July 2023 Retail Electricity Market Procedures Consultation

FIRST STAGE CONSULTATION PARTICIPANT RESPONSE TEMPLATE

Participant: TasNetworks

Submission Date: 18/08/2023

Table of Contents

1.	Context	3
2.	Feedback on Net System Load Profile Methodology (ICF_072) discussion	3
3.	Feedback on Substitution Type review (ICF_054) discussion	4
4.	Feedback on Summation Metering Changes (ICF_073) discussion	4
5.	Feedback on NMI Discovery for MCs discussion	5

1. Context

This template is to assist stakeholders in giving feedback on the content of the initial draft version of the July 2023 REMP Consultation.

2. Feedback on Net System Load Profile Methodology (ICF_072) discussion

Question		Participant Comments
1.	Do you agree that Option 1 best achieves the desired objectives and principles? If not, why?	No comment
2.	Do you believe an alternative methodology would better achieve the desired objectives and principles? Why? Please provide details of the alternative methodology. • The selection of an alternative methodology would likely result in a delay to the longer-term methodology being implemented, as AEMO would need to develop, analyse and test this alternative.	No comment
3.	Do you agree that the preferred methodology should not be implemented prior to October 2024 and that with the	No comment

Question	Participant Comments
implementation of the new methodology should occur during a historically less volatile pricing period? If not, why?	

3. Feedback on Substitution Type review (ICF_054) discussion

Question		Participant Comments
1.	Do you agree that the proposed changes, to the substitution types and reason codes, will achieve the desired objective? In not, why?	Yes. TasNetworks notes however that acceptance of the new reason codes is subject to clarity of the detailed descriptions to be associated with each code to describe their respective use case.
2.	Which of the proposed implementation dates do you believe should be pursued, and why?	TasNetworks would be supportive of a 4 November 2024 effective date as we believe this provides sufficient lead time.

4. Feedback on Summation Metering Changes (ICF_073) discussion

Question	Participant Comments
 Do you agree with the proposed inclusion of the three summation arrangements? If not, why? 	No comment

Question		Participant Comments
2.	Do you believe that an alternative approach would better achieve the desired objective?	No comment
3.	Is the summation method detailed enough or should it be more prescriptive?	No comment
4.	Do you agree with the proposed effective date? If not, please provide an alternative effective date with reasoning.	No comment

5. Feedback on NMI Discovery for MCs discussion

Question	Participant Comments
Do you agree with the proposed change to the CATS Procedure? If not, why?	TasNetworks is accepting of the circumstance in which a prospective MC may require access to Standing Data in MSATS to verify the NMI Classification upon MC appointment by the large customer. However, TasNetworks seeks clarity on how AEMO is proposing to implement this change. Are AEMO proposing to implement a solution whereby a NMID request from a prospective MC only returns standing data when the NMI is large, and if not large then an error code is returned? Else the MC will still receive and have access to standing data for non-large NMI's.

Question		Participant Comments
2.	Do you believe that an alternative approach would better achieve the desired objective?	Clarification required as per response in Q1.
3.	Do you agree with the proposed effective date? If not, please provide an alternative effective date with reasoning.	TasNetworks is supportive of the proposed 13 December 2023 effective date.