



INITIAL CONSULTATION – PARTICIPANT RESPONSE PACK

MSATS PROCEDURES:

Consumer Administration and Transfer Solution (CATS)
Procedure Principles and Obligations Version 4.0

Procedure for the Management of Wholesale,
Interconnector, Generator and Sample (WIGS) NMIs
Version 4.0

Participant: Energex Ltd

Completion Date: 3rd February 2014

1. Participant Responses

- Section A covers the proposed changes to the CATS Procedure Version 3.8
- Section B covers the proposed changes to the WIGS Procedure Version 3.8

Please complete the relevant columns below in order to record your response. If you have no comments on this document please note this as a general comment in the table.

A. Proposed Changes to the CATS and WIGS Procedures

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised MSATS text	Rating (H/M/L ¹)	AEMO Response
			<u>Blue underline</u> means insert Red-strikeout means delete		
		Energex has no further comments in relation to this Draft Determination			

¹ L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions
 M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical.
 H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.

B. Proposed Changes to the WIGS Procedure

Please complete the relevant columns below in order to record your response. If you have no comments on this document please note this as a general comment in the table.

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised MSATS text	Rating (H/M/L ²)	AEMO Response
			<p><u>Blue underline</u> means insert</p> <p>Red-strikeout means delete</p>		
		Energex has no further comments in relation to this Draft Determination			

² L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions
M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical.
H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.