DRAFT DETERMINATION – PARTICIPANT RESPONSE PACK

METER CHURN PACKAGE

***Participant**: Energex Limited*

***Completion Date**: 20 October 2014*

Table of Contents

1. PROPOSED CHANGES 3

A. PROPOSED CHANGES TO THE METER CHURN PACKAGE 3

1. Proposed Changes

- Meter Churn procedure for Financially Responsible Market Participants (New Document)
- SLP Metering Data Provider Services – Section 8 and Section 9
- SLP Metering Provider Services Category B for Metering Installation Types 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6

NOTE: No proposed changes have been populated please refer to mapping documents and change marked procedures. Please complete where necessary

Please include your comments in the 'Participant Comment' column below.

A. Proposed Changes to the Meter Churn Package

Item	Description	Category	Participant Comments
1	**PROPOSED/ REQUESTED CHANGES**		
1.1	SLP Metering Provider Services Category B for Metering Installation Types 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 8.1.6 For *Meter Churn* from a type 1, 2, 3, 4, or 5 *metering installation* to new type 1, 2, 3, 4, or 5 *metering installation* (scenario 4): (a) The *Metering Data Provider* must have a process to ensure that: … iii. the *Metering Data Provider,* related to the new *metering installation,* must obtain *metering data* for the period of the *Meter Churn day* between the start of the *Meter Churn day* and the commissioning of the new *metering installation* from the *Metering Data Provider* related to the old *metering installation* and combine it with the *metering data* for the period of the *Meter Churn day* between the commissioning of the new *metering installation* up to the end of the *Meter Churn day*. **The *Metering Data Provider* related to the old *metering installation* must release the part-day *metering data* to the new party as soon as the meter is physically exchanged.** The *Metering Data Provider* related to the new *metering installation* must deliver *metering data* for the whole *day* of *Meter Churn*.	**Procedure only**	The outgoing MDP should release the part-day data to the new party as soon as the meter is exchanged (i.e. when the final read process has been completed) and not wait until the transfer of MDPs is completed.

Item	Description	Category	Participant Comments
1.2	SLP Metering Provider Services Category B for Metering Installation Types 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 8.1.6 For *Meter Churn* from a type 1, 2, 3, 4, or 5 *metering installation* to new type 1, 2, 3, 4, or 5 *metering installation* (scenario 4): (b) Where the *Metering Data Provider* is changing as a result of the *Meter Churn*, the *Metering Data Provider* must have a process to ensure that: … iii. where *metering data* is not available **or has not been provided by the *Metering Data Provider* related to the old *metering installation*** for the whole *day* of *Meter Churn*, *metering data* is *substituted,* in accordance with the *metrology procedure*: Part B, for the *day* of *Meter Churn* until actual *metering data* becomes available. This ensures continuity of *metering data* for the *day* of *Meter Churn*;	**Procedure only**	Amendment is required so that the new MDP who is still awaiting the part-day churn from the old MDP can final substitute prior to receiving the actual data.
1.3	SLP Metering Provider Services Category B for Metering Installation Types 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 8.1.4 For *Meter-Churn* from a type 6 *metering installation* to new type 1, 2, 3, 4 or 5 *metering installation* (scenario 2)	**Procedure only**	Clarification is required under s. 8.1.4 where the FRMP does not set themselves as the RP in the initial CR1000 and then does not initiate a meter change to type 1-4. In this scenario, the LNSP is left as RP and carries the breach in metrology, while the retailer has the customer and, if the customer does not pay for an upgrade, the retailer is under no obligation to force a meter upgrade. LNSPs currently object under “bad meter” if a “large” customer is transferring with type 6 meters. In the new process the LNSP cannot object to the transfer and hold it up until the metrology is correct. Consequently, the LNSP could be left with the basic meter and RP role. Could we seek clarification from AEMO as to whether an LNSP is permitted to raise an

