



EnergyAustralia

B2B Procedures Version 2.2

Draft Determination Participant Response Pack

Participant: EnergyAustralia

Completion Date: 8th October 2014

9. Participant Responses

This section lists the changes proposed to the B2B Procedures: Version 2.1

Proposed changes have been categorised as Procedure changes as follows;

- Table 9.1 covers the proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Customer and Site Details Notification Process.
- Table 9.2 covers the proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Service Order Process.
- Table 9.3 covers the proposed changes to the B2B Meter Data Process.
- Table 9.4 covers the proposed changes to the B2B Procedure One Way Notification Process.
- Table 9.5 covers the proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Technical Guideline for B2B Procedures.
- Table 9.6 covers the proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Technical Delivery Specification.

9.1 Proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Customer and Site Details Notification Process

Please complete the relevant columns below in order to record your response. If you have no comments on this document please note this as a general comment in the table.

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised B2B Procedures text	Rating (H/M/L ¹)	AEMO Response
			<p><u>Blue underline</u> means insert</p> <p>Red strikethrough means delete</p>		

¹ L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions
M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical.
H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.

9.2 Proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Service Order Process

Please complete the relevant columns below in order to record your response. If you have no comments on this document please note this as a general comment in the table.

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised B2B Procedures text	Rating (H/M/L ²)	AEMO Response
			<p><u>Blue underline</u> means insert</p> <p>Red strikethrough means delete</p>		

² L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions
M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical.
H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.

9.3 Proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Meter Data Process

Please complete the relevant columns below in order to record your response. If you have no comments on this document please note this as a general comment in the table.

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised B2B Procedures text	Rating (H/M/L ³)	AEMO Response
			<p><u>Blue underline</u> means insert</p> <p>Red-strikeout means delete</p>		
8.3.4	721	<p>3.2.3 Timing Requirement for a ProvideMeterRequest</p> <p>a. A Participant must not issue a ProvideMeterDataRequest relating to a scheduled reading event prior to the completion of:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Four Business Days following the read event for type 1, 2, 3 and 4 Metering Installations; 2. Six Business Days following the published Next Scheduled Read Date for type 5 and 6 Metering Installations; and 3. The seventh Business Day of the calendar month for the previous month's MDFF Data, for type 7 Metering Installations. <p>As currently worded, the above clause indicates that a PMDR can only be submitted,</p>	<p>3.2.3 Timing Requirement for a ProvideMeterRequest</p> <p>a. A Participant must not issue a ProvideMeterDataRequest relating to a scheduled reading event prior to the completion of: <u>until</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Four Business Days following the read event for type 1, 2, 3 and 4 Metering Installations; 2. Six Business Days following the published Next Scheduled Read Date for type 5 and 6 Metering Installations; and 3. The seventh Business Day of the calendar month for the previous month's MDFF Data, for type 7 Metering Installations. <p>b. A Participant must not issue a</p>	H	

³ L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions
M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical.
H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised B2B Procedures text	Rating (H/M/L ³)	AEMO Response
		<p>business day five (Type 1-4), seven (Type 5 & 6) & eight (Type 7).</p> <p>The proposed change, i.e. removal of ‘prior to the completion of’ replacing this with ‘until’ reflects when the PMDR can be raised, i.e. on the fourth, sixth and seventh business days, in line with MDP Service Level Procedures’ requirements/timeframes.</p> <p>b. A Participant must not issue a <u>ProvideMeterDataRequest</u> relating to a <u>ServiceOrderRequest</u> for type 5 and 6 Metering Installations prior to the completion of four Business Days following the receipt of the completed <u>ServiceOrderResponse</u></p> <p>The above indicates that a PMDR can only be submitted business day five after receiving the <u>ServiceOrderResponse</u>.</p> <p>Proposed change, i.e. removal of ‘prior to the completion of’ replacing this with ‘until’ allows for the PMDR to be submitted on the fourth business day after receiving the <u>ServiceOrderResponse</u>.</p>	<p><u>ProvideMeterDataRequest</u> relating to a <u>ServiceOrderRequest</u> for type 5 and 6 Metering Installations prior to the completion of <u>until</u> four Business Days following the receipt of the completed <u>ServiceOrderResponse</u> .</p>		

9.4 Proposed changes to the B2B Procedure One Way Notification Process

Please complete the relevant columns below in order to record your response. If you have no comments on this document please note this as a general comment in the table.

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised B2B Procedures text	Rating (H/M/L ⁴)	AEMO Response
			<p><u>Blue underline</u> means insert</p> <p>Red strikethrough means delete</p>		

⁴ L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions
M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical.
H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.

9.5 Proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Technical Guidelines for B2B Procedures

Please complete the relevant columns below in order to record your response. If you have no comments on this document please note this as a general comment in the table.

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised B2B Procedures text	Rating (H/M/L ⁵)	AEMO Response
			<p><u>Blue underline</u> means insert</p> <p>Red strikethrough means delete</p>		

⁵ L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions
M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical.
H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.

9.6 Proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Technical Delivery Specification

Please complete the relevant columns below in order to record your response. If you have no comments on this document please note this as a general comment in the table.

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised B2B Procedures text	Rating (H/M/L ⁶)	AEMO Response
			<p><u>Blue underline</u> means insert</p> <p>Red strikethrough means delete</p>		

⁶ L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions
M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical.
H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.