



**Information Exchange Committee**

C/ - IEC Secretariat – AEMO Ltd

Level 22

530 Collins Street

Melbourne VIC 3000

Tel: (03) 9609 8000

Fax: (03) 9609 8080

# B2B Procedures Version 2.2

## Final Determination Change Pack & Report

*Prepared by:* Information Exchange Committee

*Version No:* 1.0

*Date:* 26 November 2014

## Table of Contents

<b>1. NOTICE OF FINAL DETERMINATION</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2. BACKGROUND</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>3. MATTER UNDER CONSULTATION</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>4. THE CONSULTATION PROCESS</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>5. B2B PROPOSAL</b>	<b>8</b>
5.1 B2B PRINCIPLES	8
5.2 B2B OBJECTIVE	9
5.3 CONSIDERATION OF B2B OBJECTIVE AND B2B PRINCIPLES	9
<b>6. IMPACT STATEMENT</b>	<b>10</b>
6.1 BENEFITS	10
6.2 COST BENEFIT STATEMENT	10
6.3 DETRIMENTS	10
6.4 CURRENT PRODUCTION SYSTEMS	10
6.5 MSATS PROCEDURES	11
<b>7. CONSIDERATION OF CONSULTATION SUBMISSION</b>	<b>12</b>
7.1 LIST OF SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED	12
7.2 MEETINGS AND FORUMS	12
<b>8. IEC RECOMMENDATION - FINAL DETERMINATION</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>9. PROPOSED CHANGES</b>	<b>14</b>
9.1 PROPOSED CHANGES TO THE B2B PROCEDURE CUSTOMER AND SITE DETAILS NOIFICATION PROCESS	14
9.2 PROPOSED CHANGES TO THE B2B PROCEDURE SERVICE ORDER PROCESS	15
9.3 PROPOSED CHANGES TO THE B2B PROCEDURE METER DATA PROCESS	16
9.4 PROPOSED CHANGES TO THE B2B PROCEDURE ONE WAY NOTIFICATION PROCESS	22
9.5 PROPOSED CHANGES TO THE B2B PROCEDURE TECHNICAL GUIDELINE FOR B2B PROCEDURES	23
9.6 PROPOSED CHANGES TO THE B2B PROCEDURE TECHNICAL DELIVERY SPECIFICATION	24
<b>10. ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>25</b>
10.1 ABBREVIATIONS	25

## 1. Notice of Final Determination

This Notice of Final Determination informs all NEM Registered Participants, Metering Providers, Intending Participants and interested parties that the Information Exchange Committee (IEC) has completed consultation on Business to Business (B2B) Procedures.

The consultation was conducted under Clause 7.2.A.3 of the National Electricity Rules (the Rules) in accordance with the Rules Consultation requirements in clause 8.9 of the Rules

## 2. Background

This document has been prepared to document amendments to the B2B Procedures which have been in operation since 23 December 2004 and have been modified to support ongoing business improvements since that time.

This document details changes to the current B2B Procedures as recommended by the B2B and MSATS Reference Group (BMRG) to the IEC and provides information on the impacts of these changes. The information provided meets the requirements for changing the B2B Procedures as detailed in Sections 7.2A.3 and 8.9 of the National Electricity Rules.

The proposed changes have been considered by the IEC and endorsed by the IEC's B2B and MSATS Reference Group (BMRG). Version 2.2 was released for second stage consultation on 24<sup>th</sup> September 2014 and closed to Participants submissions on 9<sup>th</sup> October 2014.

The changes under consultation have a proposed effective date of 13<sup>th</sup> May 2015.

