



INITIAL CONSULTATION – PARTICIPANT RESPONSE PACK

MSATS PROCEDURES:

Consumer Administration and Transfer Solution (CATS)
Procedure Principles and Obligations Version 4.0

Procedure for the Management of Wholesale,
Interconnector, Generator and Sample (WIGS) NMIs
Version 4.0

Participant: AGL

Completion Date: 07.02.2014

1. Participant Responses



- Section A covers the proposed changes to the CATS Procedure Version 3.8
- Section B covers the proposed changes to the WIGS Procedure Version 3.8

Please complete the relevant columns below in order to record your response. If you have no comments on this document please note this as a general comment in the table.

A. Proposed Changes to the CATS and WIGS Procedures

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised MSATS text	Rating (H/M/L ¹)	AEMO Response
			<p><u>Blue underline</u> means insert</p> <p>Red-strikeout means delete</p>		
4.1.1	003	AGL supports the change on the basis AEMO has advised this change is purely a procedural change and will not result in any changes to Settlements system or reconciliation processes.		L	
4.1.4	005	A code representing the name of the parent for any associated embedded network – up to 10 cgaracters.	A code representing the name of the parent for any associated embedded network – up to 10 <u>cg</u> h <u>a</u> rc <u>h</u> acters.	L	
4.1.4	005	Table 44-C shows MSATS Name as ‘Meter Serial Number’ Standing data for MSATS procedures and AEMO NMI Discovery questions and answers shows the data element name as	If the document is to capture the ‘MSATS name’ which can be different to the data element name then I suggest changing it to align to the exact MSATS name or change the document column	L	

¹ L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions
M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical.
H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised MSATS text	Rating (H/M/L ¹)	AEMO Response
		<p>'Serial Number' and the browser field name as Meter Serial ID and Meter ID (different on two screens)</p>  <p>In MSATS at the NMI Meter Register level it shows as 'Meter Serial ID'</p>  <p>or at the NMI Register Identifier Level it shows as 'Meter Register Serial ID'</p> 	<p>header from MSATS Name to 'Browser Field Name' and/or 'Data Element Name'.</p>		

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised MSATS text	Rating (H/M/L ¹)	AEMO Response								
4.1.4	005	<p>Table 44-C shows MSATS Name as ' NMI Classification Code'</p> <p>MSATS shows it as 'Classification Code'</p> <p>General Information: NMI: Classification Code:</p> <p>The Data Element name and the browser field name as per the Standing Data for MSATS Procedures shows as 'NMI Classification Code'</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">CATS_NMI_Data</th> </tr> <tr> <th>Data Element Name</th> <th>Browser Field Name</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>NMI</td> <td>NMI</td> </tr> <tr> <td>NMIClassificationCode</td> <td>NMI Classification Code</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	CATS_NMI_Data		Data Element Name	Browser Field Name	NMI	NMI	NMIClassificationCode	NMI Classification Code	<p>If the document is to capture the "MSATS name' which can be different to the data element name then I suggest changing it to align to the exact MSATS name or change the document column header from MSATS name to 'Browser Field Name' and/or 'Data Element Name'</p>	L	
CATS_NMI_Data													
Data Element Name	Browser Field Name												
NMI	NMI												
NMIClassificationCode	NMI Classification Code												
4.1.4	005	<p>Table 44-C shows MSATS name as 'Embedded Network Parent'</p> <p>MSATS shows it as 'Embedded Network ID (Parent)'</p>	<p>If the document is to capture the "MSATS name' which can be different to the data element name then I suggest changing it to align to the exact MSATS name or change the document column header from MSATS name to "Browser Field Name' and/or 'Data</p>	L									

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised MSATS text	Rating (H/M/L ¹)	AEMO Response						
		<p>Embedded Network ID (Parent):</p> <p>The Data Element name as per the Standing Data for MSATS Procedures shows as 'ParentEmbedded NetworkIdentifier' and the browser field name shows as Embedded Network (Parent)</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">CATS_NMI_Data</th> </tr> <tr> <th>Data Element Name</th> <th>Browser Field Name</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>ParentEmbedded NetworkIdentifier</td> <td>Embedded Network (Parent)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	CATS_NMI_Data		Data Element Name	Browser Field Name	ParentEmbedded NetworkIdentifier	Embedded Network (Parent)	Element Name'		
CATS_NMI_Data											
Data Element Name	Browser Field Name										
ParentEmbedded NetworkIdentifier	Embedded Network (Parent)										

B. Proposed Changes to the WIGS Procedure

Please complete the relevant columns below in order to record your response. If you have no comments on this document please note this as a general comment in the table.

