



# INITIAL CONSULTATION – PARTICIPANT RESPONSE PACK

## MSATS PROCEDURES:

Consumer Administration and Transfer Solution (CATS)  
Procedure Principles and Obligations Version 4.0

Procedure for the Management of Wholesale,  
Interconnector, Generator and Sample (WIGS) NMIs  
Version 4.0

***Participant:*** *United Energy*

***Completion Date:*** *23 Dec 2013*

# 1. Participant Responses

- Section A covers the proposed changes to the CATS Procedure Version 3.8
- Section B covers the proposed changes to the WIGS Procedure Version 3.8

Please complete the relevant columns below in order to record your response. If you have no comments on this document please note this as a general comment in the table.

## A. Proposed Changes to the CATS and WIGS Procedures

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised MSATS text	Rating (H/M/L <sup>1</sup> )	AEMO Response
			<p><u>Blue underline</u> means insert</p> <p><del>Red-strikeout</del> means delete</p>		
4.1.3	003	<p>Below Table 4-E there is a note 2 which is not referenced in the table itself.</p> <p>Suggest removing note 2 or referencing it in the table.</p>			
4.1.4	005	<p>Table 44-C, amendment to datastream type. The current definition in the CATS procedures is suitable and consistent with the level of detail or descriptions provided for the other rows in the table. The new proposed definition refers the reader to another document which is not helpful.</p> <p>Alternatively could use both the current</p>			

<sup>1</sup> L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions  
M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical.  
H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised MSATS text	Rating (H/M/L <sup>1</sup> )	AEMO Response
		drafting and add “for further information refer to ‘Standing data for MSATS’”.			

### B. Proposed Changes to the WIGS Procedure

Please complete the relevant columns below in order to record your response. If you have no comments on this document please note this as a general comment in the table.

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised MSATS text	Rating (H/M/L <sup>2</sup> )	AEMO Response
			<p><u>Blue underline</u> means insert</p> <p><del>Red strikeout</del> means delete</p>		
4.2.1	003	<p>Below Table 1-B there is a note 2 which is not referenced in the table itself.</p> <p>Suggest removing note 2 or referencing it in the table</p>			

<sup>2</sup> L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions  
M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical.  
H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.