### 3. Matter Under Consultation

The consultation relates to the B2B Proposal to amend the following B2B Procedures from Version 2.1 to Version 2.2;

1. Customer and Site Details Notification Process
2. Meter Data Process
3. One Way Notification Process
4. Service Order Process
5. Technical Delivery Specification
6. Technical Guidelines for B2B Procedures

The second stage of consultation closed on 9 October 2014. As requested by the IEC, all Participant responses received have been included in this change pack and relate to the following items:

#### **721 Provide Meter Data Timings**

Provide Meter Data Requests (PMD) have been a significant concern for Participants and Service Providers over a number of years. As a result of requests by the IEC, various analytical studies and workshops have taken place resulting in a clearly defined problem statement – timing guidance (although documented) is not evidently prescribed for participants and this results in various PMD requests being raised inappropriately in the National Electricity Market (NEM). The aim of this consultation is to provide greater clarity and definition around the existing timing requirements and obligations on both parties (FRMP and MDP) that currently exist for PMD's

#### **001 Minor & Manifest Changes**

This consultation includes a minor amendment to B2B Procedures – Customer and Site Details Notification Process. A statement regarding the timing of requests for CustomerDetailsRequest transaction had been left undefined as an administration error during the previous consultation. This change aligns the document to the original final determination from the B2B Procedures 2.1 consultation.

Responses to the Draft Determination were reviewed by the BMRG and IEC. The IEC requested and were provided further information relating to Meter Data Request timeframes from the Metering Services Working Group (MSWG). The key issues that resulted in procedure wording changes are summarised below;

- B2B Meter Data Process clause 3.2.3; replacement of the phrase 'prior to the completion of' with the word 'until'
- B2B Meter Data Process clause 3.2.3; improved consistency in the wording relating to number of business days required to wait.

All submissions are detailed in section 9 below and are published on AEMO's website.

#### 4. The Consultation Process

The following table is an outline of the consultation process to date.

Action	Start Date	End Date	Notes
IEC – Initial Meeting Agreement by the IEC that a prima facie case exist and that consultation should commence.	10/07/2014	17/07/2014	Complete Via circular resolution
IEC issue notice of consultation for publication by AEMO	21/07/2014	21/07/2014	Complete
Participant submissions to be provided to AEMO.	22/07/2014	25/08/2014	Mandatory (min) 25 business days permitted for consultation responses. Complete
Submission receipt date	26/08/2014	26/08/2014	Complete
Consider all valid submissions and prepare the Draft Determination report. This includes the change marked procedures.	27/08/2014	21/09/2014	Within 20 Business days of the submission close date.
IEC Meeting – Review Draft Determination	23/09/2014	23/09/2014	
Publish Draft Determination consultation (incl. change marked B2B Procedures)	24/09/2014	24/09/2014	Complete
Participant submissions to Draft Determination to be provided to AEMO.	25/09/2014	08/10/2014	Mandatory 10 business days permitted for consultation responses. Complete
Submission receipt date	09/10/2014	09/10/2014	Complete
Consider all valid submissions and prepare the Final Determination report. This includes the change marked procedures	10/10/2014	20/11/2014	Within 30 Business Days of the submission close date
IEC Meeting – Review Final Determination	21/11/2014	21/11/2014	
AEMO Publish B2B v2.2 Final Determination, IEC Recommendation	26/11/2014	26/11/2014	3 days from receipt of IEC recommendation
AEMO Board Meeting to make 'B2B Decision'	04/12/2014	04/12/2014	10 days from receipt of IEC recommendation
AEMO Publish B2B v2.2 AEMO Board Decision	05/12/2014	05/12/2014	
B2B Procedure v2.2	13/05/15	13/05/15	Proposed

---

effective date			implementation date at the time of publication of this document.
----------------	--	--	--

## 5. B2B Proposal

The changes documented directly impact the B2B Procedure Meter Data Process and Customer and Site Details Notification Process and have been developed as a result of a request from industry and are documented in Section 3 of this document.

### 5.1 B2B Principles

In making their decision, the IEC considered all the B2B Principles and on balance agreed the first 2 principles were most relevant to this change, and noted that all of the principles are fully supported by this change.

