

Table 1 – Retail Electricity Market Procedures – Glossary and Framework

AEMO acknowledges that a number of comments were made in the submissions about style, typographical errors and related issues. Where appropriate, AEMO has taken these comments on board, but they are not included in the table.

No.	RESPONDENT	CLAUSE	HEADING/ DEFINITION	PARTICIPANT COMMENT	AEMO RESPONSE
14.	Energy Queensland	2.3.3	Special and Technology Sites	Energex and Ergon Energy Network query why 'Special and Technology Sites' is a sub-clause of '2.3 Metrology Procedures' when Special and Technology Sites are not mentioned in either Part A or Part B of the Metrology Procedures. They are only mentioned in the Rules and AEMO's document. We therefore suggest that this clause should be re-numbered to 2.4.	AEMO notes that Special Sites and Technology Related Conditions within the National Electricity Market is a document that refers to metering data and installations and should be read in context with the Metrology procedures therefore the clause reference will remain unchanged.
15.	Energy Queensland	2.10	Use of LNSP to refer to ENM	The two references to 'section 4' should be amended to 'section 5'.	Agreed

Table 5 – Service Level Procedure (MP)

AEMO acknowledges that a number of comments were made in the submissions about style, typographical errors and related issues. Where appropriate, AEMO has taken these comments on board, but they are not included in the table.

No	RESPONDENT	CLAUSE	HEADING/ DEFINITION	PARTICIPANT COMMENT	AEMO RESPONSE
11.		4.3	MSATS updates and notifications following Metering Installation Commissioning	Energex and Ergon Energy Network request that the Notification of Metering Work One Way Notification be included in the MP SLP. Energex and Ergon Energy Network will not accept metering information from the MPB in any other method. We suggest rewording clause 4.3.b to: "Provide a Notification of Metering Work transaction as per the B2B procedure to the LNSP within 2 business days of completing any works on a metering installation unless agreed otherwise with the LNSP".	AEMO considers that the obligations to use the B2B e-Hub and comply with the B2B Procedures is clear in the NER and that further commentary in this procedure is unnecessary.

Table 6 – MSATS Procedures - CATS

AEMO acknowledges that a number of comments were made in the submissions about style, typographical errors and related issues. Where appropriate, AEMO has taken these comments on board, but they are not included in the table.

	RESPONDENT	CLAUSE	HEADING/ DEFINITION	PARTICIPANT COMMENT	AEMO RESPONSE
33	Tango/Pacific Hydro	2.4	Meter Data Provider	Suggest the following rewording: Where the ADL is missing or incorrect in MSATS, obtain the ADL from the FRMP or LNSP and enter the value in MSATS.	AEMO believes the current wording is adequate and further detail is not required.
34.	Tango/Pacific Hydro	3.5	Change Request Status Life Cycle	It is suggested the link from Pending to Objected in the Figure highlighting the status relationships be reinstated. As the 'NOACC' objection is not subject to any objection logging period, the MDP can lodge an objection of NOACC at the Pending status. Also refer to the wording in 3.6 Transaction Validation:	AEMO to Re-instate the arrow from 'Pending' to 'Objection' to support the existing 'NOACC' objection code

**POWER OF CHOICE PROCEDURE CHANGES (PACKAGE 3): FINAL DETERMINATION
APPENDIX – CONSOLIDATED SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS AND AEMO RESPONSES**

