



B2B Procedures Version 2.2

Draft Determination Participant Response Pack

Participant: Origin Energy

Completion Date: 8/10/14

9. Participant Responses

This section lists the changes proposed to the B2B Procedures: Version 2.1

Proposed changes have been categorised as Procedure changes as follows;

- Table 9.1 covers the proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Customer and Site Details Notification Process.
- Table 9.2 covers the proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Service Order Process.
- Table 9.3 covers the proposed changes to the B2B Meter Data Process.
- Table 9.4 covers the proposed changes to the B2B Procedure One Way Notification Process.
- Table 9.5 covers the proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Technical Guideline for B2B Procedures.
- Table 9.6 covers the proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Technical Delivery Specification.

9.1 Proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Customer and Site Details Notification Process

Please complete the relevant columns below in order to record your response. If you have no comments on this document please note this as a general comment in the table.

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised B2B Procedures text	Rating (H/M/L ¹)	AEMO Response
			<p><u>Blue underline</u> means insert</p> <p>Red-strikeout means delete</p>		

¹ L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions
M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical.
H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.

9.2 Proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Service Order Process

Please complete the relevant columns below in order to record your response. If you have no comments on this document please note this as a general comment in the table.

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised B2B Procedures text	Rating (H/M/L ²)	AEMO Response
			<p><u>Blue underline</u> means insert</p> <p>Red-strikeout means delete</p>		

² L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions
M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical.
H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.

9.3 Proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Meter Data Process

Please complete the relevant columns below in order to record your response. If you have no comments on this document please note this as a general comment in the table.

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised B2B Procedures text	Rating (H/M/L ³)	AEMO Response
			<p><u>Blue underline</u> means insert</p> <p>Red strikeout means delete</p>		
8.3.2	721	Origin supports the proposed effective date 13 May 2015			
8.3.3	721	Origin supports the proposed changes to section 2.5			
8.3.4	721	<p>Issue1</p> <p>Revised wording for 3.2.3 (a). This corrects the initial intent for timings as agreed by the MSWG.</p> <p>Issue 2</p> <p>Type 5 meters in Victoria also includes Remote read AMI meters that require the provision of daily data in accordance with</p>	<p>3.2.3 Timing Requirements for ProvideMeterDataRequest.</p> <p>a. A Participant must not issue a <u>ProvideMeterDataRequest</u> relating to a scheduled reading event <u>until:</u> prior to the completion of:</p> <p>1. Four Business Days following the read event for type 1, 2, 3, 4 <u>and</u> <u>Victorian AMI Metering Installations:</u></p>		

³ L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions
M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical.
H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised B2B Procedures text	Rating (H/M/L ³)	AEMO Response
		<p>Minimum AMI functionality Specification (VIC)</p> <p>PMD is the only mechanism in B2B procedures to request missing meter data for these meters.</p> <p>The proposed changes will be inconsistent with the Minimum AMI functionality Specification and restricts participants requesting meter data for when daily meter read data is over-due.</p> <p>Minimum AMI Service Levels Specification (Victoria) is as follows</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • no less than 95% being actual data from meters, (with the remainder substituted), to be available by 6am the following day; • no less than 99% of actual data within 24 hours of the time in previous point; and • no less than 99.9% of actual data within ten business days from the day the consumption occurred 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Six Business Days following the published Next Scheduled Read Date for type 5 (excluding Victorian AMI) and 6 Metering Installations; and 3. The seventh Business Day of the calendar month for the previous month's MDFF Data, for type 7 Metering Installations. 		

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised B2B Procedures text	Rating (H/M/L ³)	AEMO Response
		Revise the wording for 3.2.3 (a) (2) that includes Victorian AMI meters			

9.4 Proposed changes to the B2B Procedure One Way Notification Process

Please complete the relevant columns below in order to record your response. If you have no comments on this document please note this as a general comment in the table.

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised B2B Procedures text	Rating (H/M/L ⁴)	AEMO Response
			<p><u>Blue underline</u> means insert</p> <p>Red-strikeout means delete</p>		

⁴ L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions
M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical.
H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.

9.5 Proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Technical Guidelines for B2B Procedures

Please complete the relevant columns below in order to record your response. If you have no comments on this document please note this as a general comment in the table.

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised B2B Procedures text	Rating (H/M/L ⁵)	AEMO Response
			<p><u>Blue underline</u> means insert</p> <p>Red-strikeout means delete</p>		

⁵ L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions
M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical.
H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.

9.6 Proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Technical Delivery Specification

Please complete the relevant columns below in order to record your response. If you have no comments on this document please note this as a general comment in the table.

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised B2B Procedures text	Rating (H/M/L ⁶)	AEMO Response
			<p><u>Blue underline</u> means insert</p> <p>Red-strikeout means delete</p>		

⁶ L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions
M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical.
H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.