The IEC considers that the B2B Proposal supports each of the B2B Principles as follows:

B2B Principle	Justification
<i>B2B Procedures should provide a uniform approach to B2B Communications in participating jurisdictions in which there are no franchise customers.</i>	The proposed B2B Procedures support a uniform approach to B2B Communications in all jurisdictions. The improvements of Provide Meter Data Requests (PMD) timing, collate all obligations regarding requests for data in a single location rather than spread across a number of procedures. This improves the interpretation and clearly defines a uniform approach for this national process.
<i>B2B Procedures should detail operational and procedural matters and technical requirements that result in efficient, effective and reliable B2B Communications.</i>	The proposed B2B Procedures improve the communications process between participants by providing clarity of timings and obligations of participants in relation to the sending of Provide Meter Data Requests (PMD).
<i>B2B Procedures should avoid unreasonable discrimination between Local Retailers, Market Customers and Distribution Network Service Providers.</i>	The proposed PMD changes to the B2B Procedures do not introduce changes that would discriminate between Local Retailers, Market Customers and Distribution Network Service Providers. The PMD is a transaction that can be raised by any market participant who is entitled to the meter data, thus removes any discrimination.
<i>B2B Procedures should protect the confidentiality of commercially sensitive information.</i>	The proposed B2B Procedures do not introduce changes that would compromise the confidentiality of commercially sensitive information. As with all B2B transactions only those market participants entitled to the data are able to use the PMD transaction.

## **5.2 Consideration of B2B Objective and B2B Principles**

The IEC is required to consider the B2B Objective and B2B Principles in considering each submission received during the first stage initial consultation (clause 7.2A.3(g) of the Rules).

The **B2B Objective** states:

The benefits from B2B Communications to Local Retailers, Market Customers and Distribution Network Service Providers as a whole should outweigh the detriments to Local Retailers, Market Customers and Distribution Network Service Providers as a whole.

The IEC maintains that the proposed changes contained in this change pack satisfy the above B2B Objective and B2B Principles refer to section 5.1 & 6.1 for further details.

## 6. Impact Statement

This impact statement sets out an overview of the likely impacts, including benefits and costs, of the proposed amendments to the B2B Procedures on AEMO, Local Retailers, Market Customers, Metering Providers and Distribution Network Service Providers (DNSP).

### 6.1 Benefits

The B2B Proposal supports the B2B Objective in the following ways:

- Ensuring increased clarity and consistent understanding of the correct timings for initiation and completion of meter data requests which will result in a reduction in inappropriately timed meter data requests (PMDs) from retailers and meter data providers resulting in operational efficiencies for the meter data provider.
- Reducing the number of failed PMDs hence potentially reducing billing delays caused by subsequent retailer operational actions and therefore better enabling the timely and accurate billing of end consumers.
- Potentially reducing follow-up data request transactions (VMDs) triggered by failure of ill-timed PMDs

### 6.2 Cost Benefit Statement

Although significant benefits have been identified to the industry through this change, a qualitative rather than an economic CBA was undertaken, therefore it is expected that from an operational perspective, the clarity of timing will result in less manual intervention and rejection of PMD requests. Allowing MDPs to deliver data requests more quickly than before.

The end benefit will ensure that Participants are able to obtain billable meter data in a faster period of time, enabling timely and accurate billing.

### 6.3 Detriments

There is expected to be no detriments as a direct result of this consultation. These changes remove the opportunity for differing interpretations of the current obligations in the NEM. Some Participants may need to make systems and/or process changes to ensure existing pre-requisites are met however this is not as a direct result of the clauses documented.

### 6.4 Current Production Systems

As noted in section 6.3 there is expected to be no change to Participant systems as a result of these changes. However Local Retailer's & Financially Responsible Market Participants have advised that given the previous construct of the obligations across a number of procedures and thus the room for interpretation, they may make change to their own production systems.

The IEC specifically sought feedback on the timing for implementation of this change throughout the consultation and agreed via the Draft Determination that a May 2015 effective date was appropriate.

