**PCR Response Template for IN013/19W Proposd Amendment to Clause 32**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Review comments submitted by: *<insert company name>*  Contact Person: *<insert contact name>* |  | Date: *<insert date>* |  |

| **\*\*\*Participants are to complete the relevant columns below in order to record their response.\*\*\*** | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **RMP or Spec Pack, etc.?** | **Clause / Section # ref** | **Issue / Comment** | **Proposed text** ~~Red strikeout~~ means delete and  blue underline means insert | **Rating[[1]](#footnote-1) (H/M/L)** | **AEMO Response  (AEMO only)** |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **\*\*\* Use this section to raise points that aren’t specific to a RMP / Specification Pack artefacts clause / section** |
|  |
|  |

1. L = Low: - Not critical. Issues / Comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions  
    M = Medium: - Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be consider and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical.   
    H = High – Critical. The issue / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)