	RESPONDENT	CLAUSE	HEADING/ DEFINITION	PARTICIPANT COMMENT	AEMO RESPONSE
				<p>(b) (iv) The Change Request is valid (PEND, REQ, OBJ) for Objections that are not subject to the Objection Logging Period.</p> <p>35.Suggest the following rewording:</p> <p>Where Objections are not subject to the Objection Logging Period (e.g. NOACC) the Change Request can have a status of PEND, REQ or OBJ.</p>	
35.	Tango/Pacific Hydro	4.2	Change Reason Code	<p>Table 4-A- Change Reason Codes</p> <p>For CRs 3100/3101 Change Network Tariff Code, include the ENM for a Child NMI in the initiating Participant column as this participant can also initiate the transactions as per the Comment in the Procedures :</p> <p>Used where the Current LNSP (or the ENM in the case of a Child NMI) is required to make a Prospective Change to Network Tariff Code.</p> <p>Used where the Current LNSP (or the ENM in the case of a Child NMI) is required to make a Retrospective Change to the Network Tariff Code.</p> <p>For CRs 6100/6110Change LNSP, include the New ENM in the Initiating Participant column as this participant can also initiate the transactions as per the Comment in the Procedures :</p> <p>Used where the New LNSP or the New ENM is required (either by a regulatory change or due to an error in the NMI Master Record) to apply a Prospective Change to the name of the Current LNSP or the Current ENM in MSATS</p> <p>Used where the New LNSP or the New ENM is required (either by a regulatory change or due to an error in the NMI Master Record) to apply a Retrospective Change to the name of the Current LNSP or the Current ENM in MSATS.</p>	AEMO agree, this change has been made to the procedures.
36.	Tango/Pacific Hydro	4.7	Objection Codes	<p>Suggest the following changes to the Objection definition to clearly articulate which role (New or Current) can lodge the objection.</p> <p>BADMETE R Used by the New or Current MDP for change retailer requests, where the Read Type Code is not compatible with the method for collecting <i>metering data</i> at the <i>metering installation</i>.</p> <p>BADPARTY Used by the Current MC where the MDP, MPB, or MPC nominated on a change retailer request is incorrect as they are not the party appointed by the Current MC, or they do not have the capability or capacity to operate in the Role proposed. Used by the New MC where they are nominated on a change retailer request and they have no relationship with the initiating Retailer.</p> <p>N.B. The change retailer request will need to be updated to show that both the New and Current MC can object.</p> <p>For change retailer embedded networks, the Current MC can object for BADPARTY where the NMI class is Large and the jurisdiction is NSW; why?</p>	<p>AEMO believes that the current wording is adequate to ensure articulate which role can lodge an objection in relation to BADMETER and BADPARTY objections.</p> <p>The Current MC can only object to a 1000 series CR if another MC is nominated in the CR and the MC is appointed under an agreement with the Large customer at the connection point. The New MC can only object if they do not wish to take up the role they are nominated for, or if one or both of the providers nominated is incorrect.</p> <p>With respect to your last point AEMO concurs that this is an error that should be corrected at the earliest available opportunity. This will be at the time of the next consultation on this document as AEMO believes that making the change at this late stage of consultation would not provide participants adequate opportunity to review, especially as system change may be required. The Current MC should not be able to object for change retailer embedded networks.</p>

POWER OF CHOICE PROCEDURE CHANGES (PACKAGE 3): FINAL DETERMINATION
APPENDIX – CONSOLIDATED SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS AND AEMO RESPONSES

	RESPONDENT	CLAUSE	HEADING/ DEFINITION	PARTICIPANT COMMENT	AEMO RESPONSE
				<p>CONTRA CT Used by the Current MC where a change in the Role of MC is proposed and the Current MC has been appointed by a large End User and has an existing contractual obligation with the large End User that takes precedence over the proposed change.</p> <p>DATEBAD Used by the New or Current MDP for <i>meter installation</i> types 4A, 5 and 6 to inform the FRMP the proposed date in the change retailer Request does not align with the date the Metering reading is scheduled to be taken. Used by the Current FRMP, or MDP for retrospective change of <i>retailer</i> requests where one or both of the Proposed Change Date or Actual End Date is incorrect.</p> <p>N.B. For retrospective CRs 1010, 1040, 1081, 1084 the Objection of DATEBAD should also be available to the FRMP according to the definition above.</p> <p>NOACC (1) Used by the New or Current MDP where no access can be obtained to the <i>metering installation</i> to perform the manual collection of <i>metering data</i> required to facilitate a transfer of FRMP, or Meter Churn.</p>	
37.	Tango/Pacific Hydro	31.7	Objection Rules	BADDATA is listed twice in the table; once for Small and once for Large. This should be combined into a single entry for BADDATA then split in to Small and Large as per section 25.9. Also why is the objection not applicable to Small NMIs in QLD?	With respect to your last point AEMO concurs that this is an error that should be corrected at the earliest available opportunity. This will be at the time of the next consultation on this document as AEMO believes that making the change at this late stage of consultation would not provide participants adequate opportunity to review, especially as system change may be required.
38.	Tango/Pacific Hydro	32.4	MC/Current FRMP Requirements	The heading of the section should read 'New MC/Current FRMP Requirements.'	Agreed.
39.	Tango/Pacific Hydro	N/A	General	It is suggested the Objection Rules for each of the CRs be validated against the Objection Codes to ensure they are correct. Also to review the Objection Rules as they apply to the jurisdictions.	Noted.
40.	Energy Queensland	2.4	Local Network Service Provider	Section 2.4 in version 4.4 of the document refers to Metering Data Provider.	That is correct.
41.	Energy Queensland	7.8	Objection Rules	Energex and Ergon Energy Network do not support the removal of the BADMETER objection code for the roles of MPB, MDP or RP for this CR type. Suggest this be reinstated as per version 4.2 of the documents.	The definitions and uses of the objection codes were consulted on during Work Package 1 which was published on 31 August 2016. Work Package 3 only includes corrections that are required to reflect these definitions in the objection rules of individual CRs.
42.	Energy Queensland	8.8	Objection Rules	Energex and Ergon Energy Network do not support the removal of the CONTRACT objection code for the role of RP for this CR type. Suggest this be reinstated as per version 4.2 of the documents. Energex and Ergon Energy Network do support the inclusion of the BADMETER objection code for the role of MDP for this CR type.	The definitions and uses of the objection codes were consulted on during Work Package 1 which was published on 31 August 2016. Work Package 3 only includes corrections that are required to reflect these definitions in the objection rules of individual CRs.
43.	Energy Queensland	9.8	Objection Rules	Energex and Ergon Energy Network do not support the removal of the BADMETER objection code for the roles of LR, MPB, MDP or RP for this CR type. Suggest this be reinstated as per version 4.2 of the documents.	The definitions and uses of the objection codes were consulted on during Work Package 1 which was published on 31 August 2016. Work Package 3 only includes corrections that are required to reflect these definitions in the objection rules of individual CRs.