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised MSATS text	Rating (H/M/ L ²)	AEMO Response
			<p><u>Blue underline</u> means insert</p> <p>Red-strikeout means delete</p>		
4.1.4	005	<p>Table 44-C shows MSATS name as 'Embedded Network Child'</p> <p>MSATS shows it as 'Embedded Network ID (Child)</p> <p>Embedded Network ID (Child):</p> <p>The Data Element name as per the Standing Data for MSATS Procedures shows as 'ChildEmbeddedNetworkIdentifier ' and the Browser Field Name shows as 'ChildEmbeddedNetworkIdentifier</p>	<p>If the document is to capture the "MSATS name" which can be different to the data element name then I suggest changing it to align to the exact MSATS name or change the document column header from MSATS name to "Browser Field Name" and/or 'Data Element Name'</p>	L	

² L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions
M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical.
H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised MSATS text	Rating (H/M/L ²)	AEMO Response						
		<table border="1"> <tr> <td colspan="2">CATS_NMI_Data</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Data Element Name</td> <td>Browser Field Name</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ChildEmbedded NetworkIdentifier</td> <td>Embedded Network ID (Child)</td> </tr> </table>	CATS_NMI_Data		Data Element Name	Browser Field Name	ChildEmbedded NetworkIdentifier	Embedded Network ID (Child)			
CATS_NMI_Data											
Data Element Name	Browser Field Name										
ChildEmbedded NetworkIdentifier	Embedded Network ID (Child)										
4.1.	005	Some of the Data Item Descriptions include 'up to x characters' whilst some do not.	For consistency if character lengths are to be updated then AGL recommends included all character lengths for all 'MSAT name' or the alternative would be to remove the additions updated for 'character lengths as the document has been updated to advise 'Further details of each data item can be founding the MSATS standing data tables from the 'Standing Data for MSATS' document	L							
4.1	005	<p>Meter Serial Number – Data Item Description advises (see items below) What 'items' is this referring to?</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>The meter serial number of the meter associated with the next scheduled read date and network tariff code details provided (see items below).</td> </tr> </table>	The meter serial number of the meter associated with the next scheduled read date and network tariff code details provided (see items below).	Recommend considering if (see items below) should be removed or updated to include what the 'items' are.	L						
The meter serial number of the meter associated with the next scheduled read date and network tariff code details provided (see items below).											
4.1	005	Dial Format – Data Item Description Shows two ..	Describes the register display format.:-	L							

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised MSATS text	Rating (H/M/L ²)	AEMO Response									
		Describes the register display format..												
4.1	005	<p>Table 44-C shows MSATS name as 'Register Status'</p> <p>MSATS shows it at Register level as 'Status Code'</p> <p>Status Code:</p> <p>The Data Element name as per the Standing Data for MSATS Procedures shows as 'status' and the browser field name as 'Status Code'</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="3">CATS_Register_Identifier</th> </tr> <tr> <th>Browser Field Name</th> <th>aseXML Data Element Name</th> <th>aseXML Path</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Status Code</td> <td>Status</td> <td>ElectricityMeter RegisterDetail/ Status</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	CATS_Register_Identifier			Browser Field Name	aseXML Data Element Name	aseXML Path	Status Code	Status	ElectricityMeter RegisterDetail/ Status	If the document is to capture the "MSATS name" which can be different to the data element name then I suggest changing it to align to the exact MSATS name or change the document column header from MSATS name to 'Browser Field Name' and/or 'Data Element Name'	L	
CATS_Register_Identifier														
Browser Field Name	aseXML Data Element Name	aseXML Path												
Status Code	Status	ElectricityMeter RegisterDetail/ Status												
4.1	005	<p>Table 44-C shows MSATS name as 'Datastream Status Code'</p> <p>In MSATS it shows at Data Steam level as 'Status Code'</p>	If the document is to capture the "MSATS name" which can be different to the data element name then I suggest changing it to align to the exact MSATS name or change the document column header from MSATS name to 'Browser Field Name' and/or 'Data Element Name'	L										

