B2B Procedures Version 2.1

Initial Consultation Participant Response Pack

Respondent: Steve Wise

Completion Date: 30 November 2013

9. Participant Responses

This section lists the changes proposed to the B2B Procedures: Version 2.0.

Proposed changes have been categorised as Procedure changes as follows;

- Table 9.1 covers the proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Customer and Site Details Notification Process.

- Table 9.2 covers the proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Service Order Process.

- Table 9.3 covers the proposed changes to the B2B Meter Data Process.

- Table 9.4 covers the proposed changes to the B2B Procedure One Way Notification Process.

- Table 9.5 covers the proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Technical Guideline for B2B Procedures.

- Table 9.6 covers the proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Technical Delivery Specification.

9.1 Proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Customer and Site Details Notification Process

Please complete the relevant columns below in order to record your response. If you have no comments on this document please note this as a general comment in the table.

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised MSATS text	Rating (H/M/L[1])	AEMO Response
			<u>Blue underline</u> means insert ~~Red strikeout~~ means delete		
9.1.1	002	The term <u>BusinessRejection</u> is not a defined term in B2B Procedures. The better term to use is "negative <u>BusinessAcceptance/Rejection</u>". This phrase is used in the Service Order procedure [Clause 2.7.a.ii].	Replace "BusinessRejection" with "negative <u>BusinessAcceptance/Rejection</u>".	M	
9.1.1	002	The use of the term "Distributor" in the diagram.	Replace "Distributor" with "DNSP".	M	
9.1.3	002	Punctuation in the proposed clause 2.2.5.	Point b: Replace second comma with "and". Point d: "B2B procedure" should be "B2B Procedure". Point f: Move the comma after "at least quarterly" to after "or more frequently".	L	

---

[1] L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions
M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical.
H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised MSATS text	Rating (H/M/L[1])	AEMO Response
9.1.5	002	Incorrect spelling of SensitiveLoad in the final paragraph of the Reason field row in the Definitions/Comments column. Punctuation in the final paragraph of the Reason field row in the Definitions/Comments column.	Replace "SenstiveLoad" with "SensitiveLoad". The relevant part of the B2B Mapping for aseXML document will need to be updated to add this value to the enumerated list. Add comma after "for Life Support" in the final paragraph of the Reason field row in the Definitions/Comments column.	M	

9.2 Proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Service Order Process

Please complete the relevant columns below in order to record your response. If you have no comments on this document please note this as a general comment in the table.

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised MSATS text	Rating (H/M/L[2])	AEMO Response
			Blue underline means insert Red strikeout means delete		
9.1.6	009	The term "completion notification" is not correct when used in the context of Service Order Requests. A Service Order Response completes the Service Order transaction set.	Replace "For New Connections, the trigger will be the receipt of both the Service Order completion notification or Allocate NMI transaction in NSW, and the completion notification of the CATS Create NMI transaction." With: "For New Connections, the trigger will be the receipt of both the Service Order completion notification or Allocate NMI transaction in NSW, ServiceOrderResponse (New Connection or Allocate NMI) and the completion notification of the CATS Create NMI transaction.	M	

---

[2] L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions
M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical.
H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised MSATS text	Rating (H/M/L[2])	AEMO Response
9.1.7	009	The term "completion notification" is not correct when used in the context of Service Order Requests. A Service Order Response completes the Service Order transaction set.	Replace "For New Connections, the trigger will be the receipt of both the Service Order completion notification or Allocate NMI transaction in NSW, and the completion notification of the CATS Create NMI transaction." With: "For New Connections, the trigger will be the receipt of both the ~~Service Order completion notification or Allocate NMI transaction in NSW,~~ ServiceOrderResponse (New Connection or Allocate NMI) and the completion notification of the CATS Create NMI transaction.	M	
9.2.14	001	Add semi-colons to the items in the list.	10 Business days for Queensland; there are no jurisdictional timeframes in Victoria or SA; and this Service Order Type is not available in NSW.	L	

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised MSATS text	Rating (H/M/L[2])	AEMO Response
General		Section 4; Cross reference errors. 1. Service Orders clause 4.1, *SpecialInstructions* row of table: 2.12.9.e should be 2.12.9.a. 2. Service Orders clause 4.1, *SpecialInstructions* row of table: 2.12.1i should be 2.12.2.i. 3. Service Orders clause 4.4, *EventCode* row of table: The applicable event codes are not listed in a table in 4.4.1. This section provides a reference to where they are located [section 5.4 of the B2B Procedure Technical Guidelines for B2B Procedures].	Service Orders clause 4.1, *SpecialInstructions* row of table, Definition column: "~~2.12.9.e~~ **2.12.9.a.**" Service Orders clause 4.1, *SpecialInstructions* row of table, Definition column: "~~2.12.1i~~ **2.12.2.i.**" Service Orders clause 4.4, *EventCode* row of table, Definition column: "~~Applicable codes are in the table at 4.4.1.~~ **Refer 4.4.1 for usage.**"	M	

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised MSATS text	Rating (H/M/L[2])	AEMO Response
General		Text formatting & punctuation.	Service Orders clause 2.12.1.g: Format heading to keep with its subsequent text. Service Orders clause 2.12.13, first row of table: Add apostrophe 's' to "Service Providers". Service Orders clause 4.1, *SpecialInstructions* row: Add "; or" after second last dot point, and move full stop to the last dot point. Add full stops to sentences where these are missing. For example, all of the 'Required in Victoria and SA" sentences.	L	

9.3 Proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Meter Data Process

Please complete the relevant columns below in order to record your response. If you have no comments on this document please note this as a general comment in the table.

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised MSATS text	Rating (H/M/L[3])	AEMO Response
			Blue underline means insert Red strikeout means delete		

---

[3] L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions
M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical.
H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.

9.4 Proposed changes to the B2B Procedure One Way Notification Process

Please complete the relevant columns below in order to record your response. If you have no comments on this document please note this as a general comment in the table.

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised MSATS text	Rating (H/M/L[4])	AEMO Response
			Blue underline means insert Red strikeout means delete		

[4] L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions
M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical.
H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised MSATS text	Rating (H/M/L[4])	AEMO Response
		•			
		•			

9.5 Proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Technical Guidelines for B2B Procedures

Please complete the relevant columns below in order to record your response. If you have no comments on this document please note this as a general comment in the table.

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised MSATS text	Rating (H/M/L[5])	AEMO Response
			Blue underline means insert Red strikeout means delete		

---

[5] L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions
M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical.
H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.

9.6 Proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Technical Delivery Specification

Please complete the relevant columns below in order to record your response. If you have no comments on this document please note this as a general comment in the table.

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised MSATS text	Rating (H/M/L[6])	AEMO Response
			Blue underline means insert Red strikeout means delete		

---

[6] L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions
M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical.
H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.