**POWER OF CHOICE PROCEDURE CHANGES (PACKAGE 3): FINAL DETERMINATION
APPENDIX – CONSOLIDATED SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS AND AEMO RESPONSES**

	RESPONDENT	CLAUSE	HEADING/ DEFINITION	PARTICIPANT COMMENT	AEMO RESPONSE
44.	Energy Queensland	13.6	Objection Rules	Energex and Ergon Energy Network do not support the removal of the BADMETER objection code for the role of MDP for this CR type. Suggest this be reinstated as per version 4.2 of the documents.	The definitions and uses of the objection codes were consulted on during Work Package 1 which was published on 31 August 2016. Work Package 3 only includes corrections that are required to reflect these definitions in the objection rules of individual CRs.
45.	Energy Queensland	14.6	Objection Rules	Energex and Ergon Energy Network do not support the removal of the BADMETER objection code for the role of MDP for this CR type. Suggest this be reinstated as per version 4.2 of the documents.	The definitions and uses of the objection codes were consulted on during Work Package 1 which was published on 31 August 2016. Work Package 3 only includes corrections that are required to reflect these definitions in the objection rules of individual CRs.
46.	Energy Queensland	26.6	Objection Rules	Energex and Ergon Energy Network do not support the removal of the BADMETER objection code for the role of MDP for this CR type. Suggest this be reinstated as per version 4.2 of the documents.	The definitions and uses of the objection codes were consulted on during Work Package 1 which was published on 31 August 2016. Work Package 3 only includes corrections that are required to reflect these definitions in the objection rules of individual CRs.
47.	Energy Queensland	31.8	Objection Rules	Energex and Ergon Energy Network do not support the removal of the BADMETER objection code for the role of MDP for this CR type. Suggest this be reinstated as per version 4.2 of the documents. Energex and Ergon Energy Network do support the inclusion of the DECLINED objection code for the role of RP for this CR type.	The definitions and uses of the objection codes were consulted on during Work Package 1 which was published on 31 August 2016. Work Package 3 only includes corrections that are required to reflect these definitions in the objection rules of individual CRs.
48.	Energy Queensland	32.3	Initiating Roles	Energex and Ergon Energy Network had intended to use the CR630X to reinstate the initial MC where a NMI was lost in error and the metering type is still a type 5 or 6 meter. The new description of the Initiating Roles now does not allow this to occur and in fact relies on the FRMP to correct the error, which is complicated. Energex and Ergon Energy request that the original wording be reinstated and the New MC (Small and Large) to raise a CR630X.	The definitions and uses of the objection codes were consulted on during Work Package 1 which was published on 31 August 2016. Work Package 3 only includes corrections that are required to reflect these definitions in the objection rules of individual CRs.
49.	Energy Queensland	32.6	Objection Rules	Energex and Ergon Energy Network do not support the removal of the BADPARTY objection code for the roles of FRMP and RP for this CR type. Suggest this be reinstated as per version 4.2 of the documents.	The definitions and uses of the objection codes were consulted on during Work Package 1 which was published on 31 August 2016. Work Package 3 only includes corrections that are required to reflect these definitions in the objection rules of individual CRs.