

B2B Procedures Version 2.1

Initial Consultation Participant Response Pack

Participant: Energex Ltd Completion Date: 13th December 2013

9. Participant Responses

This section lists the changes proposed to the B2B Procedures: Version 2.0.

Proposed changes have been categorised as Procedure changes as follows;

- Table 9.1 covers the proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Customer and Site Details Notification Process.
- Table 9.2 covers the proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Service Order Process.
- Table 9.3 covers the proposed changes to the B2B Meter Data Process.
- Table 9.4 covers the proposed changes to the B2B Procedure One Way Notification Process.
- Table 9.5 covers the proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Technical Guideline for B2B Procedures.
- Table 9.6 covers the proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Technical Delivery Specification.

9.1 Proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Customer and Site Details Notification Process

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised MSATS text	Rating (H/M/L ¹)	AEMO Response
			Blue underline means insert Red strikeout means delete		
9.1.3	002	2.2.5f – Energex is conscious of the additional workload to process reconciliations of Life Support customers on an at least quarterly basis. Given there are manual processes involved, Energex does not agree with an at least quarterly basis especially if full reconciliations are required under a transitional arrangement. At least every 6 months provides an opportunity for reconciliations to occur more frequently as required and agreed between participants.	f. The Timing Requirements for the use of the <u>CustomerDetailsReconciliation</u> transaction and its Business Signals will be initiated and processed <u>at least</u> <u>every 6 months</u> or more frequently, as agreed between the Participants using the Transaction.	Μ	
		No other issues			

¹ L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical. H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.

9.2 Proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Service Order Process

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised MSATS text	Rating (H/M/L ²)	AEMO Response
			Blue underline means insert		
			Red strikeout means delete		
		No Comments			

 $^{^{2}}$ L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical. H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.

9.3 Proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Meter Data Process

ltem	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised MSATS text	Rating (H/M/L ³)	AEMO Response
			Blue underline means insert		
			Red strikeout means delete		

 $^{^{3}}$ L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical. H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.

9.4 Proposed changes to the B2B Procedure One Way Notification Process

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised MSATS text	Rating (H/M/L ⁴)	AEMO Response
			Blue underline means insert		
			Red strikeout means delete		

⁴ L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical. H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.

9.5 Proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Technical Guidelines for B2B Procedures

ltem	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised MSATS text	Rating (H/M/L⁵)	AEMO Response
			Blue underline means insert		
			Red strikeout means delete		

⁵ L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical. H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.

9.6 Proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Technical Delivery Specification

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised MSATS text	Rating (H/M/L ⁶)	AEMO Response
			Blue underline means insert		
			Red strikeout means delete		

⁶ L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical. H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.