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised MSATS text	Rating (H/M/L ²)	AEMO Response										
		<table border="1"> <tr><th>Status Code</th></tr> <tr><td>I</td></tr> <tr><td>A</td></tr> <tr><td>A</td></tr> </table> <p>The Data Element name as per the Standing Data for MSATS Procedures shows as 'ElectricityDataStreamStatus' and the browser field name as 'Status Code'</p> <table border="1"> <tr><th colspan="2">CATS_NMI_Data_Stream</th></tr> <tr><th>Data Element Name</th><th>Browser Field Name</th></tr> <tr><td>ElectricityDataStream/Status</td><td>Status Code</td></tr> </table>	Status Code	I	A	A	CATS_NMI_Data_Stream		Data Element Name	Browser Field Name	ElectricityDataStream/Status	Status Code			
Status Code															
I															
A															
A															
CATS_NMI_Data_Stream															
Data Element Name	Browser Field Name														
ElectricityDataStream/Status	Status Code														
4.1	005	<p>Table 44-C shows MSATS name as 'Data Stream Type'</p> <p>In MSATS it shows at Data Steam Level as 'Type'</p> <table border="1"> <tr><th>Type</th></tr> <tr><td>C</td></tr> <tr><td>I</td></tr> <tr><td>I</td></tr> </table>	Type	C	I	I	<p>If the document is to capture the "MSATS name" which can be different to the data element name then I suggest changing it to align to the exact MSATS name or change the document column header from MSATS name to 'Browser Field Name' and/or 'Data Element Name'</p>	L							
Type															
C															
I															
I															

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised MSATS text	Rating (H/M/L ²)	AEMO Response						
		<p>The Data Element name as per the Standing Data for MSATS Procedures shows as 'ElectricityDataSteam/DataStreamType' and the browser field name as 'Type'</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">CATS_NMI_Data_Stream</th> </tr> <tr> <th>Data Element Name</th> <th>Browser Field Name</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>ElectricityDataStream/ DataStreamType</td> <td>Type</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	CATS_NMI_Data_Stream		Data Element Name	Browser Field Name	ElectricityDataStream/ DataStreamType	Type			
CATS_NMI_Data_Stream											
Data Element Name	Browser Field Name										
ElectricityDataStream/ DataStreamType	Type										
4.1	005	<p>Table 44-C shows MSATS name as ActCumid (Actual/Cumulative Indicator)</p> <p>In MSATS it shows as</p> <p>Actual/Cumulative Indicator:</p> <p>The Data Element name as per the Standing Data for MSATS Procedures shows as 'Consumption Type' and the browser field name as 'Acutal/Cumulative Indicator'</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">CATS_Register_Identifier</th> </tr> <tr> <th>Data Element Name</th> <th>Browser Field Name</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>ConsumptionType</td> <td>Actual/Cumulative Indicator</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	CATS_Register_Identifier		Data Element Name	Browser Field Name	ConsumptionType	Actual/Cumulative Indicator	<p>Suggest removing 'Actcumid' And showing as Actual/Cumulative Indicator without the ()</p>	L	
CATS_Register_Identifier											
Data Element Name	Browser Field Name										
ConsumptionType	Actual/Cumulative Indicator										

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised MSATS text	Rating (H/M/L ²)	AEMO Response
4.1.10	N/A	<p>New change – MSATS Procedures</p> <p>Under Obligations by Role 2.4 Local Network Service Provider (i)</p> <p>Where it advises</p> <p>Except for NMIs in NSW1 update the Customer Threshold Code within five business days of becoming aware that the existing Customer Threshold Code is incorrect for NMIs with a Customer Classification Code of 'BUSINESS' and a NMI status of 'A' or 'D'.</p>	<p>This proposed change is in addition to Origin's suggested changes to remove reference to 'except for NMI's in NSW' as CTC is now required as a result of the introduction of NECF in NSW.</p> <p>Except for NMIs in NSW1 Update the Customer Threshold Code within five business days of becoming aware that the existing Customer Threshold Code is incorrect for NMIs with a Customer Classification Code of 'BUSINESS' and a NMI status of 'A' or 'D'.</p>	M	