



B2B Procedures Version 2.2

Initial Consultation Participant Response Pack

Participant: AGL

Completion Date: 26th August 2014

9. Participant Responses

This section lists the changes proposed to the B2B Procedures: Version 2.0.

Proposed changes have been categorised as Procedure changes as follows;

- Table 9.1 covers the proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Customer and Site Details Notification Process.
- Table 9.2 covers the proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Service Order Process.
- Table 9.3 covers the proposed changes to the B2B Meter Data Process.
- Table 9.4 covers the proposed changes to the B2B Procedure One Way Notification Process.
- Table 9.5 covers the proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Technical Guideline for B2B Procedures.
- Table 9.6 covers the proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Technical Delivery Specification.

9.1 Proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Customer and Site Details Notification Process

Please complete the relevant columns below in order to record your response. If you have no comments on this document please note this as a general comment in the table.

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised B2B Procedures text	Rating (H/M/L ¹)	AEMO Response
			<p><u>Blue underline</u> means insert</p> <p>Red-strikeout means delete</p>		
9.1.1	001	AGL has no objections to the modifications proposed.		L	
9.1.2	001	<p>AGL is not supportive of the proposed effective date put forward.</p> <p>Whilst the intent of these changes is to add clarity to the existing procedures it cannot be ignored that there is ambiguity when referring to the existing procedures in their current state, as a result AGL would like to be open and transparent in advising this will require system changes to ensure we deliver the expected benefits of this change. We also need to take into account resource allocation, other scheduled projects in the pipeline and IT environmental constraints, etc.</p> <p>AGL also notes that submissions received in response to the draft determination are expected on the 09.10.2014 which make the</p>	<p>The proposed effective date is 22 November 2014 15th May 2015</p>	H	

¹ L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions

M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical.

H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised B2B Procedures text	Rating (H/M/L ¹)	AEMO Response
		<p>proposed effective date infeasible to deliver by 21.11.2014.</p> <p>Another alternative would be to provide a transition period, e.g. effective from 21.11.2014 with a transition expiring in May 2015.</p>			

9.2 Proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Service Order Process

Please complete the relevant columns below in order to record your response. If you have no comments on this document please note this as a general comment in the table.

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised B2B Procedures text	Rating (H/M/L ²)	AEMO Response
			<p><u>Blue underline</u> means insert</p> <p>Red-strikeout means delete</p>		
9.2.1	721	<p>AGL is not supportive of the proposed effective date put forward.</p> <p>Please refer to comments AGL has provided above under item 9.1.2, 001</p>	<p>The proposed effective date is 22 November 2014 <u>15th May 2015</u></p>	H	

² L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions
M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical.
H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.

9.3 Proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Meter Data Process

Please complete the relevant columns below in order to record your response. If you have no comments on this document please note this as a general comment in the table.

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised B2B Procedures text	Rating (H/M/L ³)	AEMO Response
			<u>Blue underline</u> means insert Red strikeout means delete		
9.3.1	721	AGL has no objections to the modifications proposed		L	
9.3.2	721	AGL is not supportive of the proposed effective date put forward. Please refer to comments AGL has provided above under item 9.1.2, 001	The proposed effective date is 22 November 2014 15 th May 2015	H	
9.3.3	721	AGL has no objections to the modifications proposed		L	
9.3.4	721	AGL has no objections to the modifications proposed		L	
9.3.5	721	AGL has no objections to the modifications proposed		L	

³ L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions

M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical.

H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.

9.4 Proposed changes to the B2B Procedure One Way Notification Process

Please complete the relevant columns below in order to record your response. If you have no comments on this document please note this as a general comment in the table.

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised B2B Procedures text	Rating (H/M/L ⁴)	AEMO Response
			<p><u>Blue underline</u> means insert</p> <p>Red-strikeout means delete</p>		
9.4.1	721	<p>AGL is not supportive of the proposed effective date put forward.</p> <p>Please refer to comments AGL has provided above under item 9.1.2, 001</p>	<p>The proposed effective date is 22 November 2014 15th May 2015</p>	H	

⁴ L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions
M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical.
H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.

9.5 Proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Technical Guidelines for B2B Procedures

Please complete the relevant columns below in order to record your response. If you have no comments on this document please note this as a general comment in the table.

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised B2B Procedures text	Rating (H/M/L ⁵)	AEMO Response
			<p><u>Blue underline</u> means insert</p> <p>Red-strikeout means delete</p>		
9.5.1	721	<p>AGL is not supportive of the proposed effective date put forward.</p> <p>Please refer to comments AGL has provided above under item 9.1.2, 001</p>	<p>The proposed effective date is 22 November 2014 15th May 2015</p>	H	

⁵ L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions
M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical.
H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.

9.6 Proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Technical Delivery Specification

Please complete the relevant columns below in order to record your response. If you have no comments on this document please note this as a general comment in the table.

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised B2B Procedures text	Rating (H/M/L ⁶)	AEMO Response
			<p><u>Blue underline</u> means insert</p> <p>Red-strikeout means delete</p>		
9.6.3	721	<p>AGL is not supportive of the proposed effective date put forward.</p> <p>Please refer to comments AGL has provided above under item 9.1.2, 001</p>	<p>The proposed effective date is 22 November 2014 15th May 2015</p>	H	

⁶ L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions
M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical.
H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.