## **6.5 MSATS Procedures**

AEMO has advised that there is no assessed impact to the Market Settlements and Transfers Solution (MSATS) Procedures or the NEM RoLR Processes; Part A - MSATS Procedure: RoLR Procedures RoLR Process or MSATS systems as a result of this B2B Proposal.

## 7. Consideration of consultation submission

### 7.1 List of submissions received

The IEC received 4 submissions from Participant organisations in response to the notice of second stage consultation. The following is a list of respondents:

	<b>Respondent</b>	<b>Participant type/role/Interested Party</b>
1	AGL	Retailer
2	Energex	Retailer
3	EnergyAustralia	Retailer
4	Origin Energy	Retailer

Details of submissions received are provided in section 9. Individual submissions received can also be viewed on AEMO's website at:

[http://www.aemo.com.au/Consultations/National-Electricity-Market/Notice-of-Consultation-Business-to-Business-B2B-Procedures-Version-2\\_2](http://www.aemo.com.au/Consultations/National-Electricity-Market/Notice-of-Consultation-Business-to-Business-B2B-Procedures-Version-2_2)

### 7.2 Meetings and forums

No additional meeting or forums were requested by industry participants.

On behalf of the IEC, the BMRG considered the submissions via circular resolution and provided recommendations to the IEC.

## **8. IEC Recommendation - Final Determination**

Under Clause 7.2A.3 of the Rules, the IEC final determination recommends that the B2B Procedures be amended to include Version 2.2 of the following B2B Procedures:

1. Customer and Site Details Notification Process
2. Meter Data Process
3. One Way Notification Process
4. Service Order Process
5. Technical Delivery Specification
6. Technical Guidelines for B2B Procedures

The consultation documents are published on the AEMO website.

## 9. Proposed Changes

This section lists the changes proposed to the current B2B Procedures: Version 2.1 published on AEMO's website.

The following respondents agreed or provided no comment with the changes consulted except where noted and captured in each table in this section.

- i. AGL
- ii. Energex
- iii. Energy Australia
- iv. Origin

The changes have been documented as follows\*;

### 9.1 Proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Customer and Site Details Notification Process

Item	Solution ID	Description	Participant Responses to Draft Determination	Rating	Final Determination
8.1.1	721	<p><b>Timing Requirement for <u>Sending CustomerDetailsRequests</u></b></p> <p>a. In relation to a customer transfer, the DNSP must not send a <u>CustomerDetailsRequest</u> for a NMI before the Close of Business of the fifth business day following- <b>receipt of the completion notification of the CATS Change Retailer transaction.</b></p>			The IEC have made no change since publishing the Draft Determination and have no further comments on this item.
8.1.2	721	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Update the version number from 2.1 to 2.2 in the document history.</li> <li>• The proposed effective date is 13 May 2015</li> </ul>	<p><b>Energex</b> Energex has no comments on any of the changes in any of the documents in relation to this consultation.</p> <p>However, in relation to the proposed effective date of the PMD changes, Energex strongly opposes any change to the proposed effective date given that these changes only seek to clarify what timing requirements we would have always expected the PMD to be operated against.</p> <p>Any delay to implementation costs us time and effort, particularly if PMD's sent too early are generating VMD's as is expected</p>		<p>The IEC have made no change since publishing the Draft Determination.</p> <p>The IEC note that although the effective date has been identified as 13 May 2015, it should be noted that participants who request PMDs may implement the procedural changes at any time prior to this date.</p>

**9.2 Proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Service Order Process**

Item	Solution ID	Description	Participant Responses to Draft Determination	Rating	Final Determination
8.2.1	721	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Update the version number from 2.1 to 2.2 in the document history.</li> <li>The proposed effective date is 13 May 2015</li> </ul>			<p>The IEC have made no change since publishing the Draft Determination.</p> <p>The IEC note that although the effective date has been identified as 13 May 2015, participants who request PMDs may implement the procedural changes at any time prior to this date.</p>