Item	Description	Category	Participant Comments
			objection as the RP with the following code "Declined" the description as defined in the CATS procedure: Identified party declines to perform service. For use by nominated new party to indicate that they decline to act in the role they have been nominated for – the instance we would use this is when a Retailer raises a CR 1xxx on a LARGE site with BASIC metering and DO NOT nominate themselves as the RP in the CR1xxx – in the CATS procedure page 58 it indicates that the "new" RP can raise the Objection? For a 6800 or 6801 that we receive – if the FRMP has not nominated themselves as the RP prior to raising a 6800/6801 then as the LNSP are we permitted to raise an objection with the following objection reason "NOTAPRD" (currently the FRMP nominates themselves as the RP in the 6801/6800) – the description as defined in the CATS is as follows: Not approved to operate in the LNSP area The meter provider is not accredited or authorised to operate in a LNSP area. The same objection could be used for a participant who is not approved to operate in a particular jurisdiction This code is used by the LNSP. The instance we would use this is when the current FRMP raises a 6800/6801 because they are upgrading the site from BASIC to COMMs and have not nominated themselves as the RP prior to raising the CR6800/6801 or is this permitted as the site is not being won by another Retailer and therefore the current FRMP is not required to win the role of RP? Confirming that if a Retailer is trying to win a site that they cannot nominate themselves as the RP first then follow through with CR1xxx – for example

Item	Description	Category	Participant Comments
			R1 is the current FRMP R2 become the RP by taking RP role. This allows R2 to engage with an MPB as they are now the RP. R2 then raise a CR1xxx nominating themselves as the FRMP along with changing metering participants.
1.4	**Meter Churn Procedure V7_1**		1.1.2 Under what circumstances would the FRMP who is not the RP request the RP to perform Meter Churn other than in 1.1.3 a)? Would they engage a meter provider, not the RP. 3.2.1 c) ii Should this clarify that the paperwork needs to be in accorance with the LNSP's requirements? Would be nice to have a diagram in the procedure. <u>General comments or questions</u> The FRMP procedures ends up being a bit light on when you look at the clean document. Shouldn't there be a diagram explaining timing on FRMP changes as opposed to timing on meter install and other role change effective dates? This procedure clearly states that we must be RP to perform a meter churn, so for COMMS to BASIC we must be RP prior to initiating a meter churn (even though we have received a request from the FRMP) We will need to decide where the meter churn notification under 3.1.2 b). Perhaps an additional

Item	Description	Category	Participant Comments
			contact on the ROCL should be requested,
1.5	**SLP MPB v4_2**		4.8 The FRMP Churn Procedure does not really detail how a request is made just what they must be provided with. Will MP's have an issue with this? 4.14.1 In the table, Task ID (As in FIG 1) it states the Metering Provider must process the MSATS notification etc This notification should be also taken as a metering installation advice. Given that we agreed that the new process would be that the transfer to the FRMP would occur first to allow them to change the metering, which CR are they talking about? Is the new FRMP going to raise a CR to change the other roles as soon as they receive the COM notification for the transfer of FRMP role? In the same table in Task ID 10, should a note be made about providing the removal reads for all registers etc on the LNSP required paperwork? Figure 1 – is this correct, because it's talking about a CR up front with Task 5 stating to obtain site information from MSATS. They won't have access to MSATS until they've hung the meter and the FRMP raises the CR to update all the roles once the meter is installed? Task 7 also relates to the CR.
1.6	SLP Metering Provider Services Category B for Metering Installation Types 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6		2 (c)This document refers to the Meter Churn Data Management Procedure (should this refer to section 8 here), where as the MPB SLP refers to the FRMP churn procedure but has not meter churn data management within the document regarding the management of meters?

Item	Description	Category	Participant Comments
			Section 8 has a lot of details and diagrams, which don't exist in th MP SLP and the FRMP Churn procedure. I would have thought the diagrams should be in the MP SLP similar to the MDP diagrams and the FRMP Churn procedure around timing of the transfer and when the meter is installed and the roles changing from the meter install date.