Load Profiling Methodologies

FIRST STAGE CONSULTATION PARTICIPANT RESPONSE TEMPLATE

Participant: EnergyAustralia

Submission Date: 27 October 2022

Table of Contents

| 1. | Context | 3 |
|----|--|---|
| 2. | Feedback on Load Profiling Objectives and Principles | 3 |
| 3. | Feedback on Load Profiling Methodologies | 3 |
| 4. | Feedback on proposed Other Matters | 4 |
| 5. | Other Issues Related to the Load Profiling Methodologies and Other Matters | 5 |

1. Context

This template is to assist stakeholders in giving feedback on the content of the initial draft version of the Load Profiling Methodologies.

2. Feedback on Load Profiling Objectives and Principles

| Question | Participant Comments |
|--|--|
| Do you agree with the proposed objectives and principles? | EnergyAustraliaagrees with the proposed Objectives and principles. |
| 2. Are there any other objectives and principles you believe should be considered? | N/A |

3. Feedback on Load Profiling Methodologies

| Question | Participant Comments |
|--|----------------------|
| 1. Which methodology do you consider would best achieve the objectives and principles? Why? | Option 3a. |
| Do you consider that an alternative methodology would better achieve the objectives and principles? Please note that the selection of an alternative methodology | N/A |

| Ques | tion | Participant Comments |
|------|---|---|
| | would likely result in a delay to the longer- term methodology being implemented, as AEMO would need to develop, analysis and test this alternative. | |
| 3. | Do you believe the preferred methodology should be applied to both 5MLPs and NSLPs where the observed conditions have been met? If no, why? | EnergyAustralia agree that the methodology should be applied in a uniform manner so across both NSLP & 5MLP where applicable. |
| 4. | When do you consider the preferred methodology should be implemented? On 30 May 2023? | EnergyAustralia recommend the introduction of the new methodology to be in line with the May 30 2023 date. |

4. Feedback on proposed Other Matters

| Question | Participant Comments |
|--|--|
| Do you agree that the proposed amendments associated with obtaining and applying embedded network codes provide for the correct interpretation of the procedures, as well as achieving industry objectives? If no, then please provide a better alternative. | EnergyAustralia agree with the proposed amendments associated with obtaining and applying embedded network codes provide for the correct interpretation of the procedures, as well as achieving industry objectives? |

| Question | | Participant Comments |
|----------|--|---|
| 2. | Do you agree that the inclusion of the 'House Number To Suffix' element enables a better quality site address to be recorded for energy participants? If not, please specify your reasoning. | EnergyAustralia agree that the inclusion of the 'House Number To Suffix' element enables a better quality site address to be recorded. |
| 3. | Do you agree with the proposal to removal of the current NMI Discovery Type 3 validation? If not, please specify your reasoning. | EnergyAustralia agree with the proposal to remove the current NMI Discovery Type 3 validation. |

5. Other Issues Related to the Load Profiling Methodologies and Other Matters

Stakeholders to provide details of other Load Profiling Methodologies related aspects that have not been included in the issues paper and provide details.

| Participant Comments | |
|----------------------|--|
| | |
| | |
| | |