**9.3 Proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Meter Data Process**

Item	QC ID	Description	Participant Responses to Draft Determination	Rating	Final Determination
8.3.1	721	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Update the version number from 2.1 to 2.2 in the document history.</li> </ul>			The IEC have made no change since publishing the Draft Determination and have no further comments on this item.
8.3.2	721	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The proposed effective date is 13 May 2015</li> </ul>			<p>The IEC have made no change since publishing the Draft Determination.</p> <p>The IEC note that although the effective date has been identified as 13 May 2015, participants who request PMDs may implement the procedural changes at any time prior to this date.</p>
8.3.3	721	<p><b>2.5 Provide Meter Data Process</b></p> <p>a. A Participant may commence the Provide Meter Data Process if:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a Participant <del>reasonably believes that they have not received</del> requires MDFF Data <del>for a NMI</del> from an MDP, to which it is entitled in accordance with the Rules;</li> <li>a Participant requires historical Metering Data from a MDP to which they are entitled pursuant to the CATS Procedure and/or a jurisdictional instrument; or</li> <li>a Participant requires a MDP to re-send certain MDFF Data, <del>then a Participant may commence the Provide Meter Data Process</del></li> </ol>			The IEC have made no change since publishing the Draft Determination and have no further comments on this item.
8.3.4	721	<p><b>3.2.3 Timing Requirement for <del>sending a MeterDataNotification for a ProvideMeterDataRequest</del></b></p> <p><del>a. Where a MDP is required to send a MeterDataNotification in response to a ProvideMeterDataRequest, the MDP must send the MeterDataNotification within one business day of receiving the ProvideMeterDataRequest.</del></p> <p>a. A Participant must not issue a <u>ProvideMeterDataRequest</u> relating to a scheduled reading event prior to the completion of:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Four Business Days following the read event for type 1, 2, 3 and 4 Metering Installations;</li> <li>Six Business Days following the published Next Scheduled Read Date for type 5 and 6 Metering Installations; and</li> <li>The seventh Business Day of the calendar month for the previous month's MDFF Data, for type 7 Metering Installations.</li> </ol> <p>b. A Participant must not issue a <u>ProvideMeterDataRequest</u> relating to a <u>ServiceOrderRequest</u> for type 5 and 6 Metering Installations prior to the completion of four Business Days following the receipt of the completed <u>ServiceOrderResponse</u>.</p> <p>c. Where a MDP is required to send a <u>MeterDataNotification</u> in response to a <u>ProvideMeterDataRequest</u>, the MDP must send the <u>MeterDataNotification</u> within one Business Day of receiving the <u>ProvideMeterDataRequest</u>.</p>	<p><b>AGL</b></p> <p>AGL is not supportive of the wording proposed under 3.2.3 a. 'prior to the completion of'</p> <p>AGL provided feedback as an MSWG member which included the recommendation for the wording to be changed to enable a PMD to be initiated for Type 1-4 meters on the 4<sup>th</sup> business day rather than following the completion of the fourth business day (fifth business day). The proposed wording is providing an extra day, we don't believe this was the intention to do this as the detail in other material discussed by MSWG members appears to have equalled on the 4<sup>th</sup> business day.</p> <p>This also applies b.</p> <p>In addition, in our opinion changing the wording to 'until' appears more appropriate to align with clause 2.9e A participant must not send a <u>ProvideMeterDataRequest</u> until the regulated period (refer 3.2.2.a) for the delivery of MDFF Data has expired.</p>	<b>H</b>	<p>Having sought views from the MSWG and BMRG, the IEC notes the proposal from AGL, Origin and Energy Australia and amends the wording of this clause as follows:</p> <p>a. A Participant must not issue a <u>ProvideMeterDataRequest</u> relating to a scheduled reading event <del>until prior to the completion of:</del></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><del>a-</del> 1. <b>The Fourth</b> Business Day following the read event for type 1, 2, 3 and 4 Metering Installations;</li> <li><del>b-</del> 2. <b>The Sixth</b> Business Day following the published Next Scheduled Read Date for type 5 and 6 Metering Installations; and</li> <li><del>c-</del> 3. <b>The Seventh</b> Business Day of the calendar month for the previous month's MDFF Data, for type 7 Metering Installations.</li> </ol> <p>b. A participant must not issue a <u>ProvideMeterDataRequest</u> relating to a <u>ServiceOrderRequest</u> for type 5 and 6 Metering Installations <del>until prior to the completion of</del> <b>the fourth</b> Business day following the receipt of the completed <u>ServiceOrderResponse</u>.</p>

