**METERING COMPETITION WORKSHOP #1 - MEETING NOTES – 27 August 2015**

**Role Mapping**

1. Diagrams need to consider the involvement of MC in the operational transactions or/and MC having visibility of the transactions and managing multi-party transactions (concern that the current model does not include MC in the operational transactions when the rules do not explicitly state that MC should not be involved)
2. Clarifications on the appointment process in term of system processes, financial transactions and commercial agreements.
3. Large customer appointment of MC – scenario needs to be considered, do large customers need to be registered with AEMO? Do they need to have access to MSATS?
4. How are large and small customers determined? Is it the NECF definition?
5. Clarify who is “another person” in the diagrams? Term mentioned in the rules, need to seek clarifications.
6. Diagrams need to consider new and transfer scenarios
7. Consider AEMO’s role in appointing MDP for TNSP connection points.
8. Seek clarification from AEMC as to who should be MC for interconnectors.
9. Consider scenario where customers have direct contract with the network without involving MC
10. Diagrams need to be amended to show LNSP as MC for type 5 & 6
11. Consider MC default scenario

**High Level Process Flows**

New Connections (Not NSW)

1. Consider scenario where customer goes to DNSP for new connection
2. Consider scenario where NMI can be created without roles being nominated in MSATS, i.e. FRMP to input roles later
3. Consider timing of process steps (i.e. DNSP connecting supply after creating NMI needs to be reviewed and modified)
4. Consider scenarios where MC coordinates multi-party transactions, and where MC should have scheduling ability to ensure the correct sequence of work
5. Process needs to be more detailed

New Connections (NSW)

1. Correction to existing flow
2. Split the roles of MP and MDP
3. Include DNSP authorisation for ASP work
4. Consider timing of process steps
5. Include meter types in scenarios
6. Updates on AEMO’s discussion with NSW government regarding the ASP scheme and how it will work with metering competition changes
7. Consider have one working process for NSW and not NSW

Abolishment

1. Separate process for NSW requirements is needed
2. Include meter types in the process
3. Consider notifications to MP and MC
4. Consider timing of process steps, who is going to be doing what and when, who is going to be coordinating

Re-en/de-en

1. Clarify what does manual mean for the manual, onsite, remote mean on all re-en/de-en process diagrams
2. Remove the Diagram (5. Re-en/De-en) from diagrams as it is wrong
3. Consider who is going to be updating the MSATS status flag, is there new field required
4. Clarify notification in the process to MP/MDP for the manual re-en/de-en
5. Consider network initiating the process for the manual re-en/de-en
6. Consider work coordination and timing of process steps by metering coordinator, how should the MC be involved
7. Consider DNSP notification on request and completion of work by the retailer for remote and onsite re-en/de-en
8. Consider communication method between retailer and MP/MDP for onsite and remote re-en/de-en (i.e. SMP/B2B)
9. Consider the scenario when the de-en happened by remote disconnection and then the fuse is being pulled
10. Consider what happens when remote disconnections is not successful
11. Consider Life Support in scenarios

Meter Change

1. Consider different scenarios depending on initiating events and meter type
2. Consider customer notification of outage
3. Consider step where MP needs to return meter to DNSP and send NOSW

**Service Level Procedure MDP**

1. Clarify whether VIC AMI meters will become type 4 and hence service providers will need to be re-accredited.
2. Clarify how NSRD will be associated with type 4A
3. Document type 4A exemption process – where will this be documented?
4. Clarify role of MC and MDP in reversion of type 4A to 4
5. Document process of type 4A changing to 4 and vice versa and decide which procedure should include this process

**Metrology Part B**

1. Consider if substitution and validation provisions for current type 4 is still valid for mass market type 4
2. Clarify issue with sample meter requirements.