**AEMO Procedure Review - Extending the national gas regulatory framework to hydrogen blends & renewable gases  
Participant Response Template**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Review comments submitted by:** *<insert company name>*  **Contact Person:** *<insert contact name>* |  | **Date:** *<insert date>* |  |

# Section 1 – Introduction

No questions in Section 1.

# Section 2 – AEMO’s Review

| **Question #** | **Section # in paper** | **Question** | **Please Provide Response Here** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | 2.1 – Scope of AEMO’s review | Are there any other relevant matters that should be considered in AEMO’s review of the Procedures that fall within the scope of the terms of reference? |  |

# Section 3 - Declared Wholesale Gas Market (DWGM) Procedures

| **Question #** | **Section # in paper** | **Question** | **Please Provide Response Here** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 2 | 3.8 – DWGM Distribution UAFG Procedures | Do you think the approach to determining and allocating distribution UAFG should be changed in the Procedures? If so, what changes to the processes do you think should be made? |  |
| 3 | 3.9 – DWGM General | Considering this section, the scope of the DDCF rule change and, Attachment A, are there any other matters you think AEMO should consider to facilitate NGEs in the DWGM? If so, please identify the relevant Procedure and explain why a change is required to accommodate NGEs. |  |

# Section 4 - Short Term Trading Market (STTM) Procedures

| **Question #** | **Section # in paper** | **Question** | **Please Provide Response Here** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 4 | 4.3 – STTM hub definition change framework | Do you think a more streamlined consultation process should be considered for amendments to STTM hub definitions? If yes, what steps do you think should be involved in such a consultation process? |  |
| 5 | 4.3 – STTM market operations | Do you agree with AEMO’s assessment that the STTM market operations do not need to change to facilitate NGEs? If not, what changes do you believe may be required? |  |
| 6 | 4.3 – STTM administered market states | Do you consider that threshold for significant constraints for a trading participant to trigger the significant constraints process is appropriate? If not, what would an appropriate threshold be? |  |
| 7 | 4.3 – Other areas of the STTM Procedures | Considering this section and Attachment B, are there any other areas of the Procedures that you consider need to be changed to facilitate participation of NGEs in the STTM? If so, please identify the procedure and explain why changes are required to accommodate NGEs. |  |

# Section 5 – Retail Market Procedures

| **Question #** | **Section # in paper** | **Question** | **Please Provide Response Here** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 8 | 5.3 – Definitions and concepts in the retail market procedures | Do you agree with proposed potential changes to the terms in table 3? If not, please provide details on which RMP jurisdiction and details about the reason why you don’t agree with the proposed changes? |  |
| 9 | 5.3 – Definitions and concepts in the retail market procedures | Do you think there could be any unintended consequences from amending these terms? If so, please provide details on which RMP jurisdiction, clause reference # and details about the reason why you believe unintended consequences could occur). |  |
| 10 | 5.3 – Definitions and concepts in the retail market procedures | Noting the review scope described in sections 2.1 and 5.2, are there any other terms in the RMP AEMO should consider amending to facilitate the participation of NGEs or NGE facilities? |  |
| 11 | 5.3 – Balancing, allocation, and reconciliation | Do you agree with AEMO’s view that the existing obligations and processes in the procedures for determining balancing, allocations and reconciliation will be fit for purpose for NGEs and NGE facilities? If not, please provide details on which RMP jurisdiction, clause reference # and what changes do you consider may be required? |  |
| 12 | 5.3 – Balancing, allocation, and reconciliation | Will users and distributors be able to meet their obligations under the procedures to provide AEMO with information on injections (and withdrawals), if NGE facilities connect to distribution networks? If not please provide details on which RMP jurisdiction, clause reference # and, what issues do you think AEMO needs to consider? |  |
| 13 | 5.3 – Metering | Do you agree with AEMO’s assessment that the RMP with respect to metering are able to accommodate NGEs? If not, please provide details on which RMP jurisdiction, clause reference # and what changes do you think may be required? |  |
| 14 | 5.3 – Distribution UAFG | Do you agree with AEMO’s view that the distribution UAFG process in the retail market procedures in NSW and ACT, Queensland and South Australia do not require change to facilitate NGEs? If not, what changes do you believe may be required? |  |
| 15 | 5.3 – General Retail Market Procedures | Considering section 5.3 and Attachment C, do you believe there are any other matters AEMO should consider in reviewing the RMPs? If you believe there are other matters AEMO should consider please provide details on which RMP jurisdiction, clause reference # and why you believe it may need to be reviewed to accommodate NGEs. |  |