B2B Procedures v2.2- Final Determination - Change Pack

**NOTE:** All proposed additions to the B2B Procedures are highlighted in red colour text. All proposed deletions from the B2B Procedures are highlighted in red strike through text. Example: ~~Reference~~. Changes proposed as part of the Draft Determination are highlighted in blue colour text.

Item	QC ID	Description	Participant Responses to Draft Determination	Rating	Final Determination
			<p><b>1.1.1</b> Timing Requirements for ProvideMeterDataRequest.</p> <p>a. A Participant must not issue a <u>ProvideMeterDataRequest</u> relating to a scheduled reading event <u>until</u>: <del>prior to the completion of</del>:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><del>a.</del> Four Business Days following the read event for type 1, 2, 3 and 4 Metering Installations;</li> <li><del>b.</del> Six Business Days following the published Next Scheduled Read Date for type 5 and 6 Metering Installations; and</li> <li><del>c.</del> The seventh Business Day of the calendar month for the previous month's MDFF Data, for type 7 Metering Installations.</li> </ul> <p>A participant must not issue a <u>ProvideMeterDataRequest</u>, relating to a <u>ServiceOrderRequest</u> for type 5 and 6 Metering Installations <u>until</u> <del>prior to the completion of</del> four Business days following the receipt of the completed <u>ServiceOrderResponse</u>.</p> <p>.....</p>		

Item	QC ID	Description	Participant Responses to Draft Determination	Rating	Final Determination
			<p><b>Energy Australia</b></p> <hr/> <p><b>3.2.3 Timing Requirement for a ProvideMeterRequest</b></p> <p>a. A Participant must not issue a ProvideMeterDataRequest relating to a scheduled reading event prior to the completion of:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Four Business Days following the read event for type 1, 2, 3 and 4 Metering Installations;</li> <li>2. Six Business Days following the published Next Scheduled Read Date for type 5 and 6 Metering Installations; and</li> <li>3. The seventh Business Day of the calendar month for the previous month's MDFF Data, for type 7 Metering Installations.</li> </ol> <p>As currently worded, the above clause indicates that a PMDR can only be submitted, business day five (Type 1-4), seven (Type 5 &amp; 6) &amp; eight (Type 7).</p> <p>The proposed change, i.e. removal of 'prior to the completion of' replacing this with 'until' reflects when the PMDR can be raised, i.e. on the fourth, sixth and seventh business days, in line with MDP Service Level Procedures' requirements/timeframes.</p> <p>b. A Participant must not issue a ProvideMeterDataRequest relating to a ServiceOrderRequest for type 5 and 6 Metering Installations prior to the completion of four Business Days following the receipt of the completed ServiceOrderResponse</p> <p>The above indicates that a PMDR can only be submitted business day five after receiving the ServiceOrderResponse.</p> <p>Proposed change, i.e. removal of 'prior to the completion of' replacing this with 'until' allows for the PMDR to be submitted on the fourth business day after receiving the ServiceOrderResponse.</p>	<p>H</p>	

