Consolidated GMI Response for IN001/20W (EIC Process Review) Version 2

Question	Participant	Participant Response	AEMO Response (AEMO only)
1. The proposal recommends undertaking periodic (every 5 years) EIC Audit by the Retailers unless notified by AEMO. Do you support this proposal?	Agora Retail	Agora Retail supports this proposal.	1.1 AEMO notes Agora Retail's support for the proposal.
	Alinta	Yes, Alinta Energy supports the proposal to require users to undertake an audit of EIC every five years unless notified by AEMO.	1.2 AEMO notes Alinta's support for the proposal.
	Origin Energy	Origin supports the proposal.	1.3 AEMO notes Origin Energy's support for the proposal.
	Kleenheat	Yes	1.4 AEMO notes Kleenheat's support for the proposal.
	AGL	Yes, we support the periodic undertaking of the EIC audit every 5 years by existing retailers in the market.	1.5 AEMO notes AGL's support for the proposal.
	Synergy	As outlined in previous submissions Synergy prefers a 5-year audit period with annual reporting of EIC breaches. However, if this option is not accepted Synergy will support a 5-year audit period with quarterly self-reporting of EIC breaches.	1.6 AEMO notes Synergy's preference for annual reporting of EIC breaches. AEMO also notes Synergy is not totally opposed to quarterly reporting of EIC breaches.
2. Are there any other benefits that you have not already identified to your organization and the industry that will strengthen this proposal.	Agora Retail	Agora Retail has not identified any further benefits than is already the case.	2.1 AEMO notes Agora Retail's comment.
	Alinta	Increasing the EIC audit period from 1 to 5 years for users that have been operating in the gas retail market for more than 3 years will provide significant cost savings to those users. Retaining the annual audit for new users will provide confidence to all market participants that those new users are operating in accordance with the Retail Market Procedures.	2.2 AEMO notes Alinta's comment.
	Origin Energy	No additional benefits, please refer response to the last GMI.	2.3 AEMO notes Origin Energy's comment.
	Kleenheat	No	2.4 AEMO notes Kleenheat's comment.
	AGL	No further benefits identified other than those previously set out in our response to version 1.0 of this GMI IN001/20W.	2.5 AEMO notes AGL's comment.

Question	Participant	Participant Response	AEMO Response (AEMO only)
	Synergy	Synergy has no further comments.	2.6 AEMO notes Synergy's no comment position,
3. Do you support the proposed changes (particularly quarterly reporting of EIC breaches) in IN001/18W – Matters Referred to AEMO Clause 325?	Agora Retail	Agora Retail considers that quarterly reporting is too frequent and that annual reporting is sufficient, but otherwise supports the proposal.	3.1 AEMO notes Agora Retail's support for the proposal and refers to its response in IN001/18W.
	Alinta	Yes, we support the proposed changes in IN001/18W, which will require participants to self-report EIC breaches on a quarterly basis.	3.2 AEMO notes Alinta's support for the proposal.
	Origin Energy	Origin support IN001/18 for quarterly breach reporting. We refer you to the comment in the IN001/18 response template, recommending that the timeframe to report be increased to align with AER's compliance reporting framework deadlines.	3.3 AEMO notes Origin Energy's comment and refers to its response in IN001/18W.
	Kleenheat	Yes	3.4 AEMO notes Kleenheat's support for the proposal.
	AGL	Yes, we support the proposed changes set out in IN001/18W.	3.5 AEMO notes AGL's support for the proposal.
	Synergy	As outlined in previous submissions Synergy prefers a 5-year audit period with annual reporting of EIC breaches. However, if this option is not available Synergy will support a 5-year audit period with quarterly self-reporting of EIC breaches. In relation to IN001/18W (V3), Synergy supports self reporting but does not support self-reporting of all breaches within 30 business days with no materiality threshold. Synergy also considers the proposal is inconsistent with the requirement of clause 7A of the Retail Market Procedures. Please refer to Synergy's additional comments under its response to IN001/18W(V3).	3.6 AEMO notes Synergy's comment and refers to its response to Synergy's feedback in IN001/18W.
4. Any other comments on this proposal?	Agora Retail	Agora Retail has no further comments.	4.1 AEMO notes Agora Retail's no comment position.
	Alinta	Alinta Energy agrees with the proposed amendments to clause 350 marked up in the GMI.	4.2 AEMO notes Alinta's support for the proposal.
	Origin Energy		
	Kleenheat	No	4.3 AEMO notes Kleenheat's comment.
	AGL	No further comments	4.4 AEMO notes AGL's no comment position,

Question	Participant	Participant Response	AEMO Response (AEMO only)
	Synergy	Synergy has no further comments.	4.5 AEMO notes Synergy's no comment position,