</i> for the relevant <i>billing period</i> , which excludes any <i>gas days</i> for which an <i>administered price cap state</i> applied by reason of <i>material involuntary curtailment</i> ; and			
(c)	allocating the settlement shortfall or settlement surplus in proportion to the <i>Trading Participant's</i> share of the total billing period deviation quantity for all <i>Trading Participants</i> , but subject to a cap equal to the settlement surplus cap multiplied by the <i>Trading Participant's</i> billing period deviation quantity; and			
(d)	allocating <u>any settlement shortfall</u> , any residual settlement surplus, and any surplus resulting from <i>variation charges</i> , to <i>Trading Participants</i> in proportion to their share of withdrawals from the <i>hub</i> in the <i>billing period</i> .			
Fees are retained by AEMO and are not part of the settlement surplus or shortfall.				

10.10.1 Shortfall or surplus

No change

10.10.2 Billing period deviation quantities

No change

10.10.3 Surplus and shortfall allocation based on billing period deviations

The shortfall/surplus allocation based on deviations for *Trading Participant* p for the *hub* for the *billing period* is:

If $\Sigma_{p'} DQB(p') = 0$

DVA(p) = 0

Otherwise

 $DVA(p) = \underline{MAX(0)}(MIN(AIICAP \times DQB(p), NMB \times \{DQB(p) / (\Sigma_{p'} DQB(p'))\}))$

Note: The last term allocates NMB in proportion to deviations over the billing period, while the first term caps the allocation for positive NMB values at a rate of AllCAP, the \$/GJ cap on positive allocations. This cap is intended to stop Trading Participants who deviated getting a high proportion of their deviation charges returned to them. <u>Negative NMB values are allocated based on withdrawals in 10.10.4.</u>

10.10.4 Residual surplus and shortfall allocation based on withdrawals

The shortfall/surplus allocation to *Trading Participant* p based on withdrawals for the *hub* for the *billing period* is:

If $\Sigma_{p'}\Sigma_{d\in BP} \{ \Sigma_{k\in SN}\Sigma_{cf(k)} AQ^{U}(p',d,cf(k)) + \Sigma_{k\in SP}\Sigma_{cf(k)} AQ^{S}(p',d,cf(k)) \} = 0$

WDA(p) = 0

Otherwise

$$\begin{split} & \mathsf{WDA}(p) = \{\mathsf{NMB} - \Sigma_{p'} \, \mathsf{DVA}(p') + \Sigma_d \, \Sigma_{p'} \, \mathsf{VarC}(p',d) \} \\ & \times \ \left[\, \Sigma_{d \in \mathsf{BP}} \left\{ \, \Sigma_{k \in \mathsf{SN}} \Sigma_{cf(k)} \, A \mathsf{Q}^{\mathsf{U}}(p,d,cf(k)) + \Sigma_{k \in \mathsf{SP}} \Sigma_{cf(k)} \, A \mathsf{Q}^{\mathsf{S}}(p,d,cf(k)) \, \right\} \\ & / \, \left(\, \Sigma_{p'} \, \Sigma_{d \in \mathsf{BP}} \left\{ \, \Sigma_{k \in \mathsf{SN}} \Sigma_{cf(k)} \, A \mathsf{Q}^{\mathsf{U}}(p',d,cf(k)) + \Sigma_{k \in \mathsf{SP}} \Sigma_{cf(k)} \, A \mathsf{Q}^{\mathsf{S}}(p',d,cf(k)) \, \right\} \, \right) \, \right] \end{split}$$

10.10.5 Net surplus and shortfall payments and charges

(a) The settlement surplus payment to Trading Participant p for the hub for the billing period is:

SSP(p) = MAX(0, DVA(p)) + MAX(0, WDA(p))

(b) The settlement shortfall charge to Trading Participant p for the hub for the billing period is:

 $SSC(p) = MAX(0, -1 \times DVA(p)) + MAX(0, -1 \times WDA(p))$