Item	QC ID	Description	Participant Responses to Draft Determination	Rating	Final Determination
			<p><b>3.2.3 Timing Requirement for a ProvideMeterRequest</b></p> <p>a. A Participant must not issue a ProvideMeterDataRequest relating to a scheduled reading event <del>prior to the completion of:</del> <u>until</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Four Business Days following the read event for type 1, 2, 3 and 4 Metering Installations;</li> <li>2. Six Business Days following the published Next Scheduled Read Date for type 5 and 6 Metering Installations; and</li> <li>3. The seventh Business Day of the calendar month for the previous month's MDFF Data, for type 7 Metering Installations.</li> </ol> <p>b. A Participant must not issue a <u>ProvideMeterDataRequest</u> relating to a <u>ServiceOrderRequest</u> for type 5 and 6 Metering Installations <del>prior to the completion of:</del> <u>until</u> four Business Days following the receipt of the completed <u>ServiceOrderResponse</u> .</p> <p>.....</p> <p><b>Origin Energy</b> Issue1</p> <p>Revised wording for 3.2.3 (a). This corrects the initial intent for timings as agreed by the MSWG.</p> <p>3.2.3 Timing Requirements for ProvideMeterDataRequest.</p> <p>b. A Participant must not issue a <u>ProvideMeterDataRequest</u> relating to a scheduled reading event <u>until:</u> <del>prior to the completion of:</del></p> <p>Issue 2</p> <p>Type 5 meters in Victoria also includes Remote read AMI meters that require the provision of daily data in accordance with Minimum AMI functionality Specification (VIC)</p> <p>PMD is the only mechanism in B2B</p>	H	

**NOTE:** All proposed additions to the B2B Procedures are highlighted in red colour text. All proposed deletions from the B2B Procedures are highlighted in red strike through text. Example: ~~Reference~~. Changes proposed as part of the Draft Determination are highlighted in blue colour text.

Item	QC ID	Description	Participant Responses to Draft Determination	Rating	Final Determination
			<p>procedures to request missing meter data for these meters.</p> <p>The proposed changes will be inconsistent with the Minimum AMI functionality Specification and restricts participants requesting meter data for when daily meter read data is over-due.</p> <p>Minimum AMI Service Levels Specification (Victoria) is as follows</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• no less than 95% being actual data from meters, (with the remainder substituted), to be available by 6am the following day;</li> <li>• no less than 99% of actual data within 24 hours of the time in previous point; and</li> <li>• no less than 99.9% of actual data within ten business days from the day the consumption occurred</li> </ul> <p>Revise the wording for 3.2.3 (a) (2) that includes Victorian AMI meters</p> <p><del>a-</del> Four Business Days following the read event for type 1, 2, 3, 4 <u>and Victorian AMI Metering Installations;</u></p> <p><del>b-</del> Six Business Days following the published Next Scheduled Read Date for type 5 <u>(excluding Victorian AMI)</u> and 6 Metering Installations; and</p> <p><del>c-</del> The seventh Business Day of the calendar month for the previous month's MDFF Data, for type 7 Metering Installations.</p> <p>.....</p>		<p>The IEC notes feedback from Origin Energy with regard to Type 5 meters in Victoria and jurisdictional documents such as the Minimum AMI Functionality Specification. The IEC sought expert opinion from the MSWG and BMRG who both agreed it was understood that these jurisdictional arrangements are out of scope for this piece of work, and this piece of work was to improve the procedures to add clarity to existing timings/processes and obligations that currently exist in National Procedures for PMD's. To include the jurisdictional requirements of the VIC AMI Program would increase the scope of the change beyond its intent and flow on impacts of such a change have not been determined or assessed as part of this work.</p> <p>In addition to the feedback above the IEC notes the following key points in relation to the request to include jurisdictional requirements from the Victorian documents within scope:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The Victorian AMI Program was intended to provide minimum change to NEM Procedures and as such the introduction and identification of Victorian AMI meters and all associated business processes including PMD/VMD were deemed out of scope for the Victorian project. Industry has not been provided with a proposal include Victorian AMI meters in the National Procedures.</li> <li>- While the Metrology Procedures and Service Level Procedures do refer to Victorian AMI meters, they do not impose any obligations with regard to meter reading or timing thereof.</li> <li>- Without the introduction of the identification of a Victorian AMI Meter within market procedures and systems, it is unlikely that any National B2B Procedure obligations could be written specifically for these meters, as they are currently unidentified within those procedures and systems.</li> </ul> <p>In order to assist Origin to assess further the need for national changes, the IEC notes that there are 2 clauses within the B2B Procedures which allows the Victorian jurisdiction flexibility to agree their own requirements ;</p> <p><b>1.3 Jurisdictional Instruments</b></p> <p>a. To the extent of any inconsistency between this Procedure and any relevant jurisdictional instrument, the relevant jurisdictional instrument shall prevail to the extent of the inconsistency.</p> <p><b>1.7 Application of this Procedure</b></p> <p>b. As permitted by clause 7.2A.4(k) of the National Electricity Rules, Local Retailers, Market Customers and Distribution Network Service Providers may on such terms and conditions as agreed between them communicate a</p>

Item	QC ID	Description	Participant Responses to Draft Determination	Rating	Final Determination
					<p>B2B Communication on a basis other than as set out in this Procedure, in which case the parties to the agreement need not comply with this Procedure to the extent that the terms and conditions agreed between them are inconsistent with this Procedure.</p> <p>The IEC have made no change since publishing the Draft Determination and have no further comments on this item.</p>
8.3.5	001	<p><b>3.2.4 Timing Requirement for sending a MeterDataNotification for a VerifyMeterDataRequest</b></p> <p>a. Where a MDP is required to send a <u>MeterDataNotification</u> in response to a <u>VerifyMeterDataRequest</u>, the MDP must send the <u>MeterDataNotification</u> within five <del>B</del><u>business</u> <del>D</del>days of receiving the <u>VerifyMeterDataRequest</u>.</p>			<p>The IEC have made no change since publishing the Draft Determination and have no further comments on this item.</p>

#### 9.4 Proposed changes to the B2B Procedure One Way Notification Process

Item	QC ID	Description	Participant Responses to Draft Determination	Rating	Final Determination
8.4.1	721	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Update the version number from 2.1 to 2.2 in the document history.</li> <li>The proposed effective date is 13 May 2015</li> </ul>			<p>The IEC have made no change since publishing the Draft Determination.</p> <p>The IEC note that although the effective date has been identified as 13 May 2015, participants who request PMDs may implement the procedural changes at any time prior to this date.</p>

**9.5 Proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Technical Guideline for B2B Procedures**

Item	Solution ID	Description	Participant Responses to Draft Determination	Rating	Final Determination
8.5.1	721	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Update the version number from 2.1 to 2.2 in the document history.</li> <li>The proposed effective date is 13 May 2015</li> </ul>			<p>The IEC have made no change since publishing the Draft Determination.</p> <p>The IEC note that although the effective date has been identified as 13 May 2015, participants who request PMDs may implement the procedural changes at any time prior to this date.</p>

**9.6 Proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Technical Delivery Specification**

Item	QC ID	Description	Participant Responses to Draft Determination	Rating	Final Determination
8.6.1	721	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Update the version number from 2.1 to 2.2 in the document history.</li> <li>The proposed effective date is 13 May 2015</li> </ul>			<p>The IEC have made no change since publishing the Draft Determination.</p> <p>The IEC note that although the effective date has been identified as 13 May 2015, participants who request PMDs may implement the procedural changes at any time prior to this date.</p>

## 10. Abbreviations

### 10.1 Abbreviations

B2B	Business to Business
BMRG	B2B and MSATS Reference Group
DNSP	Distribution Network Service Provider
IEC	Information Exchange Committee
MSATS	Market Settlement and Transfer Solution
MSWG	Metering Services Working Group